

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Boca Raton Community BF (Burke Findley) vs Pinecrest CO (Caroline O'Connor)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Pinecrest CO

Boca Raton Community BF

AFF

NEG

Winner: Pinecrest CO debating on the Aff

School/Team

Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: L. Dale

Other judges on panel: Patrick Jessee, Irene Kuo. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I have chosen to affirm the resolution. The neg did not provide direct clash w/ the majority of the aff case. He lumped 5 points under the need for a rational actor (which was vaguely defined). Overall the aff provided a stronger case that was left standing.

**Point Scale:**

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 17 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 14 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

Aff • I know its late and we are all feeling loopy, but please stay focused... Don't include any "... or nah." "three more minutes & we are done".  
Make sure you attack all points, you vaguely attack vaccine point. But considering the amount of development it calls for more attention.

Neg • Be specific w/ your clash. In NC you attack your opp. in a very general manner saying it applies to opp. entire case but you lack specifics.  
• Don't make up an answer during CX... you tried & your opp. was able to use this against you.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Pinecrest CO (Caroline O'Connor) vs Boca Raton Community BF (Burke Findley)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Pinecrest Co Boca Raton Community  
AFF NEG

Winner: Pinecrest Co debating on the AFF  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Irene Kuo

Other judges on panel: Lauren Dale, Patrick Jessee. Please do not start until all judges are present.

*AFF presented well organized framework  
and defended all points w/ substantive response.  
~~NR~~ AFF also presented strong arguments  
against the NC.*

**Point Scale:**

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Pinecrest CO (Caroline O'Connor) vs Boca Raton Community BF (Burke Findley)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Pinecrest CO                      Boca Raton BF  
AFF                                      NEG

Winner: Pinecrest CO debating on the Aff  
School/Team                                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Patrick O. Jessee

Other judges on panel: Lauren Dale, Irene Kuo. Please do not start until all judges are present.

The debate goes to AFF, as a contractarian moral framework does not provide enough objective ground for defining autonomy or rationality, as AFF deftly pointed out. Also, there is contradictory evidence from both sides concerning adolescents neural capacity for rationality. Moreover, the performative aspect of rationality from adolescent debaters contradicts NEG's claims about adolescent non-rationality.

**Point Scale:**

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Cary ES (Emily Stuelke) vs T Jefferson KV (Kaushik Venkatesh)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

T Jefferson KV (KAUSHIK VENKATESH)  
AFF

Cary ES (Emily Stuelke)  
NEG

Winner: T Jefferson KV debating on the AFF  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Logan R

\*Please chair this round. Other judges on panel: Joe Bruner, Scott Findley. Please do not start until all judges are present.

**Point Scale:**

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 17 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

RFD:

- ① Role of the Ballot
- ② Grossberg → incremental change sufficient
- ③ Hartman / Mutcheron A+ extension
- ④ Clifford / Burke spike out of NC offense  
↳ Flat 4224222222
- ⑤ Allen proves ballot distinction = A+ team

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

T Jefferson KV (Kaushik Venkatesh) vs Cary ES (Emily Stuelke)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

T Jefferson KV  
AFF

Cary ES Emily Stuelke  
NEG

Winner: NEG: Cary ES debating on the NEG  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Scott Findley

Other judges on panel: Logan Parke, Joe Bruner. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Neg: based on mental capacity, less harm as a whole vs Aff giving rights to whole pop.

Authenticate human  
Challenge Power Structures  
Higher order, Abstr. order, Ethics  
- Reject oppression -  
All children are oppressed  
abuse, cohor.

→ all positions are political  
← Majority not capable, greater harm giving right to all  
Social inst. → new arg 2NR  
← mature minor doctrine, still allowed those cap to make decision  
← will create greater harm, not based on capability

**Point Scale:**  
Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

difference in maturity is not equal base  
- individual based  
self determination  
oppression → object of power  
condition to disempowerment (leads to)

← no age capability, need to delay until then rational adults  
→ incremental change needed → bring down collection, changes  
← solve through social inst. → need to address  
← social inst. to prevent oppression → non by adults (adults stopping adults)

**Speech Times:**  
Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 17 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 14 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

Social & cultural norm (break)  
personal autonomy  
any position has political power  
under assism  
obligation  
Self directing freedom  
Medical choice (not elective)  
disease or injury

← would cause more harm (go w/gut)  
← adol. not fully developed, will not make same decision as adults  
← all ages → based on age  
← based on mental capacity

Rationality (look at something logically)  
Impulsive decisions  
Teens - Emotional Response more active (adult early 20s)

← every arg has political decision  
← some child smarter than adults  
→ ~~not~~ protecting until able  
→ still need emotional development

Able to make logical decision, but Emotional  
Phy. inclined to make emotional decisions  
- want to make decision w/ neg feelings (stress)  
- did not do research or talk to doctors

← no stress  
← still being violated  
← some discern on age not capabilities → ①  
← adults make bad decisions → add proven to make worse decision



# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Loyola Blakefield AB (Aidan Bissell-Siders) vs North Carolina School of Science and Math CY (Cory You)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Loyola Blakefield AB AFF      NCSSM CY NEG

Winner: NCSSM CY debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Brandon Sweeney

Other judges on panel: Laura Cabana, Karen Justice. Please do not start until all judges are present.

## AFF

- Great answers in CX
- Strong questions
- Just to be safe. Make some cross-applications for judges who are flow-oriented. You technically dropped his C3 even though you already covered it. No issues with me though
- Expand on your arguments because they look blippy.

## NEG:

- I feel like you just throw that vaccine argument out there.
- Make it connect more.
  - Much better case reading!
  - Good comeback in 2NR

### Point Scale:

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

### Speech Times:

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 17 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 14 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

NEG makes clear extensions that link better into autonomy  
AFF has really strong arguments but does not outweigh.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Loyola Blakefield AB (Aidan Bissell-Siders) vs North Carolina School of Science and Math CY (Cory You)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Aiden Bissell - Siders Cory You

---

AFF NEG

Winner: Cory You debating on the Neg

School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Laura Cabana

\*Please chair this round. Other judges on panel: Karen Justice, Brandon Sweeney. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff

- Really strong constructive — well organized, warranted
- Good responses to CX questions
- Consistent eye contact
- Addressed vaccines ✓

Fantastic debater — great speaking

Neg

- I liked your constructive.
- It was really strong
- Good job addressing

Aff case

- Ideal speaking speed for me
- Good eye contact
- Bought that criterion was more applicable to the round
- He addressed vaccines multiple times — autonomy of the community outweighs
- Good NR — extension of C2 was good

**Point Scale:**  
Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**  
Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.



# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

North Carolina School of Science and Math CY (Cory You) vs Loyola Blakefield AB (Aidan Bissell-Siders)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Loyola Blakefield AB      NCSSM CY  
AFF      NEG

Winner Aff debating on the Aff  
School/Team      Side (Aff or Neg)  
Signature: Loyola Blakefield AB

Other judges on panel: Laura Cabana, Brandon Sweeney. Please do not start until all judges are present.

Aff

you are right that social norms re: adolescents are often contradictory

Coercion nullifies moral accountability

Mature Minor Status determination is almost purely subjective ✓

NEG

whether or not autonomy exists after death is an unanswerable question.

**Point Scale:**  
Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

good points on anorexics etc

**Speech Times:**  
Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

your comment that if one has to go to court to obtain a permission doesn't have a right is <sup>that</sup> bizarre

Court is not free, btw.

interesting - by that I mean good - argument re: future autonomy, life plan etc. but not good enough  
excellent delivery in NR

§

**FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST**  
 Sep 11 - 13, 2015

Hendrick Hudson MG (Matt Gofman) vs TJefferson SKo (Shivam Kollur)

*Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below*

Hendrick Hudson MG                      TJefferson SKo  
 \_\_\_\_\_                                      \_\_\_\_\_  
 AFF    NEG

Winner: Hendrick Hudson MG              debating on the Aff  
 \_\_\_\_\_                                      \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team                                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Mia \_\_\_\_\_

\*Please chair this round. Other judges on panel: Lucas Bailey, Ty Stuelke. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I Affirm on the human genome firm.

**Point Scale:**  
 Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**  
 Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

TJefferson SKo (Shivam Kollur) vs Hendrick Hudson MG (Matt Gofman)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Hendrick Hudson MG                      TJefferson SK  
AFF    NEG

Winner: Hendrick Hudson MG debating on the Aff  
School/Team    Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: [Signature]

Other judges on panel: Mia Berman, Ty Stuelke. Please do not start until all judges are present.

This round is a race to the bottom.

Your role of the ballot/ Pedagog arguments are exactly the same.

Neither debater actually gives me a means of weighing oppression or evaluating the effect of this ballot in resolving it.

If, based on the NK, oppression results from the otherization of those who are different than us and the use of that otherization for just a inferior moral status then the AKA solved this problem by removing the identification of difference.

**Point Scale:**

Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**

Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 7 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.

# FORENSICS EARLY BIRD AT WAKE FOREST

Sep 11 - 13, 2015

TJefferson SKo (Shivam Kollur) vs Hendrick Hudson MG (Matt Gofman)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Hendrick Hudson MG                      TJefferson SKo  
AFF    NEG

Winner: Hendrick Hudson MG debating on the Aff  
School/Team                                      Side (Aff or Neg)

Signature: Ty Stuelke

Other judges on panel: Mia Berman, Lucas Bailey. Please do not start until all judges are present.

I felt that Aff stood up to the attacks of the K.  
The point regarding transgender individuals being discriminated in a social sense was not directly addressed, and the Aff promoted a world in which ~~more~~ all people's specific limitations/disabilities are accounted for so I don't buy into the idea presented by Neg that Aff perpetuates oppression.

**Point Scale:**  
Please rate the debaters using a 30 point scale with decimals. Think of it as a grade with a 2 in front. So a 95 or an A+ quality debater becomes a 29.5.

**Speech Times:**  
Speech times: 6 Min Aff | 3 Min CX | 17 Min Neg | 3 Min CX | 4 Min 1AR | 6 Min NR | 3 Min 2AR | 4 Min Prep.