

Room: 306
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 20
Round: 1

1

Chan, Jian
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	BS 136 - Mansker & Bush	POINTS (25 - 30)	Spkr	BR 105 - Qin & Huynh	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Samantha Bush 2	28	2	Kaden Huynh 2	26
1	Christopher Mansker 1	26	1	Max Qin 1	25

Winner:

~~BS 136~~ BS 136

School/Team

debating on the

~~AFF~~ AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Low point win?

No

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- NEG failed to address their weighing Mechanism until the 2nd speaker and therefore agreeing with the weighing mechanism set by AFF.

AFF asked good Questions which NEG failed to properly address.

- Samantha is an excellent speaker who also asked smart questions

Good Job all.

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:
Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 306
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 21
Round: 1

1

Chan, Jian
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - If your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Propensity or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 106 - Flores Palacios & Pelli...	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Pia Flores Palacios	26
	Noelle Pellicane	28

NEG

Spkr	BE 104 - said & Oldenburg	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Kobi Oldenburg	26
	luca said	27

Winner: By 106 School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- opposition failed to convince ~~the~~ me ~~that~~ of their position.
- Could Not answer questions the prop team asked. Never addressed props contentions
- Noelle is a very good speaker and asked very good questions.

Good debate over all.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 209
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 8
Round: 1

1

janes, thomas
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 107 - Lara & Delgadillo	POINTS (25-30)
	Isabella Delgadillo	29
	Leah Lara	28

NEG

Spkr	CA 107 - Sur & Chao	POINTS (25-30)
	Grant Chao	27 27
	Alex Sur	29

Winner: CA 107 School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Yes

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The AFF team made better use of their time and presented strong facts about their argument and the need for it. However I agree with the neg side that there was not an actual plan of how to implement the transition. Only the reason for the need was presented strongly, not a plan to change.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 209
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 8
Round: 1

1

janes, thomas
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 107 - Lara & Delgadillo	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Isabella Delgadillo	29
	Leah Lara	28

NEG

Spkr	CA 107 - Sur & Chao	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Grant Chao	27
	Alex Sur	29

Winner: CA 107 debating on the NEG Low point win? Yes
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The AFF team made better use of their time and presented strong facts about their argument and the need for it. However I agree with the neg side that there was not an actual plan of how to implement the transition. Only the reason for the need was presented strongly, not a plan to change.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 209
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAP 16
Round: 1

1

janes, thomas
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - If your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 105 - Jusay & King	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Jorell Jusay	28
	Isabella King	28

NEG

Spkr	BP 104 - Weinstein & Harris	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Denver Harris	29
	Anabel Weinstein	30

Winner: NEG BP 104 debating on the NEG Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments online

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal-4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 308
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 25
Round: 1

1

Hernandez, Fred
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 103 - Hall & Adams	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Kenneth Adams	29
	Samaia Hall	28

NEG

Spkr	BD 104 - Cohen & Laats	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Jaden Cohen	28
	Dru Laats	28

Winner: BY 103 debating on the Prop Low point win? No
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Excellent job - terrific speaking styles by everyone

- slow down + take breath
during arguments

- Winning team had a slight edge
- both teams were strong

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 308
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 26
Round: 1

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.
Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT** tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BY 112 - Bawua & Ouano	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Nhyira Bawua	28
	Abigail Ouano	29

NEG		
Spkr	BR 102 - Lin & Zeng	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Rita Lin	28
	Daphne Zeng	28

Winner: BY 112 debating on the Prop Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? No
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Excellent job by both teams
- slow down + take breaths during arguments
- Winning team did a nice job of rebutting points by opposition
- Excellent speaking style by both teams!

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 207
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 23
Round: 1

1

Leung, Chito
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BR 112 - Samuel & Abdullahi	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Radwan Abdullahi	26
2	Griffin Samuel	26

NEG

Spkr	BY 109 - Burkhardt & Magpali	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Audrey Burkhardt	28
2	Kristen Magpali	25

Winner: BY 109 debating on the NEG Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 207
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 3
Round: 1

1

Leung, Chito
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BE 106 - Liu & Choy	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Josephine Choy	26
2	Ethan Liu	26

NEG

Spkr	CA 106 - Liang & Hornsten	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Peter Hornsten	28
1	Chenmei Liang	29

Winner: CA 106 debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The negative side

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 208
Flight 1 – Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 7
Round: 1

1

Xu, abby
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.
Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.
In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."
Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).
These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.
If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.
You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT** tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.
For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should **NOT** be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BR 106 – Li & Liu	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Elizabeth Li	28
	Crystal Liu	27

NEG

Spkr	BE 109 – Madiraju & Ray Chaud...	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Rithvik Madiraju	25
	Shounak Ray Chaudhuri	29

Winner: Li & Liu debating on the AFF Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Great Debate! Points are well communicated.
Both side addressed the other team's arguments.
AFF side debated stronger on the reasons,
they are more convincing. Definitions were
clearly defined and well used. Overall, this
is a successful debate for both teams. Body
Language and eye contact can be improved.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 307
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 14
Round: 1

1

Delgadillo, Roberto

BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Propensity or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BE 110 - Khushu & Rosales	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Riya Khushu	28
1	Michele Rosales	28

NEG

Spkr	BH 115 - Bravo & Kenney	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Bella Bravo	29
1	Thomas Kenney	27

Winner: BE 110 - Khushu & Rosales debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did great presenting compelling arguments.

The winning team did a slightly better job of presenting multiple points for the AFF side, and presented better rebuttals.

Both teams should improve on presenting the sources used for their points.

When identifying a statistic remember it's more powerful when you identify your source, and it does not appear to be just an opinion. Try to look up to

audience & always

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.

- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.

- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.

- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.

- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:

- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:

1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

Room: 307
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 22
Round: 1

1

Delgadillo, Roberto
BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BR 109 - Lau & Yang	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Max Lau	30
2	Julian Yang	29

NEG		
Spkr	BH 112 - Cervantes & Hernandez	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Adrian Cervantes	30
2	Naveen Hernandez	29

Winner: BH 112 Cervantes/Hernandez debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did great presenting compelling arguments. I felt the winning team presented more stats & sources. They also identified their sources better. Excellent job & Great speakers on both sides

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:
Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 301
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 10
Round: 1

1

Fu, Peilin
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Propensity or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BY 104 - Reyes & Wintch	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Zophia Reyes	29
	Natalie Wintch	28

NEG

Spkr	BE 107 - Maslow & Newman	POINTS (25 - 30)
	London Maslow	30
	Charlie Newman	28

Winner: BE 107 debating on the Neg Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both of the teams had well structured speech and good presentation skills.

Team BY104 used data and examples to support their arguments. Team BE107 had the better arguments and better refuted team BY104's arguments.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.

- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.

- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.

- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.

- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:

- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 301
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 13
Round: 1

1

Fu, Peilin
BH The Bishop's School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	CA 110 - Yang & Yang	POINTS (25 - 30)	Spkr	BR 103 - Hu & Chen	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Elizabeth Yang	29		Chloe Chen	28
	Katherine Yang	30		Claire Hu	26

Winner: CA 110 debating on the Aff Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Team CA110 has better speech and debate skills. Their speech was very well structured.

Team BR103 used data to support their argument. Did not fully use their time. Could be more confident.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 302
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 15
Round: 1

1

Said, Paula
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BH 107 - Shim & Teyssier	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Jake Shim	29
1	Katherine Teyssier	28

NEG

Spkr	CA 109 - Chawla & Lieberman	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Bani Chawla	30
2	Aviva Lieberman	29

Winner: CA 109 debating on the NEG. Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides were excellent presenters of their main points and contentions. Neg. side was clear + concise in their presentation of argument and also in why AFF position could not stand. AFF side had excellent points and used data effectively. Their plan was not as fleshed out and vulnerable to neg. rebuttal, which presented more evidence to support position.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:

1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins
1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins
2nd Opp-8mins
Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 302
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 17
Round: 1

1

Said, Paula
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: 'I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain.' Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BH 114 - Xing & Xuan	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Ella Xing	28
2	Kailin Xuan	28

NEG		
Spkr	BW 100 - Lee & Kang	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Kayley Kang	26
1	Sneha Lee	27

Winner: BH 114 debating on the AFF Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF -> Well organized presentation of pros/cons.
Clear speaking style - maintained positions
clearly thru debate + rebuttal.

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

NEG -> Very good presence, nice tone + speaking style. Good effort to negate points.

Having some data points will strengthen your contentions, you had very good arguments against the contentions for AFF.
try to use clear examples you can reference

Speech Times:
Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round. M Yaw Closely Arguments-
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 304
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 1
Round: 1

1

Robinson, Scott
BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BG 106 - Ling & Harris	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Blake Harris	27
1	Katie Ling	29

NEG

Spkr	BE 103 - Blair & Sheres	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Mark Blair	28
2	Asher Sheres	30

Winner: BG 106 debating on the Aff Low point win? yes
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg did not do an adequate job of saying why their counterpart was better than Aff Argument

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:

1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 304
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 18
Round: 1

1

Robinson, Scott
BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT** tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should **NOT** be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BR 107 - Bharathwaj & Albark	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Marya Albark	25
	Ananya Bharathwaj	26

NEG

Spkr	BE 108 - Kamdar & Janes	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Mitchell Janes	30
2	Abhi Kamdar	29

Winner: BE 108 debating on the NEG Low point win? NO
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*NEG had better and more ~~stronger~~ defined arguments.
Aff needs to use whole time by expanding on their points*

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 210
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 9
Round: 1

1 Mehdiabadi, Shahnaz
BE Francis Parker School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - If your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF			NEG		
Spkr	BH 113 - Feldman & Mafong	POINTS (25 - 30)	Spkr	BY 110 - Davidson & Jackson	POINTS (25 - 30)
B	Sabrina Feldman	30	B	Shaun Davidson	28
A	Sydney Mafong	29	A	Allayah Jackson	30

Winner: AFF - BH 113 - Feldman & Mafong debating on the sale of gas powered cars should be illegal by 2040
School/Team _____ Low point win? _____
Side (Aff or Neg) _____

more support of their argument w/ examples

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF speaker A (113) → Sydney - confident speaker - brought up noise issue of gas cars
good eye contact; environmental impact of gasoline
monetary impact as well

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

NEG speaker A (110) Allayah - very courteous & nice introduction - good eye contact
cost impact of production of electric cars; greenhouse gases
toxic chemicals; cost impact

Speech Times:
Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

AFF speaker B (113) Sabrina - nice introduction; confident speaker
Hybrid cars brought up; very strong argument

NEG speaker B (110) Shaun - a little nervous but great effort.
cost impact; no pos in rebuttal

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

-
- This image shows a blank, aged, cream-colored page, likely an endpaper or flyleaf of a book. The paper has a slightly textured appearance with some minor creases and discoloration, particularly along the edges. The left edge of the page is bound, showing the stitching or staples of the book's binding. The overall tone is warm and slightly yellowed, characteristic of old paper.

Phone number
Please m

NEED
Speaker A - Alaydh - did extremely well; someone entered room & she stayed on track. Confident & great speaker

~~Aff~~ speaker 4 - Sydney - worse issue; nice analogy

Room: 206
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 24
Round: 1

1

Bernaiche, Diana
BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping.

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BR 108 - Yousuf & Sun	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Chloe Sun	27
	Illana Yousuf	26

NEG

Spkr	BH 109 - Liu & Zeng	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Rebecca Liu	28
	Sophie Zeng	28

Winner: BH109 - Liu & Zeng debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams did great! Solid points were made.

The reason BH109 won is based off their argument that discipline & order is still needed in prison & providing a alternative solution that still separates the "dangerous" inmate from everybody else and allows time for introspect.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution)- 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins
2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments)
Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
- Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
- Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
- Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
- This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up a new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.

Room: 206
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NPAR 2
Round: 1

1

Bernaiche, Diana
BY Olympian High School

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

In Parli, students prep for 20 minute prior to the round - if your competition room is empty, students are likely still prepping. Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Proponency or Opponency). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BH 116 - Tao & Tang	POINTS (25-30)
	Caleb Tang	28
	Christopher Tao	28

NEG		
Spkr	BE 105 - Khushu & Antia	POINTS (25-30)
	Zayden Antia	26
	Ronit Khushu	27

Winner: BE105 School/Team debating on the neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? yes

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg team - needed some more organization in their delivery. confidence in speaking! an alternative would've been helpful.

Aff team - Great job w/ organization and delivery. needed more against the low income argument.

reason for decision: The biggest factor was low income. How does a large population find resources to afford the EV. When it becomes illegal, how do they afford it.

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Parli Times:
1st Proposition (in favor of the resolution) - 7mins 1st Opposition (against the resolution) - 8 mins 2nd Prop-8mins 2nd Opp-8mins Opp Rebuttal- 4mins (No New Arguments) Prop Rebuttal- 5mins (No New Arguments)

- 2 on 2 debate- Resolutions are DIFFERENT for every round.
 - Topic will be announced to competitors 20 minutes before each round.
 - Each side needs to address the other team's arguments.
 - Topics can be one of three types: value, a policy, or fact.
 - This is not an evidence-based debate. Evidence is allowed, but not required. Common issues in rounds:
- A Point of Order (POO) may only be raised in a final rebuttal speech and only if a debater believes their opponents are bringing up new argument. Time will stop immediately and the debater raising the POO will have 15 seconds to explain.