

Room: 603
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 5
Round: 1

1

Hardin, Mike
BM Bonita Vista High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.
Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Propensity or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BE 102 - Lee-Guest & Adler	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Ruby Adler	30
2	Ella Lee-Guest	28

NEG

Spkr	BS 134 - Friestman & Lee	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Eilish Friestman	27
1	Joelle Lee	28

Winner: BE 102 debating on the AFF Low point win? NO
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ruby: Good usage of college level vocabulary
Great eye contact and tied in your points at conclusion of your speech effectively
P.O.O. issue was resolved professionally

Ella: Great multiple examples of negative effects of solitary confinement.
Good eye contact.
Great use of time management

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:

1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 801
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 13
Round: 1

1

Norton, Gabie
BS Carlsbad High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BE 100 - Tyrrell-Knott & Kumar	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Sanjana Kumar	29
	Sophia Tyrrell-Knott	29

NEG

Spkr	BR 111 - Baranov & Zeng	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Anton Baranov	27
	Matthew Zeng	27

Winner: ~~BR 111~~ BE 100 debating on the aff Low point win? no
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Reason for Decision: The aff won this debate based on their well articulated contentions that were not refuted.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:

1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

- There is NO cross examination, but questions may be asked as a "Point of Information" (POI) during the first and last minute of each round.
- POIs permitted during "protected time" which is the first and last minute of each round.
- rebut the proposition's arguments but are not required to do so. Parliamentary
- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Con
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.
- There is NO cross examination, but questions may be asked as a "Point of Information" (POI) during the first and last minute of each round.
- POIs permitted during "protected time" which is the first and last minute of each round.
- rebut the proposition's arguments but are not required to do so.

Judge Signature: _____

Phone n _____

6044188

Sanjana Kumar: Your poised, clear speaking style was an excellent platform for your well researched contentions. Smooth and professional.

Sophia Tyrrell-Knott- You were very organized in the way you refuted the neg. It is evident that you can hold a ton of information in your memory. Very impressive.

Anton Baranov: You were on the right track with a tricky (aff heavy?) debate. You had niche examples that made it easy for the aff to refute. You have a nice calm speaking style.

Matthew Zeng: You had a calm, organized speaking style. Anticipating the other side's contentions might help you present a stronger case.

Room: 604
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 12
Round: 1

1

Lee, Charles
BS Carlsbad High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BH 100 - An & Chang	POINTS (25-30)
1	Audrey An	30
3	Annalise Chang	28

NEG		
Spkr	BM 120 - Cassel Engen & Fowler	POINTS (25-30)
4	Tai Cassel Engen	27
2	William Fowler	29

Winner: AFF - BH 100 An - Chang debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Verbal feedback provided in debate

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 604
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPR 16
Round: 1

1

Lee, Charles
BS Carlsbad High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BP 100 - Lehman & Lehman	POINTS (25 - 30)
4	Mira Lehman	26
3	Sarah Lehman	27

NEG

Spkr	BH 101 - Browne & Leung	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Eli Browne	28
1	Kasie Leung	29

Winner: BH101 - Browne/Leung debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: need more evidence and data on
no-lithium battery ~~for~~ and resume
overall.

Neg: good job on the net benefit.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 508
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 7
Round: 1

1

Harris, Dirk
BP La Jolla High School Speech and

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BH 102 - Chan & Khoury	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Sydney Chan	29
2	Marina Khoury	30

NEG

Spkr	BS 131 - Li & Tong	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Lennox Li	28
	Greyson Tong	28

Winner: BH 102 / Chan & Khoury debating on the AFF Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF better understood resolution & defended
contentions well.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 508
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 10
Round: 1

1

Harris, Dirk
BP La Jolla High School Speech and

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BF 101 - Staricka & Wright	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Sofia Staricka	27
2	Tatianna Wright	27

NEG

Spkr	BS 133 - Maynard & Watts	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Cate Maynard	28
1	Zoe Watts	29

Winner: BS133- Maynard & Watts debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg more clearly articulated their plan & opposition to the resolution as well as presented arguments around economic damage of AFF's plan which was not addressed or successfully defended by AFF

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 503
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 2
Round: 1

1

Evans, Garient
BS Carlsbad High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BF 104 - McField & Fanella	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Donavan Fanella	25
	Gabriella McField	27

NEG		
Spkr	BH 105 - Feng & Wang	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Jeremy Feng	28
	Selene Wang	28

Winner: BH 105 Feng & Wang debating on the Neg Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Negative's point about Lithium shortage was most important and never successfully refuted.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 503
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 1
Round: 1

1

Evans, Garient
BS Carlsbad High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BH 103 - Guo & Navarrete	POINTS (25-30)
	William Guo	25
	Dylan Navarrete	25

NEG

Spkr	BY 102 - Robinson & Lappay	POINTS (25-30)
	Bernard Lappay	26
	Tyler Robinson	29

Winner: BY 102 - Rob. & Lappay debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Negative's point that reducing solitary confinement was acceptable versus outright ban made logical sense in the context of public safety. Affirmative's point about capital punishment as way to create safety refuted "humanity" value. Affirm. Needed to cite success for countries who banned solitary confinement

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

- There is NO cross examination, but questions may be asked as a "Point of Information" permitted during "protected time" which is the first and last minute of each speaker's proposition's arguments but are not required to do so. Parliamentary Debate (P.D.)
- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required.
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only on a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO must state the argument.
- There is NO cross examination, but questions may be asked as a "Point of Information" permitted during "protected time" which is the first and last minute of each speaker's proposition's arguments but are not required to do so.

Judge Signature: _____ Phone: _____

6044176

102

Aff: Dylan + William

- Dylan - Value = humanity, 2023 and solitary confinement. Focus on rehab. Nothing in states goes for rehab. UN = cruel + unusual punishment. Prisoner rights - education, visiting, exercise, work. Humans are social beings + slippery slope fallacy related to murder death exercise time.
- Chivvy Solitaires Rehab - states show more held in solitary + likely to commit crime. Precedent for solitary is cruel (supreme court consideration) 8th Amendment / Constitution

Bernard - Neg -> Solitary Confinement is for public safety + for other inmates isolate dangerous prisoners. Prisoner rights can pose threat to other inmates rights. Aff's definition of solitary confinement is too broad. Rehabilitation or public safety [can't have both?]. What other options are there to keep prisoners safe? Neg says as of few examples of how solitary confinement is inhumane.

William - we all agree on definition of "solitary confinement". We value humanity over public safety. - we believe our policy will increase public safety not decrease. - they never defined "public safety" | Solitary confinement decreases public safety -> increases mental illness. - Guards can restrain individuals. - Car crashes kill more people than jail violence -> false equivalency. - 5pt drop in recidivism when rehabbed. - Capital punishment for murderers will take care of public safety [value = humanity]. - Solitary confinement + recidivism.

Tyler - public safety is self explanatory. "Reduce" the practice. Officers sent to jail, keeps prisoners safe. Capital punishment is morally justifiable but solitary confinement is not. Murderers must be separated. Prompt is not about rehab. Middle ground is solitary confinement. We agree in reducing S.C.

Bernard - Can't value humanity w/out valuing public safety. Removing S.C. removes public safety + humanity [how?].

Dylan - Public safety is general public. S.C. harms humans. Precedent. Rehab as a Policy. Death Penalty is...

Room: 505
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 11
Round: 1

1

Harris, Matt
BG San Diego Academy

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BS 127 - Dresios & Dresios	POINTS (25-30)
1	Demetrios Dresios	28
2	Vassilios Dresios	28

NEG

Spkr	BP 101 - Bardin & Grilli	POINTS (25-30)
2	Solaine Bardin	28
1	Elena Grilli	28

Winner: BS 127 - Dresios & Dresios debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The affirmative did a better job, with ~~less~~ discussion of funding, economic impact and ~~the~~ psychological warfare, ~~and~~ at selling the idea of ending solitary confinement. ~~The~~
~~Negative debate seemed to~~

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 505
Flight 1 – Start: 8:00 AM
VPAR 8
Round: 1

1

Harris, Matt
BG San Dieguito Academy

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	CA 105 – Uchiyama & Chang	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Hayden Chang	28
2	Misa Uchiyama	29

NEG

Spkr	BS 132 – McDonald & Hoffius	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Rylan Hoffius	29
1	Jean-Luc McDonald	28

Winner: CA 105 - Uchiyama & Chang debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides did a really good job. I feel the Aff did a better job discussing the positives of making EV the law by 2040. The Neg only questioned an extra 5 years, and some points like the 'hybrid' discussion seemed irrelevant. The barriers are the same.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.