

Room: DOBBINS 104
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

~~4~~

Jaldu, Divya
BASIS

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Sheyhan Lalani

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Harker Montek Kalsi

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Sheyhan Lalani

28

Montek Kalsi

30

Winner: Montek Kalsi debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? 2

Signature: V. Malik

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Room: DOBBINS 104
Flight 1 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

Jaldu, Divya
BASIS

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Scholars Debate Deepali Joshi

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Deepali Joshi

30

NEG

Young Genius Haritha Kumar

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Haritha Kumar

20

Winner: Haritha Kumar debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: V. Malles

Comments & Reason for Decision: Clarity of speech, control and depth of the subject,

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

4 mins prep time

AFF

Young Genius Ashley Kang

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Ashley Kang

~~20~~ 27

NEG

Harker Anshel Bhoja
Harker Aditya Andrade

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Anshel Bhoja
Aditya Andrade

~~20~~ 27.5

Winner: Harker / Harker School/Team | debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Vibha Gurunathan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Achieved util better
- impact of fallen country

TO BOTH:

- Look directly at judge when speaking
- Link everything back to your V/C + U
- show how you best achieve that

TO AFF:

BE MORE CONFIDENT!!! You have
an awesome case and good reputation
so don't be nervous. If

To Neg: give more disads to ^{JN} ~~PL~~ vs.
~~Just~~ JN. Inconsistent applications can
happen w/ JN too.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Cx mins prep time Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Anna Vazhaeparambil	(20-30)	Gale Ranch Middle Mahitha Vug...	(20-30)
Anna Vazhaeparambil	<u>27.5</u>	Mahitha Vuggumudi	<u>30</u>

Winner: Gale Ranch School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Vibha Gurunathan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Neg:
- completely won FW
 - great use of CX
 - link back to v/c more
 - Great Contentions + evidence
 - awesome rebuttals + speeches
 - Press more on the \$10 / life thing from CX
- AFF:
- don't concede ~~any~~ FW in CX
 - cannot bring up new pts in last speech
 - don't waver while speaking, stand in 2nd speech
 - Have B.T.H.E.R impacts for util
 - you need really big impacts to show why you won

*app dropped
args and
that it
should
not be
counted in
the next
speech*

RFD: Neg won FW + achieved it with their c's

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Stratford Independant Mohul Ag...

POINTS
(20-30)

Harker Akshay Manglik

POINTS
(20-30)

Mohul Aggarwal

28

Akshay Manglik

27

Winner: Stratford / Mohul.
School/Team

debating on the Aff
Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ~~Comments~~
- Very well structured framework with tight link between contentions & value criteria and value
- ~~Spd~~ couldn't provide of evidence that jury and judge have the same info.

(Comments)

- wouldn't distract opp. during his prep time by asking unnecessary question about time.
- could be better organised

- Contentions were well presented but found weak link to value criteria of utilitarianism
- legitimacy and judicial nullification are ~~are~~ contradicting to each other.

(Comments)

- ~~he~~ wouldn't make unnecessary gesture during opponen's constructive

Since I'm looking to the framework, the Aff is winning. I found stronger link between ~~an~~ the contentions and value in Aff. side.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Cynthia Chen	(20-30)	Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir D...	(20-30)
Cynthia Chen	<u>29</u>	Kabir Dubey	<u>29</u>

Winner: Dougherty / Kabir School/Team debating on the Neg. Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- The contentions were well presented.
- A little weaker link to the value criteria of utilitarianism.
- majority / minority concept in contention #2 was offended by opp, but not well defended.

- well structured framework.
- More evidence ~~was~~ for contentions would be necessary.

< Comment >
- Good manner.

< Comment >
- Good eye contact
- Good manner

"Both are very good debaters!!"

It was very close debate. However Neg side has better link between contentions, value and value criteria.

Room: DOBBINS 108
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Young Genius Pramukh Shandilya

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Pramukh Shandilya

20

NEG

Gale Ranch Middle Mishka Nara...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Mishka Narasimhan

25

Winner: Gale Ranch/Mishika debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff-construct	neg-Cross	Neg-construct	Aff-cross
<p>Value: Justice Criteria: Fair action Cont1: use their own judgement. presentation Jury nullification is useful to deal with corrupt politicians and lawyers.</p>	<p>Jury takes fair action How do jurors are accountable when trained in the law. → training accountability? Human thought is credible?</p>	<p>Construct -govt. legitimacy... -abide by law Cont1: equal rights. Cont2: evasion of law, definition of law, Vain from jury to jury. Human opinions vary. Cont3: Anarchy. Cont3: in justice.</p>	<p>Cross questioning was weak and responses were also very vague. <u>Both sides were weak.</u></p>
<p>construct presentation was weak.</p>	<p>Good cross from neg. Aff failed to answer every question raised.</p>	<p>Refutation Aquit criminals for unjust reasons. Justice not achieved by fair action Human opinion in Court of Law - chaos.</p>	

PTO

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

Win

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...		Young Genius Shobhan Mangla	
Vikram Kashyap	<u>24</u>	Shobhan Mangla	<u>26</u>

Winner: Young Genius / Shobhan debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff-construct Vikram	Neg-cross Shobhan	Neg-construct/Rebuttal Shobhan
Value - Justice. Criteria - Law	Cont 1: State whole 6th amendment	Definition ✓ Value: Morality Criteria? uphold Law
Cont 1: constitutional right, 6th amendment	Cont 2: Why believe Tx-lawyer: Trust One person & empirical evidence. Jury collected do better decision.	No consistancy ✓ - ref to cross - jury's interpretation of law
Cont 2: Jury better than judge.	Cont 3: Memallen case when?	Cont 2: Jury are biased
Cont 3: Helpful in special case	Law can be exploited?	Cont 3: Emotion cloud jury's judgement -
Cont 4: Legislator knowingly pass wrong law	Unanimous jury decision?	Anarchy - jury nullification leads to Anarchy
Cont 5: jury nullification same as preautrial discretion	Cross was good, missed connecting it to aff-contention.	Rebuttal X Cont 1: 6th Amendment - impartial jury
Increase justice Fair trial	Aff-responses were well formulated to support his case.	Cont 2: why trust 1 attorney Cont 3: D?? Cont 3: Cont 5:
Good Construct. well layed out. All contentions have good references.		

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Amudha Amudha Sairam

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Lakshmi Balram

29

Amudha Sairam

29

Winner: Amudha Amudha Sairam debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Emily Ki

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Strong point of view, well-presented, However, need evidence/example to support AFF point of view. Fully-addressed opponents point, but again lack of evidence.

Good speaking / presentation skill. A very close call in this round.

NEG: relatively hard to defend: AFF side, but used a few good court case & verdicts as example to support NEG side of point. In rebuttal, continue to use current court case to ^{further} defend NEG side successfully and make it convincing.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Harker N Wang

POINTS
(20 - 30)


Anirudh Natarajan

~~28~~ 28

N Wang

~~26~~ 26

Winner: BASIS Independent SV School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff, Anirudh:

1. Nice presentation style, speech pace.
2. ~~Strong~~ Argumentation structure is ~~good~~ ^{strong} and unique. Redefine Jury's function as such that provides an additional check point to ~~prevent~~ ^{prevent} harsh treatment of citizens.
3. Nice summary ~~round~~ ^{round}, as well good debatal work.

NEG. N Wang:

1. Could work on your presentation style a little, try to stay still when talk which will help you convincing people, speech is clearly stated though.
2. Nice frame work for your own argument, However, debating your opponent's point is ~~a little~~ weak did not provide ^{enough} ~~any~~ ^{adequate} argumentation to ~~debate~~ ^{debate} opponent's points at all.
3. Speak more confidently will help your case also.

Room: DOBBINS 106
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

Huang, Fred
TCA

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Harker Reiya Das

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Young Genius Arnav Kartikeya

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Reiya Das

28.5

Arnav Kartikeya

28

Winner: Reiya Das, Harker School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

<p>Aff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- morality- utilitarianism <p>Aff side is more organized and presented a more coherent and stronger case.</p>	<p>NEG</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- justice- rule of law
---	--

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Akash Balakrishn... POINTS (20 - 30)

Golden State Steven Jiang POINTS (20 - 30)

Akash Balakrishnan 27

Steven Jiang 26.8

Winner: Akash Balakrishnan YG debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

Value = Justice
criteria: promote Justice
slightly better organized
with presentation

NEG

Value => order & law
criterion => prevent anarchy
complained too much about "research burden"

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Brooks Joshua Athayde	(20 - 30)	Harker Quentin Clark	(20 - 30)
Joshua Athayde	<u>27</u>	Quentin Clark	<u>29</u>

Winner: Harker / Quentin Clark debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Josh's construction: Weak argument about contention 2 (Drug) & Contention 3 (Racism).

Quentin's x-examination - Asked for evidence & definition
Quentin's -ve Rebuttal - nicely presented. Clearly laid out the foundation.

Josh's x-examination - Asked for clarification on the contentions.

Josh's Aff Rebuttal - Re established the contentions. Did not effectively argue about opponent's contentions.

Quentin's -ve Rebuttal (2nd) - Very pointed rebuttal of opponent's contentions. Missed a couple of fallacies in the opponent's explanation of the claim.

Josh's 2nd Aff Rebuttal - Did not manage to establish the groundwork to prove the opponent's rebuttal wrong.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Ukarsh Priyam	(20-30)	Young Genius Joanne Park	(20-30)
Ukarsh Priyam	<u>27</u>	Joanne Park	<u>28</u>

Winner: Young Genius / Joanne Park School/Team debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ukarsh (Affirmative Constructive) - Strong fact based claim. However explanation is weak. Framework not clearly stated. Joanne - Posited questions to understand the framework.

Joanne Rebuttal - Clearly stated the claim. Well constructed.

Ukarsh's X-examination - ~~asked~~ asked for clarification. Seemed a little lost.

Ukarsh's first Rebuttal - Restated the affirmative constructive

Joanne's 2nd Negative Rebuttal - Reestablishes the framework. Clearly reinforces the argument.

Ukarsh's 2nd Rebuttal - very pointed. Technically ~~proved~~ went through the various contributions to prove the opponent wrong.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Rohan Ray

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Golden State Kaushik Jasti

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Rohan Ray

29

Kaushik Jasti

29

Winner: Rohan Ray School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Gillian Athayde

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The two unrefuted contentions were:

AFF: Jury nullification prevents unjust harm
Undeserved punishment is an unjust harm

NEG: There can be no set of laws with nullification.

AFF made a stronger case than NEG. → AFF wins.

Both debaters were very strong and argued persuasively.

Great job!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin L...	(20-30)	Harker Rishab Parthasarathy	(20-30)
Justin Lee	<u>28.5</u>	Rishab Parthasarathy	<u>27.5</u>

Winner: Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin Lee debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Gillian Athayde

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF main contention that Jury Nullification prevents ^{application of} unfairness and should be used as a form of disobedience stands.

NEG defense of acquittal actually supported the AFF case

Room: MAIN 7
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

SURYA KONERU
CHALLENGER

Pai, Raj
Communication

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Shachi Prasad	(20 - 30)	Harker Akhilesh Chegu	(20 - 30)
Shachi Prasad	<u>27</u>	Akhilesh Chegu	<u>27</u>

Winner: Harker Akhilesh Chegu debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Surya

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I vote NEA because the arguments made by NEA were more thorough and convincing than the Aff. Both debaters had good speaking skills, but the NEA made more arguments and broken down the Aff's contentions. Both dropped some of the other sides contentions. But the NEA was not repetitive and had substance. The Aff's arguments were repetitive. I think the Aff's Opening was better than NEA, but subsequent arguments did not carry the momentum. For all of these reasons I vote NEA. AFF can improve by reducing repetitions and answering with arguments.

~~4~~

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Neha Rachapudi

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Harker Aditya Tadimeti

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Neha Rachapudi

26

Aditya Tadimeti

26

Winner: Young Genius Neha Rachapudi debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Surya

Comments & Reason for Decision:

I voted for the Aff because the arguments were more convincing & clear. Both debaters argued well. But Aff did not drop any arguments. The NEA dropped Aff's contention #1. While the NEA argued well for the greater good for many people, the NEA did not make ~~clear~~ clear arguments against the Aff's contention of validity of militation when the law is unjust. The Aff answered/rebutted all arguments made by the NEA. For all these reasons, I vote Aff. For NEA, I suggest to spend more time on rebutting the arguments.

Room: DOBBINS 103
Flight 1 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

NARANG, KAMINI
~~Shandilya, Sveta~~
Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Shanno...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Harker Annie Ma

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Shannon Zhang

~~29~~ 27

Annie Ma

29

Winner: HARKER School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No.

Signature: Kamini Narang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG: Able to provide more value criterion & also able to defend them during rebuttal. Also able to find loop holes in Aff. criterion.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker Catherine Zhao

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Catherine Zhao

29

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kelly Oh

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Kelly Oh

28

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? 29

Signature: Sairam

Comments & Reason for Decision: Very close round and mature debate. Good arguments.

Aff. Const: Very good & clear. Good defence during cross-exam.

Neg Cross-Exam: Clear questions.

Neg Const → clear explanation

Neg Rebuttal → good rebuttal

Aff Cross Exam: Good cross Exam & very valid question

First Aff Rebuttal: Clear arguments vs. opponent's points

2nd Neg Rob. Very good but slightly weaker conclusion.

2nd Aff Reb. Strong conclusion.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Sahana... (20 - 30)

TCA Grace Huang (20 - 30)

Sahana Vivek 27

Grace Huang 28

Winner: TCA School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? 28

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision: Very Good clear arguments.

Aff const : clear explanation. But some examples would have helped.
Good response to Neg. Cross-Exam.
Neg Cross-Exam: clear go to Aff.

Neg Const. } clear explanation.
Neg Rebuttal } confident, clear answers to cross-exam.

Aff cross-exam → good approach on the questions to Neg.

First Aff Reb. :- clear but lack of specific legal cases.
2nd Neg Reb. Very good stand and very clear.

2nd Aff Reb. : Good conclusion. but slightly weak on final conclusion

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Golden State Josh Sanyal

POINTS
(20-30)

27

Josh Sanyal

NEG

Gale Ranch Middle Kavin Kumar...

POINTS
(20-30)

29

Kavin Kumaravel

Winner: Gale Ranch Middle debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Nisha Prudh

Comments & Reason for Decision: weighing (neg outweighed all of pro's arguments)

Josh:

↳ nice pace

↳ don't panic during crossfire, keep a cool head

*2 min head prep
1: no examples of all white juries

↳ didn't understand 6th ammen.

status quo

u.s not in anarchy

↳ summarize cards in last speech, this makes it look like you know more

Kavin:

*2 min prep

def: message
no solvency
no sending message

↳ agree on util.
w/ jury does not represent the population
not democratic
set 2 standard

shouldn't use jury null.
violate 6th ammen.

nice tone
very strong and confident

social order

util

anarchy → causes

Room: DOBBINS 204
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

Sun, Wensheng
Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Dougherty Valley Bridge Twisha...	(20 - 30)	Golden State Katia Nagarajan	(20 - 30)
Twisha Chawla	_____	Katia Nagarajan	_____

Winner: BOTH! debating on the _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: _____

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

TCA Rukmini Banerjee

POINTS
(20-30)

Rukmini Banerjee

28

NEG

Young Genius Jason Lee

POINTS
(20-30)

Jason Lee

28

Winner: Young Genius Jason Lee debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Devendra Joshi

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff knows the LD mechanic.
Good linking with impact statements.
Weak rebuttal, missed the core argument that jury nullification may promote bias.
Good framework debate when extending a contention focus on impact. Just extension is not helpful.

Neg Cross was verifying the missed contents. Try to probe into facts.
Good speaker, persuasive
Good cross X
Missed Aff's 3rd contention in rebuttal
Reiterated in NR that Aff does not provide just verdict.
Try to use complete time in the NR speech. Left more than 3 minutes.

Result Very close debate.
Neg won the debate for ~~the ra~~ based on his racial bias debate.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Dougherty Valley Bridge Anurag...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Anurag Rao

28

NEG

Young Genius Surbhi Bhat

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Surbhi Bhat

27

Winner: Dougherty Valley Anurag debating on the Aff Side (Aff of Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Devendra

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff Good speaker
Only 2 contentions (crispy)
Good questions in Cross X
Strong defense on racism
issue. Good rebuttal with
evidence.
Answered the Founder's
question.

Good speaker, persuasive Neg
Don't look at the opponent
Weak rebuttal, missed
the impacts of Aff's contention
Contentions ~~to~~ not linking
well with the framework

Aff won the contention debate.
Aff had better framework debate.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Harker Sachin Shah

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Young Genius Surya Midha

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Sachin Shah
AK

28

Surya Midha

27

Winner: Harker Sachin Shah debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Y.V.V. Prasad

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sachin clearly outlined points and rebutted his opponent -
Surya did question each of the contentions. He also ~~presented~~
presented his case with clear points

Both were good and it was a close call.

Picked Sachin as winner as he presented more @ examples
and ~~references~~ references. Surya's was focused on ~~meta~~ metasearch.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF		POINTS	NEG		POINTS
Young Genius Anirudh Seshadri		(20-30)	Gale Ranch Middle Srisahithi Ma...		(20-30)
Anirudh Seshadri		<u>26</u>	Srisahithi Mannava		<u>28</u>
Aff	Gale Ranch Middle		Neg		
Winner:	<u>Srisahithi</u>		debating on the <u>Neg.</u>		Low point win? _____
	<small>School/Team</small>		<small>Side (Aff or Neg)</small>		
Signature:	<u>J. K. V. [Signature]</u>				

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both did present good contentions.
Srisahithi did better on questioning Anirudh.

Room: MAIN 12
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

4

Porchozhayan, Nisha
~~Bain, Gavin~~
Milpitas

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker Thresiamma Vazhaepara...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

27.5

Thresiamma Vazhaeparambil

NEG

Young Genius Vivek Agarwal

POINTS
(20 - 30)

27

Vivek Agarwal

Winner: Young Genius School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes

Signature: Nisha Nishu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

weighing
Thresiamma

- ~~be stronger in your arguments~~
- be stronger in your arguments
- big does not impact
- don't stutter too much

Vivek

- you should show it's very important to win
- have more emotion
- s: ~~morality~~ justice
- criteria: util.
- help majority
- anarchy

Room: DOBBINS 103
Flight 2 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

~~4~~

NARANG, KAMINI
~~Shandilya, Sveta~~
Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Harker Avi Gulati

POINTS
(20 - 30)

TCA Andrew Song

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Avi Gulati

29

Andrew Song

26

Winner: HARKER School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: Kamini Narang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

4

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Patricia Huang

POINTS
(20-30)

Stratford Independant James Ko...

POINTS
(20-30)

Patricia Huang

25

James Korah

28

Winner: Stratford Independent-James Korah debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Hina Bindu.V. 12/05/2015

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Patricia Huang - Could not elaborate on her 2 of the contentions in the first cross ex.
- Could not rebut the opponent's 2nd and 3rd contentions

James Korah - Could not answer the opponent's question on his first contention

overall
So I see that James Korah had established his case much better than his opponent.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
Golden State Austin Li		Young Genius Diya Aggarwal	
Austin Li	<u>25</u>	Diya Aggarwal	<u>30</u>

Winner: Young Genius Diya Aggarwal debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Hima Bindu V.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- ① Austin Li's ~~main~~ first contention is based on ^{only} 1 Lawyer's statement, which is not enough. Also, not supported by any study. Could not answer two ~~of~~ questions in cross examination.
- ② Could not really use the time for cross ex. So could not come up with good cross ex. Couldn't properly rebut the opponent's ^{contentions}. So clearly, it felt like the preparation was not enough and could not put forward good supporting data, statistics or examples to prove his point. Austin Li conceded his last speech and accepted that he lost and wanted to stop.

Room: MAIN 2
Flight 1 Start: 5:20 PM
Lincoln Douglas

aff
4

Srinivas Mammava
DV Bridge
Sun, Wensheng
Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	(20-30)	Golden State Anushka Sanyal	(20-30)
Sahiti Annadata	<u>25</u>	Anushka Sanyal	<u>28</u>

Winner: Anushka School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Srinivas Mammava

Comments & Reason for Decision:
Anushka is good at well structure argumentation and excep
tional framework.