

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Reddy & Sajoi	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Anshul Reddy	27.1
1	Dhruv Sajoi	27.2

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Manku...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Akash Mankude	27.3
2	Rohit Srinivas	27.4

Winner: Harker RS School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: GSA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Resolved: refugees over national interest

Aff: Dougherty MS

Neg: Harker RS

winner: neg: proved aff did not have sufficient or any evidence

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Harker JN	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Arnav Jain	28.0
1	Arvin Nidadavolu	27.9

Spkr	Terman Middle Zhang & Naddell	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Max Zhang	28.2
1	Leo Naddell	28.1

Winner: Terman Middle - ZN debating on the CON Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: GSA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Resolved: humanitarian needs over national interest

Aff: Harker JN

neg: Terman Middle - ZN

winner: neg: presented more evidence & more ~~conv~~ convincing argument for economy & national safety.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Harker Su & Sun	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Alisa Su	28
2	April Sun	20

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center A...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Indu Abhilash	24
2	Nazhah Mir	24

Winner: Harker / Su & Sun debating on the CON Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: B Mahesh School: TERMAN

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Harker gave well defined & stated arguments for their position. They argued for a national interest. Gurukulam was not able to refute this well.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	Harker Wang & Yuan	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Aimee Wang	26
1	Alina Yuan	24

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Brooks Gattapally & Kurapati	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Shruti Gattapally	28
1	Varshita Kurapati	21

Winner: HARKER / WANG & YUAN debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: BMahesh School: TERMAN

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Harker argued that the primary responsibility of a government is towards its citizens.

Brooks ~~did not do~~ could have done better attacking this central thesis and presenting arguments for why other things mattered.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT Communication

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	Atiiva Gupta & Lee	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Shama Gupta	27
2	Audrey Lee	28

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Golden State Koppurapu & Cho...	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Rithvik Koppurapu	26
1	Rishabh Chowhan	28

Winner: Golden State debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Comm Academy.

Comments & Reason for Decision: please think through & construct strong arguments complete with impact

- Better living conditions I felt your concessions were missing impact + evidence

① Create problems for countries (what kind of ~~the~~ problems?)

② taking in refugees does not solve the home country problems

~~I am gave~~ More statement of facts as opposed to arguments/warrants that convince me of their position

③ Cannot ~~form~~ a better life for future Refugees.
 → Strong rebuttal from (AL)

(RK) → Should make ^{eye} better ~~eye~~ contact with judges & opponents

① Religious values
 - Help the refugees based on religious values.

② Radicalization
 Refugee's left alone become radicalized.

③ Collective nations (hegemony)
 - public opinion
 → Developed countries.

→ Strong Cross fire
 → Good counter to this point.

- RC → push harder on your opponent when it was clear that they didn't construct a strong contention with evidence.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT Communication

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Harker Sankar & Weirch	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Pramiti Sankar	28
1	Anna Weirch	26

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Golden State Yan & Gong	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Daphne Yan	25
2	Caroline Gong	26

Winner: Harker debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: COMM ACADEMY.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

DEFN: Refugee.
 ① Burden Sharing: ^{Good Counter for this point} 9 million increase in refugee.
 → Increasing aid
 UNHCR → 9 million increase in refugees (what is the impact?)
 ② Moral Obligation: impact? ^{Good Counter with evidence}
 ③ Economic Benefit - (Rebuttals were better)
 Contentions don't have a strong impact + evidence. More statements of fact.
 4 Carter vs. West analogy. Rebuttal was strong for ①

DEFN: frankie, humanitarian, refugee.
 ① ~~Terror~~ Terrorists - angle.
 ② Government instability (good evidence)
 (DY) → Need to have at least ^{reasonably} well memorized.
 (DY) → Needs to slow down
 ③ Bad problems to the country
 (asked for source of the ^{one} contentions)
 Both teams need to prepare impact/evidence better.
 (DY) → Did not speak properly for Summary section. Not very coherent.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Harker Jeyendran & Xu	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Vidya Jeyendran	21 ²¹
	Sidra Xu	23 ²³

Spkr	Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Brandon Schletzbaum	24 ²⁴
	Rahul Madnawat	25 ²⁵

Winner: Milpitas Schletzbaum & Madnawat debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Rahul School: DVHS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

1 → Stability
 a Countries are not stable
 b ~~Reverse~~ Destabilize economic, law/order, disease, terrorism
 x 2 → Destabilization
 Compete for resources, Inflation, ↓ wages, increase competition for jobs (Syria) Jordan)
 ✓ Not able to justify this.

Utilitarian not valid.

- Negates Deforestation
- " climate change refugees

Disease → Negates the contention but argument not very clear.

Did not defend lowering wages

~~From~~ Cross question on terrorism ~~that~~ was not clear.

Conclusion: Not convincing.

Not clear that this is caused by refugees.
 1 → Deforestation, environmental degradation. (eg. Nigeria - are located in env. sensitive areas)
 Climate change. Will lead to mass migration
 2 → Disease outbreak.

Above contentions were not challenged.

Stability improves to developing countries
 Helping refugees reduces terrorism
 Refutes economic problem ← supported by data
 GDP will improve - both for US & Lebanon

Try to defend deforestation - but not convincing

Coosofire questions for Speaker 2 across both teams was not logical at end.

Conclusion: could have been better. Still better than "Con" team.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Harker Liou & Ding	POINTS (20-30)
	Kenneth Liou	27
	Jeremy Ding	25

Spkr	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	POINTS (20-30)
	Caleb Choi	21
	Ian Zhang	24

Winner: Harker Liou & Ding debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

Signature: Rahul School: DVHS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Solve before it is too late

- Stabilize Syria
- Winter coming: will lead to big challenges (humanitarian)

- Economic benefits

- ↑ exports Lebanon
- Benefit 10 times initial cost
- ↑ GDP by 2020 / reduce inflationary pressure

Megated: backlash, terrorism.
 ↑ economic benefit.

Strongly refuted the contention about economy.

Very strong in defending own contentions.

- Social tension & backlash.
- Fear of terrorism
- Govt. are unable to provide for refugees :- overcrowding
- No universal methods exist.

Agreed that we should allow them, just not all at once.

Not clear on cross question (Jan)

Did not pay attention to question during cross fire.

Megated: economic benefit. eg. Germany.

Says other team did not provide evidence. But they did provide statistics.

Reaffirmed terrorism.

Stated that past examples are not relevant.

3

Porchezhiyan, Nisha
~~Dogra, Sonnia~~
 Communication
 Milpitas

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	Gale Ranch Middle Huang & Sun	POINTS (20-30)
2	Jennifer Huang	28.5
1	Lauria Sun	27

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	POINTS (20-30)
1	Albert Chu	26
2	Andy Lu	26

Winner: Gale Ranch Middle School/Team debating on the con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? no

Signature: Nisha Porchezhiyan School: Milpitas

bought the national interest argument

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Lauria 1:

- nice speed
- ~~easy~~ to understand
- try to answer their questions
- too loud

Albert 1:

- too quiet
- slurring words together
- hard to understand
- slow down! especially in crossfire
- bring laptop to eyes, not eyes to laptop

Jennifer 2:

- easy to understand when extending arguments and rebutting, good
- do not panic in crossfire
- be stronger when claiming it is not relevant

Andy 2:

- too loud
- its very hard to understand
- too many pauses in between words
- NO NEW ARGUMENTS IN FINAL FOCUS

• bought the con argument about national interest.

• aff needs to prove why looking at the whole pic. is better. if so, I would've voted for you on that. net ben. is not vague.

• nice Jordan argument from the con side but needs to extend on it more. aff does not understand the argument.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Nova 42 Crawford & Choi	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Harlan Crawford	28
1	Young Choi	28

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Kapara...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Rahul Kaparaboyana	26
2	Jeevan Rajkumar	29

Winner: Dougherty Valley Bridge debating on the Pro Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: *Nicolas Martinez* School: Milpitas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Majority of points made by the con side outweighed the pro side; however, the pro side was able to come back with more information that outweighed the con side. Great job to both teams.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Communication Xu & Balasubra...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Jacqueline Xu	29
2	Aeshon Balasubramanian	27

Spkr	Golden State Noru & Mogli	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Sahana Noru	29
2	Riya Mogli	30

Winner: Golden State Noru & Mogli debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Arun School: Milpitas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Communication Xu & Balasubramanian

- 1 strong speaker
- but tend to repeat themselves, not providing much substantial evidence to the round
- not very strong evidence or arguments

Golden State Noru & Mogli

- ↳ strong counter arguments
- slightly stuttering and hesitant, but still presenting strong evidence and good cross-ex questions.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Golden State Maharana & Huang	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Lakshay Maharana	29
2	Howard Huang	30

Spkr	Young Genius Gupta & Chamarchy	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Ajay Gupta	28
1	Agustya Chamarchy	27

Winner: Golden State Maharana & Huang debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Milpitas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Golden State Maharana & Huang

- Very forceful speakers
- made strong points clearly.
- gave successful and smooth arguments and crossfire questions.

Young Genius Gupta & Chamarchy

- attempted to refute, but didn't really succeed
- gave weak evidence

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Nova 42 Kyle & Agarwal	POINTS (20-30)
2	Daniel Kyle	29
1	Priya Agarwal	28

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center V...	POINTS (20-30)
2	Akash Vemulapalli	26
1	Pranav Palleti	25

Winner: NOVA 42 KYLE & AGARWAL School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Rahul School: REDWOOD MIDDLE

Comments & Reason for Decision: The "CON" TEAM MADE CONVINCING ARGUMENTS AND FACTS TO HIGHLIGHT THAT REFUGEES SHOULD NOT BE PRIORITIZED "OVER" NATIONAL INTEREST. COULD STILL HELP REFUGEES WITHOUT NEED TO PRIORITIZE "OVER" NATIONAL INTEREST.

~~WAS EFFECTIVE SOMETHING THAT IS ALIGNED TO NATIONAL INTEREST~~

~~CON WAS EFFECTIVE IN ALLOWING HELP TO REFUGEES AS BEING ALLOWED TO~~

- PRIYA
 - CONFIDENT
 - Included lots of facts which were well structured
 - Good questions during crossfire to refute other team's claim that refugees should be treated over national interest
 - Used crossfire to get other teams agree refugees are "equal" & not above ~~the~~ national interest
- DANIEL
 - Very confident
 - Clear delivery
 - Gave good arguments to refute other team's claims
 - Pointed out several areas where other team contradicted their own ~~for~~ claims
 - Good argument to establish helping refugees same / similar to national interest & not "over" national interest
- PRANAV
 - Should include more passion while speaking
 - Included lots of ~~the~~ data but primarily focused on one or two points
 - didn't ask ~~relevant~~ questions during crossfire. Mostly asked for data from other team
- AKASH
 - Refuted claim on 'no legal obligation' using good facts
 - Didn't use all the time for rebuttal. Used
 - Got a little rattled during crossfire
 - Good FINAL Focus Summary ~~but~~ used only 1 minute

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Milpitas Pallapati & Sandhu	POINTS (20-30)
1	Hannah Pallapati	26
2	Esha Sandhu	26

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Sharma...	POINTS (20-30)
2	Garima Sharma	27
1	Priyanka Janjanam	27

Winner: DOUGHERTY VALLEY BRIDGE School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Rahul Jain School: REDWOOD MIDDLE

Comments & Reason for Decision: CON SIDE GAVE GOOD ARGUMENTS ON HOW GOVERNMENT'S PRIMARY JOB IS TO TAKE CARE OF ITS CITIZENS & THEIR INTERESTS AND HENCE IT SHOULD PRIORITIZE THEIR NEEDS/INTERESTS OVR REFUGEES. PRO TEAM COULDN'T REFUTE THIS AND FOCUSED TOO MUCH ON ENVIRONMENT AND DISEASE PREVENTION BENEFITS RGLATED TO HELPING REFUGEES

HANNAH

- Presented facts clearly.
- Good facts on why it is important to help refugees focused on environment impact and disease prevention
- ~~Got other team to agree to her framework that overall good is best~~
- Summary not used effectively to crystallize own points but used to point to other teams points

ESHA

- Good rebuttal on terrorism issue cannot be directly tied to refugee issue due to improvement on screening technology
- ~~Used only~~ less than 2 minutes for rebuttal

~~CON~~ PRIYANKA

- Confident speaker
- presented good data on ~~refugee~~ negative impact of refugees on Lebanon's ^{economy} and also how it can lead to terrorism and loss of life
- Got other team to agree to her "framework" that option that delivers better overall good is better.
- Good crossfire question on how helping help refugees ~~is better~~ for environment may not be ~~related~~ related to overall topic

GARIMA

- Good arguments ~~on~~ that root cause of environment ~~is not really~~ issues is not really
- % of refugees
- ~~of~~ disease / environment issues aren't directly related to resolution
- was able to tie background checks to national interest & hence needs to prioritize it

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

A

B

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Milpitas Or & Nair	POINTS (20-30)
2	Maggie Or	28
1	Swetha Nair	27

Spkr	Brooks Shah & Vasani	POINTS (20-30)
1	Sajiv Shah	26
2	Arya Vasani	28

Winner: Milpitas Or & Nair debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature] School: HARKER

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did very well. They seemed well prepared and very well balanced. Team A showed more team balance and complemented each other well. In two of the three interactive clash team A prevailed, especially on remarks on ~~less~~ humanitarian grounds. Team B made a good point about terrorism that team A could not challenge. Overall very close. — ~~but~~

Swetha :- - human life important
 • morally wrong to discriminate against human
 • economy - resources, min job
 I ✓ Lebanon → growth 2010 ✓
 • Syrian refug 10x population
 → share the burden for others to collapse
 → effects bad, —
 a.

Sanjiv 3:30
 • prioritize over.
 1st - low wage worker.
 | - maid - employment...
 - refugees - better health care
 → disease that can spread
 2nd - Govt has duty to align
 - efforts, time, ..., liberty, property
 - Japan not
 3rd → without leave from over help
 their own citizen.
 Oman

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Challenger School SY Arora & Jilla	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Saanvi Arora	
	Sanjana Jilla	

~~PRO CON (Circle One)~~

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center N...	POINTS (20 - 30)
	Vishnu Naroth	
	Ayan Patel	

Winner: Challenger School debating on the — Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? —
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: HARKER

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Team B didn't show up until 3:45 p.m.

Room: MAIN 14
Flight 2 Start: 2:00 PM
Public Forum

3

Mani John
Kurupati, Sunil
Brecks
Gale Ranch

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Hansen & Raina	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Madeleine Hansen	29
2	Anishka Raina	28

Spkr	Milpitas Huang & Ho	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Daniel Huang	28
2	Chin-Hsing Ho	29

Winner: Milpitas School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature:  School: Gale Ranch

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did an excellent job. The ~~pro~~ Pro team was slightly better in presenting their contentions clearly and thus establishing. Con was not convincing in ~~re~~ refuting Pro's moral obligation arguments. Pro was able to counter Con's economic issue arguments with points about Europe's age gap and looming youth crisis, and with points about ~~of~~ external financial aid.

Mad 28
A-

Den - 27

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center A...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Aadit Agrahara	25
2	Rishi Pasumarthi	25

Spkr	Redwood Middle Jain & Kaza	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Rishi Jain	28
2	Siddharth Kaza	27

Winner: Redwood Middle School/Team debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Gale Ranch

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Con team ~~and~~ described framework clearly and contentions clearly. The Pro team was ~~not~~ not able to refute Con's ~~points~~ contention of ecological and infrastructure damage due to refugees.

Pro team focused on refugee crisis from the 50s in Africa and failed to tie it to current situations

Suggestion to Redwood - to focus less on ex to expose more points during X rather than a couple

Suggestion to Gurukulam - Good job, more experience will help you in refute contentions

Aadit - 25
Rishi - 25

Rishi - 28/27
Sid - 27

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015


PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Harker Tian & Tian	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Nicole Tian	26
1	Betsy Tian	27

Spkr	Golden State Mandayam & Kothari	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Rishabh Mandayam	30
1	Krish Kothari	29

Winner: Golden State School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature:  School: MHS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro: pointed out lacking evidence through cross fire
stayed w/ their key points
very strong key points
summarized their points very well

Con: great key points, continued arguing for them throughout debate
maybe search more information for key points

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Harker CP	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Amanda Cheung	29
2	Jason Pan	30

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Gade &...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Sruti Gade	28
2	Riya Bindlish	29 29

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature:  School: MHS

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro: Great points and rebuttle
Jason: pointed out critical errors
Dunder sharing is a key point to make

Con: persistent, very nice
Had good points
going over time limits a few times
had errors in evidence

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Cathy Chang	27
1	Helen Hu	29

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Ahuja &...	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Ishita Ahuja	29
1	Shaurya Nyamgoudar	27

Winner: DOUGHERTY VALLEY BRIDGE debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? VERY CLOSE

Signature: Supriya Madan School: HARKER

Comments & Reason for Decision:

DOUGHERTY SPEAKER 2 WAS VERY CLEAR, STARTED W/ ROADMAP, REINFORCED THE FRAMEWORK, ADDRESSED THE REBUTTALS WITH EVIDENCE AND CLOSED STRONG

BASIS TEAM WOULD HAVE BENEFITED FROM SWAPPING THE SPEAKER ORDER.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Communication Dogra & Kuo	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Aaina Dogra	28
2	Grace Kuo	29

Spkr	Young Genius Ganti & Reddy	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Manasi Ganti	26
2	Aditya Reddy	26

Winner: COMMUNICATION DOGRA & KUO debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Supri Madan School: HARKER

Comments & Reason for Decision:

GOOD ARGUMENTATION & REFUTATION

CON'S TEAM WEAKENED THEIR CASE IN THEIR SUMMARY/
FINAL FOCUS

WE CAN DO BOTH - PRIORITIZE NAT'L INTERESTS
AND TAKE IN SOME REFUGEES

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Harker AJ	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Zain Awais	27
1	A. Ju	29

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Ha & H...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Rebecca Ha	27
2	Mahika Hazra	28

Winner: Harker School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Nash Matt School: Milpitas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Many ~~more~~ responses outweighed the opposing viewpoints, points were also unresponded to. Great job to both sides.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Milpitas Alam & Virmani	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Novel Alam	25
1	Rohan Virmani	28

Spkr	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Tanya Beri	23
2	Anya Raju	27

Winner: Milpitas School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Mihir School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- * Speaker 1 on Pro team was too fast in speaking during Constructive => Hard to follow. Suggest slowing down. Because she was nervous, she asked the wrong question in the crossfire, undermining her team
- * Speaker 1 on Con team spoke clearly & explained with good details why terrorists can disguise as refugees (eg 4000 trained ISIS members in refugee camps)
- * While the Con team made a good argument on the Utilitarianism framework (would you kill 1 to save 5 people) and were effective in confusing the opponent, it felt like a trick question & they should clearly explain why that example exemplifies the framework
- * Speaker 2 on the Con team got nervous in the end & flustered, hence lost points, but overall good effort otherwise

**HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE
TOURNAMENT**

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Brooks Peruvemba & Ramesh	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Aditya Peruvemba	26
2	Vignav Ramesh	29

Spkr	Redwood Middle Nair & Sarathy	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Dhruva Nair	22
1	Kavya Sarathy	27

Winner: Brooks School/Team _____ debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Mihir School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Good team balance on Con team with both
 * Participants aiding, although speaker 2 was the strongest with clear arguments, rebuttals & good intonation
- * While the Pro team fairly challenged the source of the arguments of the Con team, the Con team rebutted very well by establishing Duke, Harvard etc are reliable universities - particularly pointing out they are centers of research
- * In the final focus, speaker 2 of the Pro team did not explain why the Pro team dropped the content - they simply stated they won without explaining properly why
- * Speaker 2 on Con team made a really impactful argument on the priority of citizens over refugees by bringing up a personal example of siblings

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Young Genius Chatterji & Qi	POINTS (20 - 30)
2nd	Oishani Chatterji	28
1st	William Qi	27

Spkr	Golden State Nethi & Karwa	POINTS (20 - 30)
1st	Pranav Nethi	26
2nd	Saniya Karwa	27

Winner: Young Genius debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature] School: Terman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Constructive: 1st) Pranav: Nice intro. Good eye contact. Standard; yet benefits. Europe needs people. Good stats. Slow down at end of constructive. As you sped up, it was harder to follow your points.

articulate your approach

2nd) William: Good eye contact: Good data on economic hardships in Europe. Good pace on articulation. Can EU absorb refugees?

Crossfire slight advantage to YG: stronger links to evidence

Rebuttal 1st) Saniya: Good diction and pace in articulation. Good evidence on aid decreasing terrorism (12%) but would be stronger to explain source and also how the aid decreases the terrorism. EU is declining pop, need people as ~~can~~ society ages. Rushed to close. Should have summarized and finished stronger on last point with evidence.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr	Gale Ranch Middle Chakraborty...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1st	Risha Chakraborty	28
2nd	Leah John	29

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Zheng...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1st	Shannon Zheng	28
2nd	Sanjana Raganathan	29

Winner: Gale Ranch School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature] School: Terman

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Constructives

- 1) Risha: speak slightly slower in opening speech. Good integration of evidence with contentions. Can you summarize at end of your constructive? Would strengthen.
- 2) ^{Shannon} Slow your speech slightly, to make points easier to follow. Strong summarization.

Crossfire: Dougherty advantage in exchange of Q+A

Rebuttals:

- 1) Leah: Good energy. Could strengthen integration of evidence to the points you are stressing.
- 2) Sanjana: Good @ explaining approach to arguments and structure of your position. Good reinforcement and linkage of evidence and contentions from partner's constructive as a part of rebuttal. Morality component seemed to lack the evidence of the economic component. Summary would have strengthened.

Room: MAIN 6
Flight 1 Start: 2:00 PM
Public Forum

3

Peruvembia,
Subramanian

Brooks

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Saksena & Hoskote	POINTS (20 - 30)
①	Riva Saksena	26
②	Adi Hoskote	25

Spkr	Terman Middle Goel & Naddell	POINTS (20 - 30)
②	Swati Goel	27
①	Elise Naddell	25

Winner: Terman Middle debating on the PRO Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Brooks

Comments & Reason for Decision:

HMS: ~~DID~~ NOT REBUTE WELL. THE CONTENTIONS LAID OUT BY OTHER TEAM
DID NOT FULLY ANSWER IN CROSSX OR REBUTE WELL

T.M: LAID OUT CONTENTIONS WELL.
DID EXCELLENT IN REBUTE & CROSSX
SWATI DEBATED WELL

HMS:

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

Spkr	Challenger School SY Yalamanc...	POINTS (20 - 30)
②	Tanvi Yalamanchili	25
①	Arnav Garg	25

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center R...	POINTS (20 - 30)
②	Aryak Rekhi	25
①	Ashwath Bhupatiraju	26

Winner: CURUKULAM debating on the PRO Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? No

Signature: [Signature] School: Brooks

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NOTE -
ASHWATH: ANSWERED QUESTIONS WELL

ARNAV: ANSWERS WERE NOT TO THE POINT

REASON:

DEBATE PERFORMANCE OF BOTH TEAMS SIMILAR, BUT CURUKULAM DID WELL ON MOST & ANSWERING QUESTIONS CHALLENGER QUESTIONS WERE MOSTLY ON EVIDENCE AND DID NOT CHALLENGE CONTENTIONS AS MUCH CURUKULAM IN FIRST ROUND CONTENTION CAID OUT NOT CLEAR

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Harker Song & Jia	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Yejin Song	26.5
1	Angela Jia	27

Spkr	Terman Middle Balakrishnan & K...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Ishan Balakrishnan	28
2	Ezra Kohrman	27.5

Winner: Terman Middle School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: TCA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The con side was successful in establishing their standard & framework which they argued successfully, and the pro side was unable to counter.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Suryadevara & Khatri	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Ananya Suryadevara	25
1	Anoushka Khatri	24.5

Spkr	Golden State Liu & Dixit	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Jacob Liu	24.5
1	Arnav Dixit	25.5

Winner: Golden State School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? Y

Signature: [Signature] School: TCA

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides were fairly weak on disproving the others contentions and providing evidence when crossfire. However in the end the pro side was more "effective" in discrediting the evidence of the "con" side.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	TCA Pai & Ramakrishna	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Ronak Pai	28
2	Ashwin Ramakrishna	30

Spkr	Golden State Sundaram & Niu	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Aditya Sundaram	27
1	Alex Niu	26

Winner: TCA Pai & Ramakrishna debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: Tian Bing School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro1 practise speech. Also be more familiar on ~~the~~ why net benefit should be used as criteria for vote. Don't shake body left and right.

Con1 Polish speech. Good at crossfire on responding refutation and question. Right hand stay

Pro2 Should refute Con's 2nd and 3rd contentions. Think how to refute ~~the~~ Con's ~~ex~~ example of feeding child.

Con2 Impressed by the clear logic and calm manner.

I voted for Con because Pro didn't refute 2nd and 3rd contention from ~~Pro~~ Con. Also, Pro conceded to Con's framework of debate on government ethics, but did have argument on that.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	TCA Hiremath & Ranjan	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Adarsh Hiremath	28
2	Rishabh Ranjan	29

Spkr	Brooks Dhillon & Sudharsan	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Harneet Dhillon	28
1	Roshni Sudharsan	28

Winner: TCA Hiremath & Ranjan debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature] School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

pro1 Clear speech.
 Think about the framework,
 or what standard to use.

con1 Good speech.
 Coordinate with your partner
 better. ~~Also~~ keep calm, you
 are doing good.

pro2 Refutations to Pro 1's
 contentions. Should be thought through
 well. Question Con's evidence
 more: such as claim of 4000
 terrorists among refugees.

con2 Clear logic, refuted each contentions,
 and ~~the~~ refutations. Find a better
 way to communication with your
 partner when he speaks. ~~the~~ Does
 the current way you use to hint him really
 help?

I voted for Con because their refutation part is stronger.
 Pro had chance to ~~refute~~ question some of Con's evidence,
 such as 4000 terrorist claim, and the 1 trillion aid to
 Africa causing countries big harm.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center T...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Sathvik Tammineedi	26
3	Sanjit Shirol	25

Spkr	Terman Middle Qu & Shaotran	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Daniel Qu	28
4	Ethan Shaotran	28

Winner: Terman School/Team debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? N

Signature: [Signature] School: Milpitas High school

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gurukulam had very little evidence to support their claim while Terman solidly defended their points.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	Young Genius Christainsen & Pan	POINTS (20 - 30)
4	Philip Christainsen	27
2	Anthony Pan	26

Spkr	Terman Middle Agarwal & Chen	POINTS (20 - 30)
3	Anshika Agarwal	26
1	Charlsey Chen	26

Winner: YG School/Team _____ debating on the Pro Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Milpitas

Comments & Reason for Decision:

YG had more statistics while Terman provided little to ~~no~~ none.
It was very close and if Terman had more statistics they might have taken the debate

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One) 1st

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center A...	POINTS (20-30)
1	Nikhita Arun	27.5
2	Nandini Bangalore	27.5

2nd (Circle One) **PRO CON**

Spkr	Nova 42 Wilson & Park	POINTS (20-30)
2	Cara Wilson	29
1	Felicity Park	27.5

Winner: Gurukulam Enrichment Center debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? Yes

Signature: [Signature] School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Econ:
 Washhh → No Comprehension evidence
 Morality / Safety
 Sweet Contract vs. International Law
 'No clash FOR what & value??'
 Safety:
 1/2 - 18/20
 (withly warcraft) I go to e-saw

Con:
 → Govt
 → Social Contract
 Arg about Morality??

Nandini
 → Rejected
 → More organized
 → More convincing

Felicity:
 → More fluent
 → case leading
 → phone
 → More eye contact

Nikhita:
 → More assertive in
 → (more free)
 → More directed questions
 → More a point
 → CLASH!!!

General Notes
 → More broad stuff, not as good
 → Run papers "20 seconds"
 → Don't use "do you agree"
 → They were more
 → More productive CXs
 → Clash
 → Organized
 → Wins

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One) *1st*

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Chandr...	POINTS (20 - 30)
<i>2</i>	Romir Chandra	<i>29</i>
<i>1</i>	Suchit Bandaram	<i>28</i>

2nd (Circle One) **PRO** **CON**

Spkr	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	POINTS (20 - 30)
<i>2</i>	Amun Asnani	<i>28</i>
<i>1</i>	Shrayes Upadhyayula	<i>29</i>

Winner: Basis Independent Silicon debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? No

Signature: *Kirsh* School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

— Lots of energy. Nice!!

Morality:

Framework:
 Net Benefits → (Obvious / we know)
 ↳ True!!!
 There is a contradiction

~~to give that quote goes unresponded~~
 Depend on net-benefit
 Give that quote goes unresponded

Econ: → 150k poverty → bad
 — Drop GDP share by 2.9%
Spikes: ↳ Lin for 1.5% increase in exports
 2.9% unemployment 2011
 drop GDP > 1.5%
 increase in exports
 Con was the arg
Final Facts
 Can back all the way
 ↳ Don't say numbers
Security:
 Moral ← Example
 Didn't buy the quantification
 bought the argument

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Gurukulam Enrichment Center P...	POINTS (20-30)
1	Jash Parekh	28.2
2	Vivaswat Suresh	28.1

Spkr	Milpitas Kumar & Shritroya	POINTS (20-30)
2	Devika Kumar	27
1	Saniya Shritroya	29

Winner: Gurukulam Enrichment debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature] School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro:

Con:

- ① Despotic countries need Humanitarian Aid
 - No #'s ... ☹️

- ① Terrorism
 - Stat: 170 - 1870

② Moral Obligation

- Unfortunately was a wash...

- ② Strain on resources. dropped...
 - ↳ PRO said citizens already have resources... i don't buy that
 - 1. scores
 - 2. logic

- ③ Economy HELPS CITIZENS
 - people have skills
 - help streets
- Pro said: HELPS CITIZENS
 Con said: scarcity = ↓ citizen wealth

Foster Social Contract

2FD:

FWK goes to con ... I buy pro's econ sales ...
 problem is con says strain on resources = harm citizens so
 no econ benefit & harm citizens ∴ violate social contract. Vote con.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Milpitas Garg & Karkare	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Mehak Garg	27
2	Saili Karkare	28

Spkr	Dougherty Valley Bridge Garg &...	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Anika Garg	28
1	Puja Oak	29

Winner: Milpitas Garg School/Team debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? X

Signature: _____ School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pro:

① Global Human Rights

② Asylum = \neq Con

③ Long Term = \neq object = harm

Con:

C1: Welcoming Terror
 - Paws

C2: NGOs

C3: ~~Humanitarian~~

2FD:

oral

1. Lines are same
2. Pro says large # of deaths & long
3. Con says NGOs solve
 Pro issues but \neq harm & this goes
 dropped 1 pick up con.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)
	Harker Dani & Gupta	
	Arnav Dani	
	Pranav Gupta	

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr		POINTS (20 - 30)
	Brooks SC	
	Alaina Srivastav /shaw	
	Rohan Chakravarthi	

Winner: Harker debating on the _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature: [Signature] School: Harker

Comments & Reason for Decision:

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Mantripragada & Pakravan	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Ishaan Mantripragada ^{Shyo Lamba}	27
2	Sascha Pakravan ⁻²	24

Spkr	Challenger School SY Karim & K...	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Isha Karim ⁻¹	28
2	Nithya Koneru ⁻²	29

Winner: Challenger debating on the CON Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
 Signature: [Signature] School: Nova 42

Comments & Reason for Decision:

"Con" did a solid job of presenting its 3 points & providing evidence.
 Both speakers were well informed & did a great job presenting + refuting

"Pro" was very passionate; in cross-fire ask more questioning (instead of making speeches) + provide support for evidence.
 speaker 1 had very good eye contact & seemed to know his stuff
 speaker 2 was strong, somewhat argumentative.

Great jobs to both teams!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Terman Middle Naddell & Lee	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Bruno Naddell	30
1	Peyton Lee	30

Spkr	Gale Ranch Middle Kurella & Mu...	POINTS (20 - 30)
2	Litesh Kurella	30
1	Sarim Mundres	30

Winner: Gale Ranch KM debating on the Pro Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Pro or Con)

Signature:  School: Golden State Academy

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great Round! - All 30s.

all of you will go far.

oral RFD & comments

thanks for painting a clear picture for the judge.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO **CON** (Circle One)

(Circle One) **PRO** CON

Spkr	Atiiva Garg & Patra	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Manasi Garg	30
2	Aindri Patra	28

Spkr	Milpitas Stanley & Bilaskar	POINTS (20 - 30)
1	Amy Stanley	26
2	Nikita Bilaskar	26

Winner: Atiiva Garg & Patra debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____ School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Con team won with solid p argument ~~and~~ with evidence. good team balame.

pro team failed to provide evidence during cross fire. not be able to answer questions effectively.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

PRO CON (Circle One)

(Circle One) PRO CON

Spkr	Harker Raghib & Yang	POINTS (20 - 30)
(1)	Reja Raghib	25
(2)	Russell Yang	26

Spkr	Gale Ranch Middle Kuang & Zho...	POINTS (20 - 30)
(2)	Vivian Kuang	29
(1)	Ada Zhong	30

Winner: Gale Ranch Middle Kuang & Zhong debating on the Con Side (Pro or Con) Low point win? _____

Signature: _____ School: _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Con team won with consistant arguments through whole debate, making pro team unconvincing
pro lost due to conflict info. provided between team member.