

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF *right*
TCA Rukmini Banerjee
POINTS (20-30)
29
Rukmini Banerjee

NEG *left*
Harker Reiya Das
POINTS (20-30)
28
Reiya Das

Winner: TCA Rukmini Banerjee debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Das

- need to look at judge when crossfire, looks unprofessional
- good job voicing framework clearly
- very clear speech
- Know your case!
read if you read it and understood what you are saying, it sounds like you are simply reading a script.
- Don't bother w/ roadmaps
- You said there was no way to measure justice but you went back on it in your second rebuttal
- weigh!
- specialization matters
- "DUI lawyer & lawyer"

Banerjee

- focus on enunciation
- very good case & arguments and quick thinking and great responses during cross fire
- but clear framework
- very good questions
- good job stating the CX points in your speech
- perceived injustice d
↳ good!
- make sure you reference your case, don't say "it",
- weigh!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Sheyhan Lalani

POINTS
(20 - 30)

BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Sheyhan Lalani

22

Lakshmi Balram

26

Winner: Lakshmi Balram
BASIS Independent

School/Team

debating on the

Neg
Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

No-Plur
winner

Signature: Sadashiva Rao Y.

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sheyhan Lalani:

Both had the same framework / value: justice.

- ① - can be more confident while delivering.
- ② - Points were not free flowing.
 - Good research on jail time, expense etc.
 - In cross examination, he was not able to defend 2 points. Did well in defending one (jury unanimous decision will ~~eliminate~~ eliminate bias & subjectivity)
 - With practice, he will be able to do well
- ③ - Can research more to increase breadth of ~~exp~~ ideas and be prepared.
 - See (1-3) for improvement areas.

Laxmi:

- Confident while delivering her points.
 - Cross-examined well, won all but one point.
- ① - Frequently cut opponent while he was answering.
 - ② - Repeated same point multiple times.
 - ③ - In one instance, instead of answering cross-examination, ducked around and avoided answering
 - and found rebuttal: not clear on 2 points
 - Overall, Laxmi had many good points. Can be prepared to cross exam. Did well in several points
 - See (1-3) for improvement areas.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Golden State Kaushik Jasti	(20-30)	Stratford Independant James Korah	(20-30)
Kaushik Jasti	<u>28</u>	James Korah	<u>25</u>

Winner: Kaushik School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kaushik

Exriminal Justice

value: Utilitarian's

injustice

jury nullification

criticism #1 → jury participation (man on street)

good! #2 b) jury nullification direct to democracy

c) conscience of democracy (jury)

#2) judge the law through their own conscience

#3) protect "queer" rights (minority protection)

↳ violate prison - experience

Affirm Rebuttal X-exam (good job on what is "nullifying the law"? vote issues)

examples, credentials/author references

Rebuttal: Co. no evidence for all contentions (✓)

2nd rebuttal: feelings used for judging ✓

James

Criminal

Value: justice

criticism #1 "racist jury" "white jury" → "Emitt Hill"

good #2 bribe/corruption

#3 prosecutors knowledge

→ same group "person" on jury

Affirm: cross exam Negative Rebuttal

X-exam "jury is selected not elected"

✓ unjust to bribe jury

2nd rebuttal

✓ - use feelings for judging

✓ - hypothetical, examples case

(emphasize voting issues for close)

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Ukarsh Priyam	(20 - 30)	Young Genius Ashley Kang	(20 - 30)
Ukarsh Priyam	<u>28</u>	Ashley Kang	<u>25</u>

Winner: Ashley School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Value: Moral
 Criterion: utilitarian

#1 current laws are flawed
 ↳ gov't is corrupt & wealthy lawyers

socio-economic biases
 wealth/rich get preference

#2 solves injustice

#3 "legal legitimacy"

Affirm X-exam

Affirm re-buttal

- ✓ income/wealth has impact
- ✓ socio-economic bias exist
- ✓ jury bias are not amplified by nullification

Voting issues (✓✓✓)

- ✓ uphold social justice/welfare

-ve Does X-exam #2 lead to "racist bias"? ✓

examples?

-ve constructive

value: social justice

Criterion: social welfare

#1: racism (es. all white jury)

#2. useless cases should not be prosecuted

#3 hate crimes

-ve rebuttal: rich people X

Negative Rebuttal: voting issues.

- ignoring law ✓ racism

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Brooks Joshua Athayde

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Joshua Athayde

26

NEG


Harker Sachin Shah

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Sachin Shah

28


Winner: Sachin debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both the debaters performed well however Sachin displayed more confidence. Joshua displayed politeness both in the body language ~~structure~~ as well as in presentation. Joshua lost some points for not staying strong when the opponent tried to cut the conversation.

	Joshua	Sachin
Structure	25	25
Content	25	25
Spontaneous	22	25
Clarity	25	23
Defending case	20	25
Question & answered	18	23
Answer	18	20



HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

4 mins prep each

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Gale Ranch Middle Mishka Nara...		Harker Annie Ma	
Mishka Narasimhan	<u>28</u>	Annie Ma	<u>28.5</u>

Winner: Annie Ma School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Vibha Gurunathan

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Util is more like death or systemic impacts.

Neg:

- Awesome LP with changing the agent.
- might want to use a diff v/c, because these are not really util impacts. Instead use your v/c as justice instead of define util. You said util = justice and you upheld justice but did not have util impacts
- loved how it was a ton of evidence

Aff:

- good examples
- awesome theory
- Go over your case more => need it b/c of aff's time skew
- Good case but might want to worry more abt time skew

NEED TO EXTEND YOUR OFFENCE

Reason for Decision:

This was a hard decision, but the neg won b/c the aff did not extend any offence. You NEED offence to win. If you do not extend your points in your LAR, which I know is v. hard b/c of the time skew, you cannot

10/6

1

BHAT SURESH

~~Shukla, Suruchi~~
 Young Genius

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Dougherty Valley Bridge Kelly Oh	(20 - 30)	Harker Anna Vazhaeparambil	(20 - 30)
Kelly Oh	<u>28</u>	Anna Vazhaeparambil	<u>27</u>

Winner: Kelly Oh debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?


School/Team: _____ Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Congratulations to both debaters. Tough decision to make as both were equally good.

Kelly scored little better on some areas for being spontaneous and not repeating the same statement. Kelly was also little more clear in presenting the case. However Anna brought great points and were really impressive.

	Debyea	Kelly (130)	Anna Vazhaop (130)
Structure:		25	25
Content:		25	25
Clarity:		25	22
Defending case:		20	22
Questions to Opponent:		20	15
Answers to Questions:		20	20
		<u>120</u>	<u>129</u>



Room: DOBBINS 202
Flight 2 Start: 9:00 AM
Lincoln Douglas

1

Gravin Bains
Milpitas HS

Jaldu, Divya

BASIS Independent Silicon Valley

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

left

Young Genius Surya Midha

POINTS
(20-30)

Surya Midha

30

NEG

right

Harker Cynthia Chen

POINTS
(20-30)

Cynthia Chen

30

Winner:

Young genius Surya Midha

debating on the

AFF

Low point win?

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Comments & Reason for Decision:

very good speech skills w/
many examples
quick thinking in CX, nice
value of rights

- amazing speaker
- find out how Jones will be
- find out how we can
- Jury pool
- give more impacts and
we can have

- I'd rather hear you pause
than say like a ram
- look at judge during
crossfire
- I'm glad you were cutting him
off in CX don't let opponents
sly move then you need
- I love that you were heard!
- give evidence of ~~race~~
race empathy (tabling thing
between black
Jury or black
courts)
- amazing speaker

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

4 min
AFF

NEG

Harker Thresiamma Vazhaepara... POINTS (20-30)

Young Genius Anirudh Seshadri POINTS (20-30)

Thresiamma Vazhaeparambil ~~26~~ 27

Anirudh Seshadri ~~26~~ ~~27~~ 28

Winner: Anirudh Seshadri School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Signature: Vibha

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Use CX more effectively
- NEED TO USE ALL your time
 - Give me voters - Reasons why you won

I already critiqued you guys so . . .

RFD:

- ~~with~~ (aff \$ won FW)
- AFF won FW, but neg upheld that FW the best

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20-30)	NEG	POINTS (20-30)
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...		Harker Quentin Clark	
Vikram Kashyap	<u>28</u>	Quentin Clark	<u>29</u>

Winner: Harker Quentin Clark debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides are well prepared. The AFF side stayed on the defensive and did not present much argument beyond the prepared material.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker N Wang		Golden State Katia Nagarajan	
N Wang	<u>28</u>	Katia Nagarajan	<u>29.5</u>

Winner: Katia Nagarajan debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Wei Huang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: Value = morality
Value criterion = utilitarianism
legal system biased for rich people.

NEG: Value = just society
criterion = social contract.

NEG side presented a much stronger case.
AFF did not explain value & value criterion well.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Montek Kalsi	(20-30)	Amudha Amudha Sairam	(20-30)
Montek Kalsi	<u>26</u>	Amudha Sairam	<u>28</u>

Winner: Amudha Sairam School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: - wealth/economic system can create current law system which usually support more rich people. ~~at~~ Many lawyer and Judge are rich and wealthy
- current legal system itself is bias
- This is absolute Justice of Freedom that can have better law system.

NEG
- Different condition, study, race, situation can influence the case
- Jury bias Impact the case a ~~lot~~ lot
So Jury Nullification can't be allowed ~~but~~ strict to law

NEG side uses many good case example for supporting value, and also asked couple of some example to AFF which he didn't answer

AFF: kept reading and not have good example case, and value is almost too emotional
he didn't even answer the NEG questions.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker Akshay Manglik

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Akshay Manglik

27

NEG


Gale Ranch Middle Srisahithi Ma...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Srisahithi Mannava

28

Winner: Srisahithi Mannava debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Rich people has bias to make law so need to be improved by Jury Nullification in general.
AFF: - Jury Nullification can Fix Jury Bias which is big problem

and also it can solve Jury crime

- Current legal system is bias itself which need to be improved by Jury nullification.

NEG: Jury nullification is not legal

In legal system most important is Justice which means Fairness

Jury Nullification make Judge or Jury to forget law but count on their opinion

- It is so unfair based on ~~anti~~ racial bias

AFF: speech was pretty confident and clear but too fast.

NEG: asked good questions to bring good arguement not from their speech but some example.

mortality ↔ Fairness

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Harker Aditya Andrade	(20 - 30)	BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	(20 - 30)
Aditya Andrade Anheer Bhoja	<u>23</u>	TCA Grace Huang	<u>26</u>
		Lakshmi Balram	

Winner: Grace Huang School/Team debating on the _____ Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Kartikeya

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Archi:-

- Aff. Construction: too many details, ~~to~~ you can redacted few details and slow down a little.
- Cross exam is good. good point for morality vs justice argument.
- Prepare ~~before~~ and show confidence, you are doing great.
- good

Grace:

good negative & cross. exam. she is showing confidence.
good rebuttal on morality vs justice.
well prepared.

Archi / - Aff
 Constructive / - 7
 -ve Const


Grace / - Neg
 Cross exam - 9
 Constructive / -
 Aff C.E

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Rohan Ray	29	Harker Aditya Tadimeti	27
Rohan Ray		Aditya Tadimeti	

Winner: Young Genius School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision: _____

Oral
Critique

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Dougherty Valley Bridge Twisha...		TCA Andrew Song	
Twisha Chawla	<u>28.5</u>	Andrew Song	<u>29</u>

Winner: TCA/ Andrew Song School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Anupma

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Twisha - Strong points during initial argument & cross-examination
- Can use more/all of your time.

Andrew - Great points during argument. Well structured
& good job in refuting the aff cases.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker D.even Parikh

POINTS
(20 - 30)

D.even Parikh

29

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Sahana...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Sahana Vivek

29.5

Winner: Dougherty / Sahana School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

D.even - Good initial argument & cross-examination.
- Pace yourself with timing to help finish your example in support of cases.
- Work on more eye contact

Sahana - Very good & well structured & formulated arguments.
- Good questions for cross-examination & presenting contentions with examples.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Shobhan Mangla		Harker Avi Gulati	
Shobhan Mangla	<u>27</u>	Avi Gulati	<u>28</u>

Winner: Harker Avi Gulati School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:


well presented the case in negative side. Good cross examination in affirmative. Evidence provided in Affirmative side but not many evidence provided.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Surbhi Bhat		Golden State Josh Sanyal	
Surbhi Bhat	<u>28</u>	Josh Sanyal	<u>29</u>

Winner: Golden State Josh debating on the Neg Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Evidence provided
cross examination well debated and asked for evidence. Aff: No evidence provided. offered good reasons for the negative side.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Dougherty Valley Bridge Anurag...	(20-30)	Young Genius Pramukh Shandilya	(20-30)
Anurag Rao	<u>29</u>	Pramukh Shandilya	<u>29</u>

Winner: Dougherty Valley Bridge School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Really good case by case rebuttal responses.

I think Aff did a better job at showing me why his impacts outweigh & why his case ~~makes~~ more validity.

neg work on rebuttal & asking better questions on crossex.

Great job!

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Harker Catherine Zhao

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Catherine Zhao

28

NEG

Young Genius Shachi Prasad

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Shachi Prasad

27

Winner: Harker Catherine Zhao School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Best weighing of impacts

- Better rebuttal. 1 vote on her value criterion

- Both are good speakers Aff speak slower & clearly

Neg your pace was really good but you repeat yourself a lot.

Good job!

~

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

Gale Ranch Middle Kavın Kumar... **POINTS**
(20 - 30)

Kavın Kumaravel

28.5

NEG

Golden State Austin Li **POINTS**
(20 - 30)

Austin Li

26

Winner: Kevin Kumar School/Team debating on the Austin Li Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: Vishu

Comments & Reason for Decision: Kevin Kumar well prepared for debate as Austin need to way

I support Aff candidate cross examining answer. He have a valid & strong reason. Good way to present himself!

Neg candidate need to work on speech & understand the concept very clear. He is good speaker but need to learn ^{how} emphasize the cross examining point.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Justin L... (20-30) POINTS

Gale Ranch Middle Mahitha Vug... (20-30) POINTS

Justin Lee

28.5

Mahitha Vuggumudi

28.5

Winner:

Justin Lee

debating on the

Mahitha Vug

Low point win? _____

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Vishu

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both are very good speakers, good presenters, & know how to explain the points!

Both side cross examination were competitive, very well prepared. Mahitha just need to work on confidence here so she can express herself a better way.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Diya Aggarwal

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Golden State Anushka Sanyal

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Diya Aggarwal

28

Anushka Sanyal

21

Winner: Diya Aggarwal debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: Velflor

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Diya: Very good preparation, fluent in making points. Eye contact with judge, defended well during cross exam.

Anushka: Weak in argument & material. Always read from the paper without any eye contact. Weak in cross exam.

D	A
8	
5	3
5	7
87	83
3	8
28	21

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Dougherty Valley Bridge Kabir D...

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Young Genius Arnav Kartikeya

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Kabir Dubey

28

Arnav Kartikeya

20

Winner: Kabir Dubey School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Signature: V. Rashingkar

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Kabir: Very strong and fluent in making points. very well organised & prepared material

Arnav: Weak in material, contents and arguments. Weak in cross exam.

K	A
8	-
5	2
-	7
5	4
7	-
3	7
28	20

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Neha Rachapudi		BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...	
Neha Rachapudi	<u>25</u>	Anirudh Natarajan	<u>25</u>

Winner: Anirudh Natarajan / Basis indopa debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides presented good evidence, example and data to support their opinion.
~~Aff~~ They did good job in constructive speech. Neha (AFF) didn't prepare questions for negative properly and failed to refute two contentions from Anirudh/Neg in Affirmative rebuttal.

Both need improvement in speech skill, pay attention to speech in confidence, body language, eye contact etc.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Young Genius Haritha Kumar		Stratford Independant Mohul Ag...	
Haritha Kumar	<u>30</u>	Mohul Aggarwal	<u>28</u>

Winner: Mohul Ag / Straford debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? YES

Signature: 

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Negative debater points out affirmative presented contentions not directly related to the topic. This is true.

Affirmative emphasized too much on minority right and underrepresented groups, too many criminals put in Jail, LGBT rights etc. These are irrelevant to today's topic.

However, Haritha gave a very good speech, clear and loud with confidence.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Young Genius Vivek Agarwal	(20 - 30)	Dougherty Valley Bridge Shanno...	(20 - 30)
Vivek Agarwal	<u>21</u>	Shannon Zhang	<u>24</u>

Winner: Shannon Zhang debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: yuminzhang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

In US Criminal justice system, jury nullification ought to be used in the face of perceived injustice

Vivek

AC: 4m / 6
 ACB: 0:36 / 3
^{pep:} FAR: 2:42 / 4
 2nd AR

Shannon

NC: 1:25 / 3
 pep: 1:03 / 4
 NC/NR: 6:52 / 7
 2nd NR: 4:43 / 6

Better rebuttal in validating own case side.

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF

NEG

Young Genius Patricia Huang

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Golden State Steven Jiang

POINTS
(20 - 30)

Patricia Huang

20

Steven Jiang

23

Winner: Steven Jiang School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Signature: yuminzhang

Comments & Reason for Decision:

In US criminal justice system, jury nullification ought to be used in the face of perceived injustice

Patricia

AC: Definition:
3/6. fundamental right.
laws not making sense
② Death penalty
③ laws are not perfect
Need, temporary amendment

ACB: 2mis/3
① restate value criticism
anarchy.
② moral / injustice decision

FAR:
① can jury null change law, used for moral
② repeat second contentions? Not right slot
2nd AR

Steven

NCB: repeat a lot.
cont. jury
3mis/3
2. repeat 3 case: police officer
last part: jury
define: fair loss.

NC/NR: min 5/7.
Definition:
① maximizing value in law
law & order in society
② ~~use~~ inappropriate decision
personal preference. sentiments
③ jury looks less @ evidence.
NR: jury has no good judgement.
jury doesn't stop oppression.
2nd NR
Value: justice, just anarchy

Room: DOBBINS 101
Flight 1 Start: 9:00 AM
Lincoln Douglas

1

KUMAR RITESH
Vuggumudi, Srinivasulu
Dougherty Valley Bridge

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
Harker Akhilesh Chegu		Young Genius Joanne Park	
Akhilesh Chegu	<u>26</u>	Joanne Park	<u>28</u>

Winner: YG JOANNE PARK debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: [Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:

GOOD COVERAGE OF OPPONENTS ARGUMENTS
GOOD RESPONSES

Room: DOBBINS 101
Flight 2 Start: 9:00 AM
Lincoln Douglas

1

KUMAR, RITESH
Vuggumudi, Srinivasulu
Dougherty Valley Bridge

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS (20 - 30)	NEG	POINTS (20 - 30)
BASIS Independent Silicon Valle...		Young Genius Jason Lee	
Sahiti Annadata	<u>27</u>	Jason Lee	<u>26</u>

Winner: SAHITI ANNADATA debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: _____
[Handwritten Signature]

Comments & Reason for Decision:
Great points & usage of opponents arguments.

MAIN 18 - Lincoln Douglas

Con - Young Genius
Akash Balakrishnan

- affirmative
 - good points about rich bias
 - corruption of judges/unjust laws
 - peace promotion
 - removing poor laws to trust
 - cited many sources

- Counter
 - voting for laws
 - congress votes for laws
 - bias example

- negation
 - jury nullification in poor cases
 - bias present
 - morality irrelevant

- cross-ex
 - does it happen in all cases?
 - minority of cases

Pro - William Zhao
Harker

- internal biases reduced
- not any more racist
- standard of perfection
- gov. trust increases

- vincent quote from well recognized + respected
- fear of government
- lack of sources/evidence

- opinion vs example
- imperfection juries shouldn't allow for nullifications
- not well supported

I believe that the affirmative argument presented the better case. More concrete evidence was given with much clearer explanation. The refutations were more direct to the topic & asked clarifications as well as thought-provoking questions.

Winner: Pro

Con: 27.6

Pro: 28.2

Judge
Ashlyn Timmerman

Room: DOBBINS 105
Flight 2 Start: 9:00 AM
Lincoln Douglas

1

Rao, Sadashiva
Dougherty Valley Bridge

HARKER MIDDLE SCHOOL SPEECH DEBATE TOURNAMENT

Dec 5 - 6, 2015

AFF	POINTS	NEG	POINTS
Scholars Debate Deepali Joshi	(20 - 30)	Young Genius Anoushka Shukla	(20 - 30)
Deepali Joshi	_____	Anoushka Shukla	_____

Winner: Scholars Debate Deepali Joshi debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Signature: Sadashiva Rao

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Young Genius Anoushka Shukla didn't show up