BF Mission Vista High School

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly

move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF				
Spkr	BS 123 - Toth & Carlin	(25-30)		
13	Dylan Carlin	28		
22	Devin Toth	28		

NEG Spkr	BG 103 - Bergen & Olsen	POINTS (25 - 30)
200	Jacob Bergen	ZB
12	Bryce Olsen	28

debating on the Low point win? Winner: Side (Aff or Neg)

One of the closes evenly metch debates I have ever judged. Both sides were respectful, see 25-30 (30 being the t quality)

Sood volume, pace and experentate, Both quality)

Leems had high + low point throughout debate.

The edge was given to NEG on winning them is speech 4 min Crossfire (between fin Crossfire))

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking

Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" personewould understand. The topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate at the compared to a nationally-televised debate. importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

minute Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

understand. The topics change Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

m, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddebate.org

#### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

(760) 809-8737...

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

Spkr	BM 100 – Castruita & Oani	POINTS (25-30)
15	Valentina Castruita	28
70	Audrey Oani	29

NEG		
Spkr	BS 118 - Hitchman & Mattingley	POINTS (25-30)
24	Kaspar Hitchman	27
19	Maximus Mattingley	27

debating on the Low point win? School/Team

Comments & Red	ason for Degision:	end rock
AFF -	Stuk to their framework and won cross fines. but use of data reserve	Jes Jes
	I wh to frew travework and	Point Scale:
	had use of data reserve	25-30 (30 being the quality)
	Cooss fines. Close	
	Good voice, eye current needed to formulate strong rebutal guestions in cross time. Left a lot of time not	
NB4 -	Book Volley of the	Speech Times:
NBa	of the strong resultat guesting	Public Forum Times:
	to torante 1000 lot of the not	Team A: 1st Speak Speech 4 min
	a rective later to	Team B: 1st Speak
	1 /2007 air Cl	Speech 4 min

best in speaking

er: Constructive er: Constructive Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the de importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

taisis arawers tanic planas jon al uniderstand. The topics change Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

erson would understand. T Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddeb

used.

BS Carlsbad High School

# SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF				
Spkr	BL 106 – Babu & Austin	POINTS (25-30)		
	Noah Austin	26		
2	Johan Babu	24		

Spkr	BM 110 - Abraham & Hill	POINTS (25-30)
2	Josiah Abraham	27
i	Kellianne Hill	30

Winner: Bm 110 Abrahame Hillebating on the New Low point win?\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Abraham + An had a solid value Statement, Contention and were able to support all cross x questions and wrap up there argument with supporting evidence that was overall Convincing and Compelling.

Point Scale: 25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:** 

Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min

Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

widerstand. The topics change Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

the debateral arguera topic of erson would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus)

+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue a tempic of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue argue at the compared to a national ly-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

NPF 22 Round: 1

Catt, Brenda

BS Carlsbad High School

# SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to fell the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to fell the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con). These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round. You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BL 114 – Lewis & McCaleb	POINTS (25-30)
-1	Olivia Lewis	29
2	Mattox McCaleb	2.8

EG Spkr	BC 102 - White & Amiraslani Ca	POINTS (25-30)
2	Bella Amiraslani Cataldo	27
1	Josie White	28

ewist McCdeb debating on the Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Lewisd McCaleb had a solid argument and Contentions. They were able to support their Value Statement throughout all cross x questioning, their evidence was more convincing than their opponents evidence and argument.

Point Scale: 25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

**Speech Times:** 

**Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

utes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Fo-+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the heters are the perfect of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person ewould understand. The topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate a replical pational importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would utreferstand. The topics change Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

n.com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation; http://www.speechanddebate.org

Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM

NPF 23 Round: 1

Venkatesh, Venky

BS Carlsbad High School

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

\FF	the second of th	
Spkr	CA 103 – Wu & Fehmi	POINTS (25-30)
2	Ali Fehmi	28
1	Angela Wu	27

EG Spkr	BM 114 - Enriquez & Rodriguez	POINTS (25 - 30)
i	Riley Enriquez	27
2	Sebastian Rodriguez	29

Winner:	BM	114		debating on the	NEG	Low point win? No
William .			School/Team			Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision: The constructive speech did not have a framework or The idea proposed of becoming greener deve to HSR could have upon. Crossfire and rebuttals were ok. 25-30 (30 being the best in speaking

Speeches were well thought of and had consent to speeches value of quality of life. Excellent cross executive and rebuttal speeches. I liked the Prez. obtains & PB the Investment and lack of progress.

RFD Neg had a beller case overall. The negation's arguments Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes

Were backed up by good evidence also. NEG Wins.

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes

Team B: 2n

importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would wilderstand. The topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Good luck to both learns.

Speech Times: **Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes

minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

person would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes (No New Arguments in either Final Fo-Printed 2022-10-22 15:24:05 GMT Page 43 of 46

+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

cus)

Venkatesh, Venky

BS Carlsbad High School

# SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the country of the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

\FF	The state of the s	POINTS
Spkr	BN 103 - Tavathia & Bi	(25-30)
	Aaron Bi	
	Rajan Tavathia	

EG Spkr	BL 113 - Park & Lee	POINTS (25 - 30)
	Noah Lee	a company
172-7	Victoria Park	

Winner:

Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Point Scale

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue at topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" minute

persionewould understand. The Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate's at gue at spic of gational importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would understand. The topics change Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

m, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation; http://www.speec

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Cotto, Adrien

BF Mission Vista High School

#### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BS 114 - Kelley & Evans	POINTS (25-30)
1	Cole Evans	28
2	Ian Kelley	27

Spkr	CA 108 - Swamisai & Jariwala	POINTS (25-30)
2	Aadi Jariwala	28
1	Surag Swamisai	287

a\_debating on the \_UEG-Winner: NEC-Swarpi Sai/San was school/Team Low point win?

Comments & Reason for Decision: NEG won this one on the Clossfire as Aff were M	ore confused
In their orsners in eye contact.  Soft teams could improve in eye contact.  Flow of speech was bunty for everyone (except	WEG no 2 Who was OK
Good sports marship from both teams. 2	Public Forum Times: Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue actonic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" persionewould understand. The Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate a regular topic of pational importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would will be used and crossfire time is included.

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

Speech 4 min

minutes

utes

minute

NPF 20 Round: 1 **Proctor, Carmen** 

BS Carlsbad High School

## SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tall the to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly

move onto the second. If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

Comments & Reason for Decision:

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BL 111 - Chen & Edmonds	POINTS (25-30)
2	Kevin Chen	27
1	Evan Edmonds	27

EG Spkr	BF 106 – Buchanan & Olivarez	POINTS (25-30)
ı	Sicily Buchanan	27
2	Alyssa Olivarez	25

BL 111 - Chen & Edmonds debating on the Aff Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

RFD - overall this was a close dibate when it came to contentions and the type of arguments being presented. However, the Aff team presented arguments and evidence that had a larger impact to the population, while the neg team mude important points but they were around smaller numbers of people or limited inspact. Also, hanging the for definitions and weighing mechanisms was a missed opportunity to make an I would hike to caution the neg team (BF106). on their handling of cross. Constant interruptions and cutting off your opponents while they are answering questions you asked is not the right Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes approach to handling this specific part of the debate. Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Reb national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" persontervould understand. The topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included. Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate குழுந்த நடித்து importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking

**Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes

minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes (No New Arguments in either Final Fo-Printed 2022-10-22 15-24-05 GMT Page 4

cus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

Proctor, Carmen

BS Carlsbad High School

# SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate. In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your bollot, and

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

NFF	No to de la Management de la Colonia de la C	POINTS
Spkr	BL 115 - Sheu & Wu	(25-30)
1	Nathan Sheu	26
2	Elyssa Wu	9002

NEG	The second second second	POINTS
Spkr	CA 104 – Cociorva & Sun	(25-30)
1	Victor Cociorva	26
7	Haowen Sun	26

Low point win? \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ BL 115 - Sheu A Wu debating on the Side (Aff or Neg)

RFD - overall, BF115 stated contentions with clear evidence that was arectly applicable to their arguments. OF 104, by comperison, presented evidence that was generalized and without clear

evidence that was generalized and without clear

connection to HSR. The most impactful rebuttal was quality)

connection to HSR. The most impactful rebuttal was explained as higher from BLIIS where the cost of climate change was explained as higher from BLIIS where the cost of climate change was explained as higher from the cost of the buildout (which was a large point by CA104), speech times:

Then the cost of the buildout (which was a large point by CA104),

Sold fred my decision to give BLIIS the win.

Public Forum Times:

Team A: 1st Speaker: Construction

national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common"

topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates graves tonic planting half importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would received the topics change are the topics of the topic

minute Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of

person would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus + 3 minutes prep time for each team

service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation; http://www.speechanddebate.org

each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Scanned with CamScanner

\* BM Bonita Vista High School

#### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BL 110 – Foust & Kapusinski	POINTS (25-30)
2	Tessa Foust	30
1	Kate Kapusinski	26

NEG	Agreement with the second	and the second second second second
Spkr	BS 124 - Ede & Stoney	(25 - 30)
2	Noa Ede	路2
71	Bryce Stoney	26

Terra goust, kate Kaminski as Simative debatir debating on the \_ Low point win?\_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

on the Negation sider.

-> Tussa and kate were more on
the saving burnar lives than saying unimals
-> affirmative side positive with environment

- Think steed rail more tourism Chave more positive affects)

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking

**Speech Times:** 

**Public Forum Times:** 

Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

utes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

uniderstand. The topics change Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

minute Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

person would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes

(No New Arguments in either Fing Fo-Printed 2022-10-22 15:24:05 GMT Page 31 of 46 cus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate a require a tank of the debate and the debate and the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a national ly-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate are the compared to a national ly-televised debate. importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

m.com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddebate.org.

Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM

Round: 1

Noblejas, Catherine

BM Bonita Vista High School

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

FF		
Spkr	BS 121 – Kachaluba & Seth	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	August Kachaluba	28
2	Rohan Seth	28

NEG		
Spkr	BL 112 - Kataoka & Lau	POINTS (25-30)
U	Marisa Kataoka	30
2	Sophie Lau	30

Marisa Katuoka Sophie Lau debating on the\_ Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

very side had more information about their topic.

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking

- both sides had great dear Sucts.

to all speakers spaker very nell on the

Speech Times: **Public Forum Times:** 

Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

utes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate's argue a topic of gational importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would will be used and grossfire time is included.

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

persionewould understand. The Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes (No New Arguments in either Final Fo-

cus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddet

Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM

NPF 16 Round: 1

Du, Hannah

**CA Canyon Crest Academy** 

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

Spkr	BS 110 - Adams & Bohle	POINTS
		(25-30)
2	Shae Adams	28.5 20
1	Madeline Bohle	28.8 2

<b>EG</b> Spkr	BM 117 - Vargas & Roy	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Amelia Roy	28.5
1	Joshua Vargas	27.5

Winner: 135 110 - Adams & Bohle	debating on theAFF	Low point win?
School/Team	Side	(Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

The teams B debated about their different frameworks: cost-benefit Vs. equity. I am not convinced that equity should point scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Over-weigh the exhe other. And the WNII German evidence

Over-weigh the exhe other as a good evidence because that speech Times:

Public Forum Times:

Team As Inc.

to me is bad decision of certain people instends

-Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

150, Neg team did not talk about Aff beam's last contention

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" persons would understand. The

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included. Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

minute

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a national ly-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate and the compared to a national ly-televised debate. importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes (No New Arguments in either Final Fo-

cus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

n.com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechanddebate.org

CA Canyon Crest Academy

SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

\FF		
Calm	BM 106 – Lozano & Franco	POINTS
Spkr	BM 106 - LOZANO & FIANCO	(25-30)
2	Diego Franco	28,5 2
1	Robert Lozano	28.5 20

NEG		
Spkr	BS 119 - Sweeting & Mishra	(25 - 30)
1	Nikhil Mishra	28.5
2	Aiden Sweeting	28

Winner: BM 106 Lozano & Franco debating on the AFF

I autuary like Neg team's approach that compares

Cost-benifits. But their arguments & evidence [25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

did not convince me that benifits over weigh

cost. They also did not tack about Aff team's

nd Contention.

Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:** 

Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min

Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at an invalid plant of the debate and the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at an invalid plant of the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which argue argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which argue argue argue argue at a such argue at a such as 'Crossfire' in which argue ar importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would widerstand. The topics change
Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue a topic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

minute Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

person would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes (No New Arguments in either Final Fo-

+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

com, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation; http://www.speechanddebate.org

cus)

Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM NPF 8

Hernadez, Samuel

BM Bonita Vista High School

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to the students may ask you for your 'judging paradigm.' to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called

a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided. For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or

what side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		State of
Spkr	BS 122 - McFeaters & Collins	POINTS (25 - 30)
2	Caroline Collins	29
1	Rachel McFeaters	28

NEG	BR 100 - Parekh & Zheng	POINTS
Spkr	BR 100 - Parekii & Zifelig	(25-30)
2	Krish Parekh	28
1	Michael Zheng	27

debating on the AFF Winner: 85 122 Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive

Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3

minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

utes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debaters argue setaptic of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate Engue at spice of gational importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

personewould understand. The Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 minute

Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

minutes

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Fo-Printed 2022-10-22 15:24:05 GMT Page 21

+ 3 minutes prep time for each team

BM Bonita Vista High School

### SDIVSL LEAGUE 2A PARLI PUBLIC FORUM INSTRUCTIONS

Debaters will time themselves. Judges are not expected or permitted to engage in the debate.

In debate events, the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm'. What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm'. What they want to know is how you will judge the round. An example of a paradigm to the students may ask you for your 'Judging paradigm'. to tell the students is: "I'm a parent of a debater. Please make sure that you speak slowly and clearly, and don't use jargon you don't explain."

Students must debate the side they are assigned (Pro or Con).

These debates are Double-Flighted, meaning that you will judge 2 debates per round, one after the other. Mark the win for the 1st debate, then quickly move onto the second.

If there are any concerns related to possible rule violations, do not try to decide the issue yourself. Let the round continue, make a note on your ballot, and

speak to a tournament official after the round.

You will mark a winning side for each debate, along with points in the range of 25-30 for the speaking/presentation quality of the debaters. Do NOT tell them who won/lost or discuss the round. The better speaker is not necessarily the winner of the debate - the winner of the debate is the side that had the better arguments and better refuted their opponent's arguments. If the winner of the debate has lower speaker points than their opponent, that is called a "low point win" and you will indicate such in the space provided.

For ALL debates, your personal opinion/knowledge should NOT be a part of your decision. Remember, the students do not decide what to debate or what

side they are on. The winner is the team/debater who defended their side the best.

We are all influenced by implicit bias, or the stereotypes that unconsciously affect our decisions. When judging, our implicit biases negatively impact students who are traditionally marginalized and disenfranchised. Before writing comments or making a decision, please take a moment to reflect on any biases that may impact your decision-making process.

AFF		
Spkr	BX 101 - Ly & Pham	POINTS (25 - 30)
1	Kaden Ly	27
2	Jason Pham	28

Spkr	BS 120 - Charvel & Elias	(25 - 30)
1	Natalia Charvel	29
2	Naya Elias	29

Winner:

debating on the

Low point win? Side (Aff or Neg)

School/Team

NECT

Comments & Reason for Decision:

**Point Scale:** 

25-30 (30 being the best in speaking quality)

Speech Times:

**Public Forum Times:** Team A: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Team B: 1st Speaker: Constructive Speech 4 min Crossfire (between first speakers) 3 minutes Team A: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Team B: 2nd Speaker: Rebuttal 4 min-

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates argue at tenis of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates argue at tenis of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates argue at tenis of mationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates argue at tenis of the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates argue at tenis of the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates are tenis of the compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debates are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate at the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate at the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a national ly-televised debate are tenis of the compared to a nat importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" person would each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Debaters MUST debate on their assigned side. Public Forum

Public forum debate can be compared to a nationally-televised debate, such as 'Crossfire' in which the debate range of national importance, typically one involving foreign or domestic policy, in terms that a "common" topics change each month. Some evidence will be used, and crossfire time is included.

Uniderstand. The topics change Team A: 1st Speaker: Summary 3 Team A: 1st Speaker: minute Team B: 1st Speaker: Summary 3

erson would understand. The Team A: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2

minutes Team B: 2nd Speaker: Final Focus 2 minutes

(No New Arguments in either Final Focus) + 3 minutes prep time for each team

m, a service of the National Speech & Debate Assocation: http://www.speechandde