

Novice
~~Novice~~

SCU PHILATHLETIC INVITATIONAL

OC
206

Santa Clara University

April 6-7, 2013

PF April Nov

Flip for sides if teams have met before.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Miller

Speaker: Sally & Amber

NEGATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Amador

Speaker: Sasha & Richa

The better debating was done by the

Flip
AFF

from

Miller

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Samdaphan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

— Framework argument — It's a little unreasonable to discuss this so much. The fact of the matter is that minorities make up the country.

★ Thank you for having a reasonable & constructive cross examinations.

RFD:- To have environmental reform you need \$.

Economic growth alleviates poverty more than environment preservation

(How does clean H₂O solve for poverty?)

Flight A: Start ASAP
LD Open Intervention

AMADOR VALLEY
- Sasha M.
- Richa T.

1

MILLER
- Salley Kim
- Amber Lee

~~SEMI~~
Finals

OC
206

Novice
~~Novice~~

SCU PHILATHLETIC INVITATIONAL

Santa Clara University

April 6-7, 2013

PF April Nov

Flip for sides if teams have met before.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Miller

Speaker: _____

NEGATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Amador Valley

Speaker: _____

Flip

The better debating was done by the AFF from Miller
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

BOTH AFF & NEG did a great job with speaking quality - clear enunciation, good pace, etc. Overall, Aff had a better framework (util) focusing on benefits in magnitude & importance. Neg incorrectly and repeatedly pointed out that Aff tried to solve the poverty 100%. Also, ~~the~~ Aff's contention #3 of global warming @ tipping point was not India specific problem, but rather universal; so it failed to prove the impact. Overall, Aff had better arguments and reputation and upheld the resolution more effectively. So I voted for Aff (Miller).
All the best!

Novice
Rehab

SCU PHILATHLETIC INVITATIONAL

Santa Clara University

April 6-7, 2013

Flip for sides if teams have met before.

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Miller

Speaker: _____

NEGATIVE

POINTS: _____

School: Amador Valley

Speaker: _____

Flip

The better debating was done by the AFF from Miller
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Be clear on what you are asking during CX - both teams
Both had good evidence + presented sides clearly.
Ultimately I felt the Aff would have better results.
for the best interest of India in a faster time frame.