

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

1st N Brighton RL (Robertson & Lundahl) vs Logan SB (Seamons & Browning) A 2nd

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan SB
AFF

Brighton RL
NEG

Winner: Brighton RL debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Tonia Dattage School: Sky View

Other judges on panel: Patricia Pace, Ben Powell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I think both teams could work on civility. Both teams ~~both~~ clashed which was nice but got a bit over heated, For my taste, anyway. I think that both ~~times~~ teams got off topic in talking about what PF should be. instead of a good debate on topic. The Frameworks were very different. One going on Cost/Benefit Analysis, and the other not providing any Feasability. AFF brought up new evidence in last speech. Neg did a better job in cross and locked AFF into answers that they could spin their way. Neg had to spend a lot of time shoring up there own case because of this. Neg were the better speakers, and so I give the slightest win to them. Neg should watch it on topicality however.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: A208A
Flight 2 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Pachner, Megan
Pace, Patricia

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges' view. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

^{1st} Brighton RL (Robertson & Lundahl) vs ^{2nd} Logan SB (Seamons & Browning)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan
AFF

Brighton
NEG

Winner: _____ debating on the _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____ School: Maeser

Other judges on panel: Tonia Dattage, Ben Powell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

No, plans AREN'T allowed in PF... it is still good to show some potential for solvency, though - and Pro does this in contention I subpoint C. On top of this, they show that the resolution's use of "ought" is questioning justice and Con fails to address this. Pro's case extensively shows that modern and gains from slavery mean that we owe reparations, regardless of proven success. Con's examples of reparation failure could have been more thoroughly attacked by Pro, however, the examples were still not linked strongly to the situation of African Americans.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Room: A208A
Flight 2 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Powell, Ben

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton RL (Robertson & Lundahl) vs Logan SB (Seamons & Browning)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan _____ AFF
Brighton _____ NEG
Winner: Logan _____ debating on the Aff _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Tabara Golley _____ School: ~~WHS~~ Sky View _____

Other judges on panel: Tonia Dattage, Patricia Pace. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

RFD: Aff proved that their framework
overall connected to the Resolution.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

A Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth) vs Bingham HS (Hopkins & Shlader) N

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills HB AFF Bingham HS NEG

Winner: Bingham HS debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature] School: Sky View

Other judges on panel: Patricia Pace, Ben Powell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Speakers could look up more, although Shlader did ok with that. I'm not sure either did a great job understanding each others case. The questions asked were confusing and x seemed wasted. Both cases were so different that it was difficult to compare. I felt the case of prison as slavery was weak but the Neg didn't do a great job of attacking that. Neg's case on the other hand was very cookie cutter and could use some original material. I don't really buy that a particular framework has to be followed or that a "plan" like policy has to be

presented but there has to be some feasibility. Neg wins the debate.

Room: A208A
Flight 1 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Jolley, Talora
~~Powell, Ben~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth) vs Bingham HS (Hopkins & Shlader)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills

AFF

Bingham

NEG

Winner: Copper Hills debating on the Aff
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Talora Jolley School: Sky View

Other judges on panel: Tonia Dattage, Patricia Pace. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

In cross x they all could give
better eye contact, ^{to the judges} but good clash.

RFD: Aff proved framework better
and overall used more stats when
refuting ~~aff~~ Neg's case.

Room: A208A
Flight 1 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Pachner, Megan
~~Pace, Patricia~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

^{1st} Bingham HS (Hopkins & Shlader) vs Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth) ^{Aff}
Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills ^{AFF} Bingham ^{NEG}
Winner: Copper Hills debating on the Aff
Signature: [Signature] School: Maeser
Side (Aff or Neg)

Other judges on panel: Tonia Dattage, Ben Powell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Framework: plans aren't allowed in PF. However, Pro still proved solvency (incl. economic benefits, which went unattacked) as well as satisfying their own negative util. framework.

Con: Your attacks on Pro's case ("No discrimination," "no lost potential" "no suffering") were not backed up and are just blatantly untrue. You basically said we rescued slaves from Africa (btw, comparing their situation here to Africa is not relevant). Your case did not prove that not paying reparations would minimize suffering.

Pro: Your case flowed through by showing structural disadvantages, which Con denied w/o evidence. You proved that reparations are necessary, justified, and feasible.

One thing: you should have provided an alternate definition for "ought," which may have cleared up the framework debate.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Room: A201
Flight 2 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Villegas, Liz

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Venture HG (Hulme & Gray) vs Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Venture Bingham SH
AFF NEG
Winner: Bingham debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Jesse Cey School: Logan High

Other judges on panel: Tyler Logan, Anna Warrell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

This team clearly communicated. information
answered arguments in a good way.
Their reasoning made more of
an impact to reach on Neg
Vote.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges' view. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Venture HG (Hulme & Gray) vs Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Venture

AFF

Bingham

NEG

Winner: Bingham debating on the NEG
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature] School: _____

Other judges on panel: Tyler Logan, Liz Villegas. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Strong Arguments - clearly explained
Structure is good, some reasoning
is questionable on both sides
But last final argument of
who were slave owners makes a difference.

Room: A201
Flight 2 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Almanza, Tanya
~~Logan, Tyler~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Venture HG (Hulme & Gray) vs Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Venture HG AFF Bingham SH NEG
Winner: Bingham SH debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Tanya B. [Signature] School: Copper Hills

Other judges on panel: Liz Villegas, Anna Warrell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I voted neg because they ~~had~~ had arguments the Aff couldn't answer. Both teams dropped many arguments but Neg did a better job of defending their case & Neg also gave me better impacts.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: A201
Flight 1 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

MORATILLA, JOSEPH

Warrell, Anna

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Bingham GE (Gleave & EVans)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

BRIGHTON

AFF

BINGHAM

NEG

Winner: Bingham
School/Team

debating on the NEG
Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

School: JUAN DIEGO

Other judges on panel: Tyler Logan, Liz Villegas. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Bingham Wins - NEG

- Presented credible argument
- Rebutted the opponents ground intelligently
- logical presentation

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.	✓
speaker 2 4 min.	✓
Crossfire 3 min.	✓
speaker 3 4 min.	✓
speaker 4 4 min.	✓
Crossfire 3 min.	✓
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.	✓
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.	✓
Grand Crossfire 3 min.	✓
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.	✓
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.	✓

Room: A201
Flight 1 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Villegas, Liz

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Bingham GE (Gleave & EVans) vs Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Brighton HR AFF Bingham GE NEG

Winner: Brighton HR debating on the AFF
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Lizbeth Cuy School: Logan High

Other judges on panel: Tyler Logan, Anna Warrell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

In my opinion this team was
firm with the information. Had the
facts to back up AFF. Arguments
that they gave and received. This
team answered in good manner.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: A201
Flight 1 Start: 1:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

6

Almanza, Tanya
Logan, Tyler

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Bingham GE (Gleave & EVans)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Brighton HR

AFF

Bingham GE

NEG

Winner:

Brighton HR

School/Team

debating on the

Aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Tanya P. ~~Almanza~~

School:

Copper Hills

Other judges on panel: Liz Villegas, Anna Warrell. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I voted aff because they're evidence was more credible, they flowed framework throughout the whole round. They ~~read~~ argued & defended all attacks made against their case, whereas neg dropped many arguments & forgot to flow ^{framework} all way through

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: A213
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

Joey Amiel
Ramos, Jeishall

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Logan SB (Seamons & Browning) vs Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan SB
AFF

Bingham SH
NEG

Winner: Logan SB
School/Team

debating on the Aff
Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

[Signature]
Kenji

School:

Rowland Hall

Other judges on panel: James Gardner, Susan Lundall. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Be careful with word choice:

"assets"
"them" "A"
"slaves" (in certain situations)
"we"

There is a difference between "African-Americans" and "blacks".
Phenotypical vs. mainstream PC

All feedback given in-round

joey 7869@gmail.com for further questions

Room: A213
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

Gardner, James

Aoki, Kenji

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Logan SB (Seamons & Browning) vs Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan SB

AFF

Bingham SH

NEG

Winner: Logan SB

School/Team

debating on the aff

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature:

Kenji Aoki

School:

Vest High School

Other judges on panel: Susan Lundall, Jeishali Ramos. Please do not start until all judges are present.

1st speaker		2nd speaker	
good job	work on	good job	work on
summing up debate	speaking in cx	roadmap line by line	cross ex answers
3rd speaker		4th speaker	
good job	work on	good job	work on
cross application of arguments	I if you bank on flow prove your flow is better.	attack neg's opponents points in various ways	extending your case
cross examination	let your partner speak	good analysis	speaking in cx
	don't grant opponents		
	See much leeway		

Speech Times:
speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: A213
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

~~Lundall, Susan~~
MONTERO, JOSE

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Bingham SH (Helm & Spencer) vs Logan SB (Seamons & Browning)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

LOGAN (SB) AFF BINGHAM (SH) NEG

Winner: LOGAN (SB) School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature] School: Rowland Hall

Other judges on panel: James Gardner, Jeishali Ramos. Please do not start until all judges are present.

RFD • The argument came down to who could properly defend their framework. Due to the AFF fully defending their framework through a ~~set~~ of logic they won the round. The majority of AFFs claims were evidentially based while the Negs had evidence but the logical justification did not flow through.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

AFF

- Provided clear framework
- Addressed each attack & defense of resolutions
- Unclear flow and drop points due to unnecessary focus on framework

NEG

- Provided clear and well evidence based arguments but their rebuttle lacked warrants.
- Solid performance in regards to speech quality

Room: A201
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

Dattage, Tonice

~~Barnhurst, Megan~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

1st N Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth) 2nd AFF

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills HB
AFF

Brighton HR
NEG

Winner: Brighton HR debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Tonice Dattage School: Sky View

Other judges on panel: Kim Bowman, Kenzie Evans. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Teams provided a good clash of ideas however the debate became a bit too heated for my taste. Neg was well organized and did a great job providing examples however I'm not sure they met their own burden of proof set forth in using a cost / benefit Analysis as they did not specifically speak to COST and benefit was merely implied not directly discussed. The AFF failed to back up evid. w/ source cards when asked in cross on several occasions and in referring to more than 1 piece of evid. which hurt their credibility. Neg did drop several of AFF's arguments. AFF seemed to be more flustered w/ the debate but pulled themselves together in the end on using logic and reason. Neg

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

were better speakers but perhaps cocky to a fault. When I add it all up Neg wins.

Room: A201
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

Smith, Nana
Evans, Kenzie

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills
AFF

Brighton
NEG

Winner: Copper Hills School/Team debating on the Affirmative Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Nye School: Juan Diego

Other judges on panel: Megan Barnhurst, Kim Bowman. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Overall feedback: a hearty and intelligent debate from both teams.

This was a very difficult decision. In the end, I voted aff because of the strength of Contention 1 + Contention 2 plus the foundation of Negative Utilitarianism. The Affirmative contention that no plan is required with no cost of reparations. Aff was missing a few cards but in the end still had standing contributions. Great job!

The persistent asking for cards was bordering on bullying on behalf of the neg side. And in the end even w/ dropped evidence, Aff still stood.

Room: A201
Start: 3:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

7

Bowman, Kim

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Copper Hills HB (Houck & Booth)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

COPPER HILLS HB ~~COPPER HILLS HB~~ BRIGHTON HR
AFF NEG

Winner: BRIGHTON HR debating on the NEG
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature] School: BRIGHTON HS

Other judges on panel: Megan Barnhurst, Kenzie Evans. Please do not start until all judges are present.

BASED ON THE FW AND IMPACTS OF THE ROUND 1 VOTED FOR THE NEG. IN THE ROUND THE NEG BROUGHT DOWN THE FW OF THE AFFIRMATIVE BECAUSE THE FW WAS NOT TOPICAL TO THE RESOLUTION. THE NEGS FW FLOWED THROUGH: NEGS IMPACTS OUTWEIGH ON ECON THEIR C1 AND C2 FLOWED THROUGH. ON ANOTHER NOTE AFTER THE ROUND ~~ONE~~ EVIDENCE WAS ASKED FOR AND THE AFFIRMATIVE WAS UNABLE TO PROVIDE. THE NEGS C3 OF THE EX-FACT-O LAW FLOWED THROUGH THE ROUND. HOWEVER, THE NEG FAILED TO TOUCH ON THE AFFIRMATIVES CLAIM ON BOOSTING THE ECONOMY. BUT THE AFF FAILED TO PROVE HOW.
-FOR THESE REASONS I VOTED IN NEGATIVE.

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

NOTES:

AFF

• GPE CONTACT

NEG

• TRY TO BE MORE RESPECTFUL IN CROSS-X AND ALLOW YOUR OPPONENT TO ANSWER LHS / HER QBS.

Room: A201
Start: 5:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

Final

Shelkey, Brenda
~~Scaglione, Megan~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Logan SB (Seamons & Browning)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Brighton HR AFF Logan SB NEG

Winner: Brighton debating on the AFF **reason a back*
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: B. Shelkey School: Juan Diego

Other judges on panel: Jordan Guraino, Kami Romeike. Please do not start until all judges are present.

reparations

- Aff ① crimes against humanity - liability for international atrocities - slavery w/in doc
Civil Liberties Act; Japanese reparations
② Scholarships & welfare - scholarships = reparations
547 students *1 yr of college - no degree possible
25.7% welfare 13.2% of US pop are AA
\$ comes from US military - \$7 trillion (\$3.7 trillion for labor)
planes decommissioned; M1 tanks not used; old munitions;
pentagon blowing up planes

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Neg Cost benefit analysis

- ① status quo - programs already exist - Upward Bound program **aid poor but this is disproportional but blacks*
② Socioeconomic status increasing - 50% in middle class
Poverty decreasing
Japanese incarceration - \$20k to each victim; still launched a campaign against
Daws Act - reservation land granted parts of land to Indians; lands unsuitable
\$3.7 bill \$ to something that doesn't work

X-cross - Negs should have followed up on how scholarships increase obviate poverty

Aff states Negs agree AA's still in same plight even if current programs
*haven't proven how 1 yr of college will decrease poverty

Japanese & Native Americans are not related to topic
United Nations - reparations required for slavery; law allows for *to law* reparations for acts previous

Room: A201
Start: 5:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

Final

~~Howard Whitman~~
~~Remeike, Kami~~

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua) vs Logan SB (Seamons & Browning)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Brighton

AFF

Logan

NEG

Winner:

~~Affirmative~~

debating on the

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: _____ School: _____

Other judges on panel: Jordan Guraino, Megan Scaglione. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF

- 'Attack and defend Framework
- 'Explain attacks more
- 'Do more "Even so, I'll attack this point"

Neg

- 'Look for turns
- 'Be prepared!
- 'Talk about feasibility as well as why the FG is ~~not~~ not responsible

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Room: A201
Start: 5:00 PM
Novice Public Forum

Final

Erin Severeid

Guraino, Jordan

YOUNG LAWYERS

Oct 2 - 3, 2015

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

Logan SB (Seamons & Browning) vs Brighton HR (Hardy & Rokhua)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Brighton AFF Logan NEG
Winner: Brighton debating on the Aff
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)
Signature: Erin Severeid School: East High

Other judges on panel: Kami Romeike, Megan Scaglione. Please do not start until all judges are present.

AFF:

- Be more specific on your links
- Speaker 1, gather your thoughts
- Speaker 2, speak more slowly and be less aggressive in CX.
- Both speakers should get on the same page
- Speaker 2, stay away from the phrase, "you're putting words in my mouth."

Neg:

- Shorten your case
- Speaker 1, use less filler words like "uh"
- Speaker 2, touch your nose/face less
- Use more time in your rebuttal
- Spend less time looking for sources
- Speaker 2, help your partner during brand CX.
- Keep track of time
- Know exactly what you're bringing up in your Final Focus

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 2 min.
Sp. 2 summary 2 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Both teams did well! I choose the Affirmative because they upheld their framework, and defended their points well.