


Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP



\*Key-Coach,

NAME:

d/beck

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)  
Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

W/MINISTER \_\_\_\_\_ PENINSULA \_\_\_\_\_  
AFF NEG

Winner: W/MINISTER School/Team debating on the A Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

I would not mix 1 substance

1 plan on FACE NEEDS

2 limits arg 3 ENF

Jacobson = 4 b/c

plan on FACE NEEDS

case 5 = 0/45 loan which = u' plans


for Jacobson EU dropped ↓ RSK

plan = int coop = 6 terminal impact



Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP



\*Key-Coach,  
NAME: S. JORDAN

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

WESTMINSTER DH                      PENINSULA TW  
AFF    NEG

Winner: WESTMINSTER DH debating on the AFF  
School/Team    Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Shrestha D. Jadhav

Congratulations to both teams! You all are great examples of what is good about policy debate!

Peninsula - I think you go far too much in the 2NR. We might be key coaches but not complete idiots.


Topicality - I think the Aff's plan says 'through'. As a result, they ↓ surveillance conducted through zero day vulnerabilities rather than on zero day vulnerabilities. While I agree the Aff's evidence is not great, they still meet the violation as per the plan text.

IRAN DA - There will be checks on team chesting (verification + inspection) + I don't think OCOs are key.

So I vote Aff. Risk of cyberattacks = enough to act.

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP



\*Key-Coach,  
NAME: Bruce Rogers

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster Peninsula  
AFF NEG

Winner: Westminster debating on the Affirmation  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Bruce Rogers


Affirmation slides an incredibly vague plan through  
for want of effective negative sobriety arguments. I'll explain  
why:

- 1) Plan results in reduced surveillance of people,  
making hospital by reasonable.
- 2) nor Bonus DA pointed.
- 3) No unique link to drug country DA.
- 4) Affirmation has some vague chance of sobriety.
- 5) Affirmation pulls some advantage and some chance  
of sobriety!

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,



NAME:  
HAMILL

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Westminster

Peninsula

AFF

NEG

Winner: Westminster

School/Team

debating on the Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)


Signature:

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: ARTHUR



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ Peninsula \_\_\_\_\_  
AFF NEG

Winner: Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the ~~NEG~~ AFF \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

It is without question that corporations are juridical persons. All case law indicates they include the Citizens United case, Aff offers a legal interp in 2AC and neg does not counter the analysis of same.


IRAN - Global coalitions (so) the issue of ~~the~~ compliance w/ the nuclear deal

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ Peninsula \_\_\_\_\_  
AFF NEG


Winner: Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the AFF \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Dan T. \_\_\_\_\_

Congrats to both teams and schools on reaching final Round. If this ballot does go public then let me say to all, the panel would take more speed and more sophisticated strategy and approach. For example, AFF more adv's or add-ons and more ZAC args while neg its ok to CP or Kritik. I do appreciate the focus on quals and evidence that this forum promotes. Negative in this round waters down and over extends itself esp ZXR. The case where you were ahead gets the least coverage. I think the aff proves they are topical - text plan plus legal definitions. Aff disproves and may turn the Tra DA and enough RISK of the case for the ballot.

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP



\*Key-Coach,  
NAME: *Banaranda M*

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Westminster DH                      Peninsula TW  
AFF    NEG

Winner: Westminster                      debating on the AFF  
School/Team    Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: *Stardell*

*Next year, please go faster.*




Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: Malis



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster

Peninsula

AFF

NEG

Winner:

Westminster

debating on the

Aff

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Malis


ZNR goes for too much. Should have only extended case + DA. Too little explanation on how Iran will cheat. They may have the incentive but you have to address the inspection process. The Aff evid on the logistics of the deal itself is very strong. There is no risk of the DA.

Although I agree w/ Neg that the risk of a war via cyber attacks is small (based on other strategies, for example), there is enough left to create a comparative advantage over the status quo.

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,



NAME:  
Shane Stafford

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ Peninsula TW \_\_\_\_\_  
AFF NEG

Winner: Westminster \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the Aff \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Shane Stafford \_\_\_\_\_

2nd days speaking


Trotsky ad

Jaedon

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,



NAME:  
Rose Tappin

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster DH                      Peninsula TW  
AFF    NEG

Winner: Westminster DH debating on the NEG  
School/Team    Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Rose Tappin

Dayal & Hall

T - of buying corp to people or people to corp from Neg -  
from - did vidate study - seems like et this judge

To side Aff


Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: DAVE  
HUSTON

Why would  
the affirmative  
prevent continued  
surveillance of  
Iran?



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

<u>WESTMINSTER</u>	<u>PENINSULA</u>
AFF	NEG
Winner: <u>WESTMINSTER</u>	debatng on the <u>Aff</u>
School/Team	Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: <u>Dave Huston</u>	<u>CHHS, TX</u>

On T argument - aff answers are sufficient -  
only definition is by the aff. - Corporations can be  
defined as people. Software check is for that used  
to surveil p/c

Case - Aff is pretty persuasive on likelihood of  
attack - framework @ the top is not addressed.  
Non-state actors need to be addressed


IRAN DA - Reaction last summer takes out  
vagueness - never really answered

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

WESTMINSTER AFF PENINSULA NEG

Winner: AFF WESTM. School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Not much AFF risk, not much solvency on norms, but no risk of dtsd  
D/C MEZA(?) says of cheat - #  
Jacobson says 2/17 cameras #  
IAEA inspection solves,  
MAC 15 says US needs surveillance,  
not Backdoors to keep from cheating


T - corp = people -  
CORN of people

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: Rye



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster AFF Peninsula NEG

Winner: Westminster School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

I vote aff for several reasons.

- 1) aff. take out my topicity & the legal def. supports that.
- 2) the plan but inter holds up & even though this is not detailed in C-X, what if the aff is wrong.
- 3) I never discuss goes aff

~~4~~


They should have spent more time on the possibility that power - the burden case show how this is too much power in the Executive Branch & you should expect this more.

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

2<sup>nd</sup> aff 1<sup>st</sup> aff 1<sup>st</sup> neg 2<sup>nd</sup> neg

Winner: Westminster debating on the Aff

Signature: [Signature]


Both teams did an exceptional job @  
presenting the final round of debate.  
I decided to vote for the  
affirmative because they did an  
phenomenal job @ upholding the  
resolution & were able to answer all  
~~the~~ questions presented by the  
negative.

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

West Peninsula  
AFF NEG

Winner: West ~~Aff~~ debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

2NR went far too much/  
- dropped too many important case takeouts.  
- case risk is very high.  
many mitigators on DA risk, cameras, ok.

2AD could have done more proactive risk  
calc, but given drops on case risk  
of global impact/new era of terrorism  
overwhelms DA risk.


T - needed more clear eq. to  
win why case opens up ideas for people  
as other than 'corporations'. Explanation of why  
the ~~the~~ plan allows multiple corp. leads to specific  
cases - to ~~the~~ generate idea of  
is too poor/argument



Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,



NAME:

MARCO  
HENKERA

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below



AFF

NEG

Winner:

Westminster

School/Team

debating on the

Off Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

my penner


Risk- goes aff due to risk caused by known vs unknown entity. Jackson card on Iran/DIA minimizes risk for nuclear Iran.

T: Aff is reasonable, and using neg argument of education, reasonability; allows for that education. Additionally, neg can not answer question posed by aff as to how neg position was harmed. Legal def. preferable

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,



NAME: AARON LIMMENS

Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster PV  
AFF NEG

Winner: Westminster debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

~~congratulations~~ Congratulations on being in the finals.

The think the negative makes a mistake by investing so much time on T. I think the aff meets the interpretation (via citizens united).

I also think there is no offensive reason to vote negative. The 2AR concedes the IAEA card and the risk is slim to none. There is also a risk of a turn with the norm setting argument.

The aff wins the case as well. Spooking is conceded.


Nice Debate!

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster AFF Peninsula NEG

Winner: APF Westminster debating on the \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Jenny McFarland


ZNR needs to keep more case args alive if have  
more direct ~~at~~ the IAEA firm in mats ex. - some of  
the prob w/ this starts in block - you should jettison (T)  
& focus more on non development args, etc -  
see  
ZNR coverage means the risk of  
Adv of w risk ~~at~~ the IAEA /  $\phi$  cheat args  
to (D) - ~~good~~ the risk plan sends a  
good signal = MTR (D)

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: TANS



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster

Peninsula

AFF

NEG

Winner: \_\_\_\_\_ debating on the \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: W Wang

Congratulations to both teams to making it to the Final Round. I appreciate your showing that high level debaters can slow down + still have warranted arguments + in depth discussion. Definitely shows the "portable skills" that can be derived from the activity despite complaints of speed.

RFD ⊕ - The Neg interpretation is surveillance must be over persons. The ZNR dia answer the IAR argument that vulnerabilities + exploits are a surveillance process to surveil people. The plan on face meets the interpretation

Case - the ZNR extends that the likelihood of cyberattacks is low, but the ZAR extends predictive evidence that cyberwar will be the new form of warfare. The ZNR also extends that US lockout is unlikely, but the ZAR notes that there is greater risk of damage from an anonymous attack

Iran - The ZNR explanations on offensive capabilities is now. The Aff makes a road into the DA with alternate compliance mechanisms such as inspections, cameras + seals. The Neg does not provide any explanation why cyber capabilities are key to checking Iranian circumvention - The Neg was some role of content circumvention, but this is not explained. The ZNR extends the double bind argument, but the Aff dia extend other countries cyberoffense, thus it is more likely the Aff will solve.

I think risk of case outweighs the mitigated Iran DA


Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME:

Lisa Willoughby



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

WESTMINSTER                      PENINSULA  
AFF                                      NEG

Winner: WESTMINSTER debating on the AFF  
School/Team                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Lisa Willoughby

E/F AFF (D) W/M ARGUMENT REALLY "EVOLVES" - NEG DOES NOT DO ENOUGH TO WARRANT WHY IT IS BAD FOR DEBATE FOR THE AFF TO ARGUE FOR SURVEILLANCE OF CORPORATE PERSONS OR SURVEILLANCE OF PEOPLE THROUGH SURVEILLANCE OF CORPORATE SOFTWARE - (E) NEG RESPONSE ON THE MECHANISM OF SURVEILLANCE IS DIFFERENT FOR AGRICULTURE, BANKING ETC. AND I FEEL LIKE THAT VS POT ABUSE

IRAN MATTA & JACOBSON EVIDENCE CONVINCES ME THAT THE RISK OF CHEATING IS SMALL GIVEN THE "CONCRETE" BARRIER & THE INSPECTION & VERIFICATION


I END UP VOTING TO TRY OR DIE

Room: WSHCAB Auditorium  
Start: 5:00 PM  
Pelham Debate

TGP

\*Key-Coach,

NAME: TALLONGAN



Westminster Schools DH (Dayal & Hall) vs Peninsula TW (Tambe & Wang)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Westminster Peninsula  
AFF NEG

Winner: Westminster debating on the Aff  
School/Team Side (Aff/Neg)

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

2NR goes for too much and so wins very little compared to 2AR depth on some arg's. — very high risk of aff and low risk of DA w/ other methods ✓ cheating

(+) — not sure why nat'lity distinction matters — only aff defines persons in legal context. need more robust limits argument to win;