

Impromptu

Mak Kovar

Winner- Nice Panthers.

AFF- "Nice Panthers"

NEG - "Soaring Lemmings"

General RFD:

Voted for Proposition because of the argument that fossil fuels are running out that was not answered by the opposition. Thus, even if I were to accept that FF may be more efficient- it would eventually run out anyway so it would be good to use more renewables.

(other comments orally delivered)

Tim Lewis

1st proposition

good agd and confidence in narrative

you should try hard to use all of your time

remember to include "This House opposes..." at the end of your speech

1st opposition

remember to look at your audience

good points about looking at all of the aspects of the benefits of the fossil fuel industry and not just climate change

you should try hard to use all of your time

2nd proposition

you have good stage presence

your rebuttals were thoughtful and logical

2nd opposition

good confidence and nice comparisons between money amounts

your stage presence is strong

you use your hands effectively to communicate your points

langston: great questions and good job getting your idea communicated

scott: excellent responses and polite confidence

drake: good pressure on the oil spill question

3rd proposition

strong points and good job answering questions

scott: good questions and respectful approach

good point giving some judge instruction on what arguments were answered and what args were not answered

3rd opposition

succinct summary of key issues

a little more clash and use of time would be helpful

opposition reply

good job answering the key points of the proposition and explaining how you answered the other points that the prop said you did not engage

good impact work

proposition reply

good job starting with judge instructions

good job restating your key renewable energy point.

i vote for the opposition. i think the harms of transitioning away from fossil fuels outweigh the benefits.

Prepared

Townes Schultz

I vote pro

This debate mainly comes down to strategy and impacts. I personally believe that pain is more significant than pleasure. Absent a frame to evaluate impacts, this is how I thought about strategy points which were the determining factors in the debate. So, 7 deaths, while small, is significant enough for me to conclude tiktok is more harmful than the good of skateboard tricks.

Both sides need to project and inflect more - emphasis is a key part of debate and speaking which can really bring your points forward and help you win in the future.

Tristan Rios

1P — no questions were asked by opposition team, in addition i think you miss out on a opportunity to flesh out alot of your arguements by only speaking for 45 seconds, i also think it could be very useful to have data or evidence supporting your arguement

1O — i think that while your arguement was relatively short you had fantastic answers to questions although you could definitely flesh out your arguements

2P — while i think the gentle minions trend is a good example i think it fails as being the only arguement you have in this speech maybe if you found a few other examples and connected those to an arguement that tik tok promotes dangerous actions this would work alot better.

2O — this speech was great and its obvious that you understand the topic which is why i think it would be much more beneficial if instead of denying to answer any questions from the opponents teams

3P — i think the first part of the speech were you were just reading straight off an article on your phone and then realized the article agreed with the opposing team was not the best look but their was a definite large increase in quality

3O — similar to the second oppostiional speech the arguement yall had prewritten wasnt that great but all of your answers to questions and examples and arguements made during those answers were gfantastic good job

OR — great job summarizing all of your arugements as well as why you win at all your disagreements with the opponent, you did what you needed to

PR — good job with emphasis and pathos and the arguements that you do get aorund to answering you handle very well the bigger issue is just that there is alot of arguements you end up not answering

RFD — ultimately i vote for the opposition (silly orangutang), i think they are winning this arguement that all of the pro teams impacts are inevitable because they will inevitably be done

on just a different platform which means rather than fixing the problem banning tik tok just delays focusing on the true causes of the problem which is bad parenting

Anaiya Moran

Topic: "This house believes Tik Tok should be banned"

Restful Squid- Proposition

Funky Koalas- Opposition

Winning Team: Funky Koalas (opposition)

Mak Kovar

WS Prepared Round

Winner-

Speaker Points: Noisy Fish

AFF- Noisy Fish

NEG- Flying Bobcats

General RFD-

Voted Prop on the cyberbullying argument as it was thoroughly discussed but not sufficiently responded to as the response was that it was the fault of the poster for being bullied. The opp focused on the entertainment value of tik-tok. What this argument was missing was perhaps the benefit of community building or how this type of entertainment was beneficial. The privacy argument was also not addressed by the opposition. The proposition could have taken this argument further by discussing the implications (effect) of the privacy breach in the app with the Chinese government.

(other comments orally delivered)

Tim Lewis

1st proposition

good intro and confidence

1st opposition

great questions and thoughtfulness from the pro

good preparation and fluency in speech

2nd proposition

important detail about what is and is not acceptable on the internet

I think that this was a good speech because it helped better frame the issue and the stakes involves

2nd opposition

good thoughtfulness

good explanation of the filter as your answer to prop question

great energy responding to the tough questions

3rd proposition

good ethos moment and raising the stakes about how TikTok can be deadly

3rd opposition

good perseverance through this speech

you got key points out and answered the question well

opposition reply

well thought out

organized

proposition reply

tells the best story of the consequences of the motion in the round

i vote for the proposition (Meerkats)