

Room: 607  
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 18  
Round: 1

1

Sheposh, Jannet  
BZ Rancho Bernardo High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.  
In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."  
Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).  
These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.  
If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.  
You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.  
For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BM 105 - Murphy & Molina	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Capri Molina	28
1	Morgan Murphy	27

NEG		
Spkr	BS 103 - Venkatesh & Coffler	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Romie Coffler	29
2	Varun Venkatesh	28

Winner: NEG / BS 103 debating on the HSR Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Capri - slow down, valid points / Morgan - clear spoken, good speed - did not answer questions w/ valid points

Point Scale: 25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Romie - slow down, valid points, answered ?'s  
VARUN - Good speed, clear, easy to understand  
not giving enough to prove some points  
NEG: WAS able to validate points over AFF.

easily + efficiently

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. **Public Forum**

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change

**Speech Times:**  
Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team



Room: 607  
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 7  
Round: 1

1

Sheposh, Jannet  
BZ Rancho Bernardo High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF PRO		
Spkr	BM 102 - Loya & Pineda	POINTS (25-30)
1	Daniela Loya	26
2	Mateo Pineda	28

NEG CON		
Spkr	CA 101 - Synn & Wang	POINTS (25-30)
2	Melinda Synn	29
1	Justin Wang	28

Winner: Synn / Wang debating on the HSR Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

### Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

### Speech Times:

Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The



Room: 606  
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 15  
Round: 1

1

Marriott, Adrienne

BB Helix Charter High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF

Spkr	BL 104 - Lee & Han	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Lennis Han	29
1	Jefferson Lee	29

NEG

Spkr	BS 107 - Ern & Brown	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Willow Brown	29
2	Kaya Ern	29

Winner: BL104 School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Jefferson: Clear voice, good eye contact. SLOW DOWN. Good inquiry on cross.

Lennis: Clear and engaging voice, good eye contact. Pauses for emphasis are a good thing to try.

BL104: reasonable carbon argument, what won the debate was your breaking down opponents argument.

Willow: clear and well spoken, avoid reading from screen, good cross.

Kaya: very good voice and engagement.

BS107: Population density stats didn't appear to support Neg.

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. **Public Forum**

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team



Room: 606  
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 5  
Round: 1

1

Marriott, Adrienne  
BB Helix Charter High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BM 104 - Fincher & Radashkevich	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Danica Fincher	28
2	Daniela Radashkevich	29

NEG		
Spkr	BL 103 - Bagat & Law	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Daniel Bagat	29
1	Hudson Law	29

Winner: BM104 debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? Y  
School/Team

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Danica - clear overview, slow down, good eye contact. Arguments were good, but suggest you choose a FEW and emphasize.  
Daniela - good, natural presentation, slow down a bit. Great vocal emphasis on cross.  
BM104: well reasoned arguments won the debate.  
HUDSON: Good audience engagement, well spoken.  
DANIEL: Good vocal pace including pauses for emphasis. no clicky pen. Good spontaneous cross response.  
BL103: inflation amount was less compelling than AFF's arguments.

**Point Scale:**  
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

**Speech Times:**  
Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
\* 3 minutes prep time for each team



Room: 610  
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 6  
Round: 1

1

Gonzalez Martinez,  
Gerar  
BM Bonita Vista High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BS 104 - Geraci & Perez	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Ryan Geraci	<del>28</del> 27
2	Jordi Perez	29

NEG		
Spkr	BM 109 - Mensinger & Matthews	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Tatiana Matthews	26
2	Saphira Mensinger	27

Winner: ~~BS 104~~ BM 109 debating on the NEG Low point win? yes  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

online

Point Scale:
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:
Public Forum Times:
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The



Room: 610  
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 10  
Round: 1

1 Gonzalez Martinez,  
Gerar  
BM Bonita Vista High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain." Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BS 105 - Pizzo & Larson	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Sophie Larson	26
2	Lola Pizzo	27

NEG		
Spkr	BL 102 - Tianshi & Aquino	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Angel Aquino	28
1	Kyle Tianshi	29

Winner: BL 102 (NEG) debating on the NEG Low point win? no  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Pretty clear decision for one reason: The AFF failed to defend their links to their impacts. That alone ends my deliberation & a NEG ballot. (evaluating other parts of the debates, I would still vote NEG)

**AFF**  
• you need to extend clearly. going into your summary the NEG had no defense of o'tool. Literally saying "drop o'tool" would have made them lose that link.  
• also need to clarify link chains & manage your time better.  
• your opponent dropped all your impacts but that does not mean they dropped the argument. LINKS matter & should be prioritized if that is the only part they responded to. (It becomes your "win condition")  
• if your evidence contradicts theirs, clash with it. "win condition" to your projections had a methodology but you never brought it up

**Point Scale:**  
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

### Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:**  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. **Public Forum**

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.



every two months.  
Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.  
Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side.

Judge Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone n  
6044201

NEG

- you know what you did well, not gonna reiterate here. just nit picks.
- you extended arguments on your last speech that had neg all responses on them, I assume you missed it on the flow.
- to make sure to allot time if it was a timing issue
- on the note of time, otool was not important enough to warrant all the time you spent on it. Give your defense and move on

POINTS	Spkr	BL 102 - Tianshi & Aquino
25	5	Angel Aquino
25	1	Kyle Tianshi

POINTS	Spkr	BS 102 - Pizzo & Larson
25	4	Sophie Larson
25	3	Lola Pizzo

Both: more feedback online

Speech Times
Public Forum Time
Team A 1st Speaker Constructive
Team A 2nd Speaker Constructive
Team B 1st Speaker Constructive
Team B 2nd Speaker Constructive
Team A 1st Speaker Rebuttal
Team A 2nd Speaker Rebuttal
Team B 1st Speaker Rebuttal
Team B 2nd Speaker Rebuttal
Crossfire
Team A 1st Speaker Crossfire
Team A 2nd Speaker Crossfire
Team B 1st Speaker Crossfire
Team B 2nd Speaker Crossfire



Room: 609  
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 9  
Round: 1

1

Garcia, George  
BL The Cambridge School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.  
In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."  
Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).  
These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.  
If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.  
You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.  
For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BM 113 - Magtoto & Magtoto	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Brianna Magtoto	30
2	Cherise Magtoto	30

NEG		
Spkr	BD 101 - Hamel & Chang	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Gerry Chang	30
1	Jack Hamel	29

Winner: Affirmative debating on the HSR Low point win? NO  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

Affirmative the winner, Better overall  
view on several issues:  
- Jobs  
- Economy  
- Infrastructure  
Better presentation on the facts

**Point Scale:**  
25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

**Speech Times:**  
Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minutes  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minutes  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.  
Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.  
Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. **Public Forum**  
Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change



## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

### AFF

Spkr	BM 112 - Roman & Noblejas	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Eliza Noblejas	30
1	Alexander Roman	30

### NEG

Spkr	BS 100 - Curtis & Flores	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Brenna Curtis	30
2	Ava Flores	30

Winner: Affirmative debating on the HSR Low point win? NO  
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Affirmative had better statistics and facts
- Both teams were very well spoken and in depth overall views.
- Both teams had very in depth arguments but the Affirmative presented better statistics

### Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

### Speech Times:

Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The



Room: 704  
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 14  
Round: 1

1

Lewis, Becky  
BL The Cambridge School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BM 111 - Na & Gonzalez Verdugo	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Dominic Gonzalez Verdugo	28
2	Grace Na	28

NEG		
Spkr	BF 102 - Mora & Palizzolo	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Paola Mora	30
2	Salvatore Palizzolo	30

Winner: BF 102 - Mora + Palizzolo debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?         
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG substantiated points well with data/source references

AFF arguments were less substantiated with data

### Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

### Speech Times:

Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minutes  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minutes  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team



Room: 704  
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM  
VPF 17  
Round: 1

1

Lewis, Becky  
BL The Cambridge School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. **Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round.** The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

### AFF

Spkr	BM 115 - Hernandez & Hardin	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Mickel Hardin	30
1	Daniel Hernandez	28

### NEG

Spkr	BG 104 - Graziano & Ballow	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Carter Ballow	28
2	Daisy Graziano	28

Winner: BM 115 Hernandez + Hardin debating on the AFF Low point win?                       
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

### Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF - 1 spoke quickly  
AFF 2 very organized in speaking sessions

NEG - 1 good acknowledgement of AFF points in negation

### Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

### Speech Times:

Public Forum Times:  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min  
Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes  
Crossfire (between second speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute  
Grand Crossfire (All speakers) 3 minutes  
Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes  
(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)  
Printed 2022-10-22 15:25:26 GMT Page 13 of 38  
+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.

Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. **Public Forum**

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change every two months.