

Room: MAIN 2
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Drozda, Zarek
Harker

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Golden State Anushka Sanyal	(20 - 30)	Young Genius Haritha Kumar	(20 - 30)
Anushka Sanyal	<u>27.5</u>	Haritha Kumar	<u>29</u>

Winner: Young Genius Haritha K debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? X

Signature: Zarek Drozda

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Over

- NC had longer offense.
Aff only answered with defense.
needed more cards

Room: MAIN 2
Flight 2 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Drozda, Zarek
Harker

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Gale Ranch Middle Mishka Nara...		Dougherty Valley Bridge Twisha...	
Mishka Narasimhan	<u>27</u>	Twisha Chawla	<u>27.5</u>

Winner: DV Valley Bridge debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Zarek Drozda

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Over!

- Difficult decision b/c just all based
on triviality
- Neg on verbal ties

Room: DOBBINS 201
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Le, Ryan
Golden State

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Dougherty Valley Bridge Shanno...		Young Genius Diya Aggarwal	
Shannon Zhang	<u>21</u>	Diya Aggarwal	<u>21</u>

Winner: YOUNG GENIUS School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Discussed
in
ROUND

Room: MAIN 5
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Quach, Kevin
~~Nallusamy, Kumaravel~~
Gale Ranch

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Surya Midha		Stratford Independant Mohul Ag...	
Surya Midha	<u>28.5</u>	Mohul Aggarwal	<u>28.8</u>

Winner: Stratford Independent debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____



Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Both the AFF and NEG were really, prominent powerful speakers
- NEG had a stronger value which he extended and emphasized really well
- NEG did point out that victims' rights are not protected under AFF's framework which the AFF did not refute
- AFF: really well done, good job on staying offensive
- NEG: good job on staying offensive, you brought up points that AFF didn't necessarily catch on, you were really organized during your speeches

Room: MAIN 5
Flight 2 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Quach, Kevin
~~Nallusamy, Kumaravel~~
Gale Ranch

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker Akshay Manglik		Young Genius Akash Balakrishn...	
Akshay Manglik	<u>27.8</u>	Akash Balakrishnan	<u>26</u>

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____



Comments & Reason for Decision:

- AFF was able to refute the NEG's contentions extremely well
He was able to tie his case in with the NEG's value/criterion
- NEG seemed a bit unconfident; body language would be something to work on (ex. making eye contact, standing up straight, not fidgeting with feet) also work on the organizational structure of speeches; it was easier to follow the AFF side than NEG

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Golden State Austin Li	(20 - 30)	Young Genius Vivek Agarwal	(20 - 30)
Austin Li	<u>26</u>	Vivek Agarwal	<u>27</u>
Winner: <u>Young Genius</u>		debating on the <u>Neg</u>	Low point win? <u>No</u>
	School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)	
Signature: <u>Sai Pidathala</u>			

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The Neg did a slightly better job of upholding their criterion and value.

- (How is your value being defended or not being defended)
- Why should your value not be used to weigh the round? (by your points?)
- Evidences and sources are not as important as building your stance for the value. Do not worry about the reliability of the sources too much as it is not as relevant to the overall case as your analysis is.
- Use your time better, and use as much of it as possible. To do this break down your contentions and relate their impacts. How is your value being defended?
- Improve on signposting and labelling your points to avoid confusion.
- Do not drop ANY points on the opposition's case when refuting.
- Your impacts are the most important part of your contention because they directly relate to your value/criterion. You must draw out all the negative effects (neg) or positive tangible impacts of the case, otherwise your entire case is lost, as well as its relevance.
- Why is your point important?
- Improve on your links. Link your points back to your value. Your efficiency in upholding your value is what you will be judged on.
- Link your points to the case, so that the importance of your point is not lost.
- Do not be redundant in refuting, do not use your own contentions to refute the opposition's points.

Room: MAIN 7
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Pidathala Sai
Rao, Sadashiva
~~DV Bridge~~
HS volunteer

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker N Wang

N Wang

POINTS

(20 - 30)

28

NEG

Young Genius Neha Rachapudi

Neha Rachapudi

POINTS

(20 - 30)

27

Winner: Harker

School/Team

debating on the AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? No

Signature: Sai Pidathala

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- The AFF defended their criterion better and did a better job of refuting.
Comments on Round: (AFF vs Neg)


- Do not repeat the same points over and over again when refuting.
- Do not drop any of the opposition's points, when refuting.
- IMPACT! You should show all tangible impacts of your stance on the topic (Ex. How is jury nullification impacting ~~with~~ societal welfare?) Link your impacts to your value, this is what is the most important part of the case.
- Make sure to paint a picture with each of your points, you should link all your subpoints in your contentions, or the entire contention will be lost of the relationship between your value, position, and contention is lost.
- Why should the debate be weighed on your value?
- Improve on sign posting all your points to avoid confusion.
- Improve on the redundancy of your points. Strengthen your points, don't repeat them.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	(20 - 30)	Gale Ranch Middle Mahitha Vug...	(20 - 30)
Anirudh Natarajan	<u>27</u>	Mahitha Vuggumudi	<u>26</u>

Winner: Anirudh Natarajan debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF looks like to have much stronger argument for support
"Jury doesn't have any power but just following current
laws for case even if that legal system is not fair or equal
to Jury or current legal system can promote or
Develop Democracy by Jury Nullification"

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker Thresiamma Vazhaepara...		Young Genius Ashley Kang	
Thresiamma Vazhaeparambil	<u>26</u>	Ashley Kang	<u>27</u>

Winner: Young Genius Ashley Kang debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Hima Bindu V.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both of the contestants are pretty close and both of them established their case and frameworks well. But Ashley got an edge in cross ex and rebutting the opponent's contentions.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...		Young Genius Pramukh Shandilya	
Vikram Kashyap	<u>28</u>	Pramukh Shandilya	<u>26</u>

Winner: Basis Independent Silicon Valley debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Hime Bindu.V replacing Shrini Vuggumudi
(from DV Bridge) (DV Bridge)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Vikram Kashyap presented an established a strong case right at the beginning and was good at rebutting his opponent's contention.

As for pramukh Shandilya, he did not have good example to establish his case. Also I would recommend him to practice for little bit more clarity in his speech.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Amudha Amudha Sairam	(20 - 30)	Young Genius Anirudh Seshadri	(20 - 30)
Amudha Sairam	<u>27</u>	Anirudh Seshadri	<u>28</u>

Winner: Amudha Amudha Sairam debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- Refuted all of Neg's contentions a bit
- If your opponent has not refuted your points, you can stress your own and your refutes even more
- Point about the jury being carefully selected / point on common sense was good
- Be ~~more~~ a bit ^{stronger} ~~more strong~~ during rebuttals / ~~cross-ex~~ cross-ex.
- Nice refutations
- Won on cultural diff. cont. and refutations
- Great job! Was a very close round

Neg.

- Great speaking skills
- Had good examples for Neg. side
- Stress your own points more if you still have time / have no refutations
- Didn't directly refute most of Aff's points
- Very powerful speaking / delivery
- Be a bit stronger during cross-ex.
- Very close round, great job!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Golden State Kaushik Jasti		Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir D...	
Kaushik Jasti	<u>26</u>	Kabir Dubey	<u>27</u>

Winner: Dougherty Valley School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
Signature: Kamini Narang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg: Able to dissect the opponents contentions. More details of his contentions

Aff: Good value but weaker contentions

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Golden State Katia Nagarajan	(20 - 30)	Harker Annie Ma	(20 - 30)
Katia Nagarajan	<u>27</u>	Annie Ma	<u>29</u>

Winner: Annie Ma (Harker) debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff :- * Good points, but need to be more organized
 Rd 1 → to draw audience attention

Neg x-exon :- aff :- Neg :-
 * Some questions need more solid reasonings

Neg Cuckr :- aff :- Neg :-
 Very strong arguments. Reasoned well.

Aff v-exon :- aff :- Neg :-
 * Some basic questions were pretty good. * Some were answered firmly.
 * Can improve on answering

First Affirm Rebuttal :- aff :-
 * Good Rebuttal points
 * Need to speak slow.
 * Need some organization

2nd Negative Rebuttal :- Neg :-
 * strong arguments for building strong case.
 * strong refuting points
 * Can avoid some repetition

2nd Aff Rebuttal :- aff :- Neg :-
 * Need stronger points for rebuttal

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin L...		Harker Sachin Shah	
Justin Lee	<u>27</u>	Sachin Shah	<u>28</u>

Winner: Harker (Sachin) debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sachin: - good framework level argument
- strong evidences.

Justin: - good Rebuttal
- well formulated ~~sachin's~~ arguments.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Gale Ranch Middle Gavin Kumar...

Young Genius Rohan Ray

Kavin Kumaravel

Rohan Ray

POINTS
(20 - 30)

POINTS
(20 - 30)

30

30

Winner: Gale Ranch Kavin Kumaravel debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

This was a very good debate! I'm very happy that both sides pushed through to the end. Both had really good rebuttals & evidence - clearly shows their grasp on their material.

I voted aff because I buy that congress is also biased & that jurors know more about the law's impacts on society. I also buy that jurors = direct democracy.

I vote on upheld value contention & value.

Neg. you were really good. It was a very tough decision. Your final speech was strong but you didn't respond to the fact that you have a moral obligation even if you can't permanently fix it.

You're very organized speaker! Good job to both of you & good luck! You all are

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Quentin Clark	(20 - 30)	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	(20 - 30)
Quentin Clark	<u>28</u>	Lakshmi Balram	<u>29.5</u>

Winner: BASIS Independent Silicon Valley School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Comments:

IAE

announce better
make clear what
topic/definitions are
good points

IAE
Aff

(C1) trial by jury checks
against corrupt judges
(to remedy of depression)

good arguments

(C4) good argument but
elaborate
further

Aff I felt that you should
have elaborated more on
your 2nd & 3rd Contentions

Reason for decision:

Although Aff had good points, Neg was able to refute them all successfully. Neg was able to win the framework & protect contentions well. (as admitted in speech by Aff). Neg's impacts & refutations were not all refuted & overweighed Aff's impacts in the end.

Neg

I liked how you extended your points, but I felt that you should have talked about how the implementation of jury nullification actually causes harm

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
TCA Grace Huang		Dougherty Valley Bridge Anurag...	
Grace Huang	<u>27</u>	Anurag Rao	<u>27</u>

Winner: Grace Huang debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team
Signature: Vishu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both side cross-x evidence was excellent,
very well explanation.

I voted Grace because she gave little
expressive evidence.

Good luck you both in your
life!!

Room: DOBBINS 105
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Anup Mehta
Kundassery, Keshav
Golden State
Gale Ranch

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Patricia Huang	(20 - 30)	Harker Aditya Tadimeti	(20 - 30)
Patricia Huang	<u>22</u>	Aditya Tadimeti	<u>28</u>

Winner: Harker/Aditya School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~Patricia Aff~~

~~Cross examination~~

Q1 -
Q2 -
Q3 -

~~Card questioning~~

~~Rebuttal points~~

Patricia (Aff)

Aditya (Neg)

- + Affirmative Constructive well presented
- + on cross examination was not able to defend
- + Poor response on first rebuttal (was not able to bring out points/used less than one min of allotted 4min)
- + 2nd Rebuttal was again handled poorly

- + Strong Framework
- + well defended
- + well articulated
- + Rebuttal was presented well
- + Overall winner

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Harker Rishab Parthasarathy

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Dougherty Valley Bridge Sahana...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Rishab Parthasarathy

27

Sahana Vivek

26.5

Winner: Harker Rishab Parthasarathy debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: used actual evidence, defended case better, refuted opponent's case better

Rishab

- very nice speaking voice
- do not panic in cross exam. Even if you are scared sound strong because that is what scores your opponent
- look at the judge during cross exam
- * 2 min prep time
- * 15 sec. prep time
- look at judge when giving your speech

Sahana

- * 2 min prep
- do not use the word "I" or "my". It is your opinion and is not a fact.
- look at the judge during cross exam.
- * 2 min prep

- neither side argued standards, criteria, and values so it was dropped.
- both dropped rebuttes to borrowing laws but the aff did not directly refute it.
- both can use personal opinions too much.
- both dropped rebuttes to borrowing laws and the aff did not directly refute it.
- both did not directly refute opponent's contention 2 & 3.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Shobhan Mangla		Harker AB	
Shobhan Mangla	<u>26.5</u>	A. Bhoja	<u>27</u>

Winner: Harker AB School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes.

Signature: Anindita Banerjee TCA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: Well prepared and well delivered case. Make sure you present your case in the allotted time. Main rebuttal point of "12 jurors" more effective than a single judge was. Based on common sense and not empirical evidence. Make sure your rebuttals are solidly backed by evidence. Overall great job! Keep working at it.

Neg: Sometimes it helps to slow down. I had trouble keeping up with your contentions. Smart use of counterpoints of judicial nullification. You had an easier time because the opponent did not use evidence during rebuttal.

Congratulations!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Jason Lee	(20 - 30)	Harker Cynthia Chen	(20 - 30)
Jason Lee	<u>26</u>	Cynthia Chen	<u>27</u>

Winner: Cynthia Chen (Harker) debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Ruchana

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

Presented a good case. But did not take the time/effort to rebutt the opponents contentions directly. Most of the opponents contentions were not rebutted.

Also was using new arguments in last speech...

Neg

Presented a good case and was able to effectively rebutt the opponents contentions in a clear manner.

I suggest to work a bit more on the speaking part. You are speaking too fast,

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Harker D.even Parikh

POINTS
(20 - 30)

TCA Rukmini Banerjee

POINTS
(20 - 30)

D.even Parikh

27

Rukmini Banerjee

29.5

Winner: TCA Rukmini Banerjee debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Nisha Prune

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Deven

Rukmini

- make sure you look up from your paper/laptop (I understand it is hard)
- pases too much
- * had 1 min 45 sec of cross exam test
- * 3 min of prep
- do not read of card directly. I dont know what to flow
- * 1 min prep
- very well done pro is hard to argue w. :)

- do not repeat what he says in crossfire, you sound rude.
- go slower in crossfire, sounds rude.
- do not cut the opponent off in the middle of a sentence.
- * 2 min prep
- very nice pace and voice in first speech
- * 2 min prep
- great job :)

• bought the neg argument on racial bias. Just because the pro is of a mixed racial group does not mean that there is going to be no racial bias

• bought neg standard through the slave and slave master hypothetical.

• bought the neg argument for laws are created by majority

• pro argued no pro argument on police hypothetical.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Joanne Park	(20 - 30)	Harker Reiya Das	(20 - 30)
Joanne Park	<u>29</u>	Reiya Das	<u>25</u>

Winner: AFF: Young Genius Joanne Park debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Renu Mehra

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff

- Nice delivery of prepared statements
- Clear rebuttals; and closing statements
- Rebutted opponents case well.
- Also provided clear blocks on opponents rebuttals.
- One of the opponents points:
 - Injuries are selected by lawyers & biased is not fully rebutted.

Neg

- Value not followed thru.
- Injustice argument not clearly rebutted
 - specific to marijuana.
- Very nice delivery of prepared statements and case.
- Rebuttals not so clear. Can work on being more calm.

Overall very good debate. 🌟

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Dougherty Valley Bridge Kelly Oh	(20 - 30)	Stratford Independant James Ko...	(20 - 30)
Kelly Oh	<u>28.</u>	James Korah	<u>25.</u>

Winner: Dougherty Valley School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Anindita Banerjee TCA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: Well prepared and delivered case. All the contentions were well organized and articulated. Performed better ~~and~~ during rebuttal. Pointed out the opponents first and third contention conflicted each other. Ended strong with rolling out voting rights.

Congratulations!

Neg: Strong case and well delivered. I think you should have focused more on refuting the aff case in your opening round rather than saying - the contention was not clear. Should use empirical evidence to refute. Attack your opponents value and value criterion - that will help you in winning the case. Work on a more solid conclusion and not ~~help~~ ^{end} abruptly - that will help leave a lasting impression. Good job! Keep working on it!

Room: MAIN 21
Flight 2 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

5

Rachel Chiang
~~Zhang, Haolin~~ M. I. Pratt
DV Bridge

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

NO SHOW

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Sheyhan Lalani	(20 - 30)	<u>BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...</u>	(20 - 30)
Sheyhan Lalani	_____	Sahiti Annadata	_____

Winner: Young Genius Sheyhan Lalani debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Room: DOBBINS 101
Flight 1 Start: 10:30 AM
Lincoln Douglas

3

5

Prasad, Vishakha
Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Catherine Zhao	(20 - 30)	Golden State Josh Sanyal	(20 - 30)
Catherine Zhao	<u>27</u>	Josh Sanyal	<u>27.5</u>

Winner: Josh Sanyal debating on the Catherine Zhao Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
Signature: Vishu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Zhao: Very good to ask questions to opponent. Very confident but your cross evidence was not much clear. Try to speak little slow & clear.

Josh: you r good at speak. Be more confident in cross x. ~~you~~

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker Ukarsh Priyam		TCA Andrew Song	
Ukarsh Priyam	<u>28</u>	Andrew Song	<u>27</u>

Winner: Harker Ukarsh Priyam debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Framework.

Comments:
Speaking style - don't play with hands, pencils, ties, etc.
- don't use too much filler words.
- stand farther away from chair/tablet
- don't overuse gestures.

Great job overall to both of you.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker Montek Kalsi		Brooks Joshua Athayde	
Montek Kalsi	29	Joshua Athayde	27

Winner: Harker Montek Kalsi debating on the Aff. Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Decision based on framework/argument
Neg conceded to Aff.

Comments: Overall.
great job debating, don't care too much comments
- don't argue in circles (circular logic).

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Avi Gulati	(20-30)	Gale Ranch Middle Srisahithi Ma...	(20-30)
Avi Gulati	<u>29.0</u>	Srisahithi Mannava	<u>29.5</u>

Winner: Srisahithi (Gale Ranch) debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good debator
- Jail overcrowding discussed more a infrastructure issue than Morality, Justice
- bringing specific objective points will be good
- good Eye Contact
- Very good time management

- Good debator
- Specific cases presented helped.
- Good Rebuttal by bringing the point that AFF made about dangerous criminals aren't caught
- good Eye Contact
- Very good time management

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

left

Young Genius Arnav Kartikeya

POINTS
(20-30)

28

Arnav Kartikeya

NEG

right

Harker Akhilesh Chegu

POINTS
(20-30)

30

Akhilesh Chegu

Winner:

Harker Akhilesh Chegu

debating on the

neg

Low point win?

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Justice *(with Judge during CX)*

law cannot describe our situation
 in periphery for redressors

→ loaded gun with magazine
 was not the nullity of legal

→ Trayvon Martin nullified
 so Zimmerman was arrested

- her history 2 part of movie

- look into jury selection process
 show Supreme decisions

look up medical purposes of
 marijuana ~~...~~

Commandments or
 amendments?

look at judge during CX

initially
 utilitarianism

- anarchy

very good
 speech

fairness of law
 is good point
 with 18 things

or 18 points

Should ~~asked~~ more about
 canthism

bring up 5 examples right
 in speech

give a lot of good examples

Gravin Burns
MILPITAS
Mamava, Srinivasa
Gale Branch

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

left

Harker Anna Vazhaeparambil

POINTS
(20 - 30)

~~28~~ 27

Anna Vazhaeparambil

NEG

right

Young Genius Surbhi Bhat

POINTS
(20 - 30)

29

Surbhi Bhat

Winner:

Young Genius Surbhi Bhat Neg

debating on the

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

School/Team

Signature:

[Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

no work on speech

until no witnesses
got interest as to society
lawyer's right to money
writing law published legal system
checks in just govt, right to
deny or jury to judge Justice
Justice can't be pushed for verdict,
penal freedom

Justice is matter of opinion

- look at judge during CX
- look at judge. don't hide behind your screen

- while she says that nullification is arbitrary system, provide examples of jury null and it renders her point obsolete

- also she says that legal works perfectly - prove her wrong

- while she says subjective decision provide evidence that usy had strict construction of rule of construction under factoring

- look at judge during CX
- because John Adams agrees, it doesn't make it just

- legal system is law

- Don't bother w/ off the road maps

~~and~~ penal malpractice

good point w/ race association
Justice is matter of opinion

provide strong examples of medical malpractice, yo. simply shouldn't be hypothetical situation

goal should be to say majority of cases are positive based on jury nullification, not that all cases need to be successful

more on back

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Golden State Steven Jiang

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Scholars Debate Deepali Joshi

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Steven Jiang

28

Deepali Joshi

27

Winner: Golden State (Steven) debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Better time Management (use all allocated time and finish within time)
Overall good debate
- For first debate did a very good attempt
- Presented case very well

Suggestions

- improve eye contact
- time management
- be little loud

- Better time management (to use all time available)
- Good debate
- Made a very good attempt
- Avoid / don't bring new arguments in final Rebuttal
- Good Cross - Examination

Suggestions

- improve eye contact
- time management