

HSL State Debate Championship

NSDA Campus

April 8-10, 2021

2- Roosevelt High School

3- Iolani School

4- Parker School

5- Hilo High School

6- Punahou School

7- Kamehameha Schools

BEGINNING PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE

1 st	3R4	John Kim & Clara Ontal	'Iolani School
2 nd	2R2	Brian Park & Jaelynn Agraan	Roosevelt High School
3 rd	6R5	Miya Peterson & Emma Crago	Punahou School
4 th	3R3	Kaitlyn Chang & Sydney Stevenson	'Iolani School

ADVANCED PUBLIC FORUM DEBATE

★ 1 st	6F6	Noah Chung & Logan Yogi	Punahou School
★ 2 nd	3F4	Connor Arakaki & Kyle Ching	'Iolani School
★ 3 rd	6F11	Madeline Hodge & Aria Saines	Punahou School
4 th	6F12	Liam Hutchinson & Jonathan Kwon	Punahou School

NOVICE LINCOLN-DOUGLAS DEBATE

1 st	6N9	Reina Gammarino	Punahou School
2 nd	4N3	Genevieve Savage	Parker School
3 rd	6N8	Sonya Duval-Arnould	Punahou School
4 th	4N2	Ramsey Hauanio	Parker School

JOEY MIYAMOTO CHAMPIONSHIP LINCOLN-DOUGLAS DEBATE

★ 1 st	7C9	Daniel Arakawa	Kamehameha Schools
★ 2 nd	6C6	Sascha Pakravan	Punahou School
3 rd	6C5	Tilde Oyster	Punahou School
4 th	3C4	Amaris Hall	'Iolani School

JUNIOR VARSITY POLICY DEBATE

1 st	4J1	Rachel Pessah & Sheinah Bumgardner	Parker School
2 nd	4J2	Kaya Long & Isabella Russo	Parker School

MISAO KUBOTA VARSITY POLICY DEBATE

★ 1 st	4V2	Eloise Robertson & Rebecca Tang	Parker School
2 nd	4V1	Hana-Lei Ji & Jamie Saito	Parker School

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Beginning Public Forum Debate

Entry	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Round 5	Totals
R01 Parker Charlotte Sommerhoff Arthur Taylor	L Aff R03 L Duval-Arnould, L Yueh, Katie W Martin, Stewart 24 , 22 , 28 24 , 22 , 28	W Aff R05 W Dannemiller, Ja W Arakawa, Paul L Uemoto, Rachel 25 , 27 , 20 25 , 27 , 20	W Aff R04 W Bell, Christie W Saines, Michael L Subee, Aramis 27 , 26 , 25 27 , 26 , 25	L Aff R02 L Demura- W Lee, Elijah L Stevenson, Ton 26 , 18 , 24 26 , 18 , 24	L Neg R06 L Pentland, Nigel W Laliberte, Elizab L Gimarc-Savini, J 22 , 28 , 25 22 , 28 , 25	2-3 Bal Pts 7 H2H 367 OpW 2.6
R02 President Theodore R Jaelynn Agraan Brian Park	L Neg R05 L Kitajima, Wynet L Okamoto, Barba L Ching, Ricky 19 , 28 , 24 19 , 28 , 24	W Neg R06 W Dannemiller, Ja W Arakawa, Paul W Uemoto, Rachel 22 , 27 , 25 22 , 27 , 25	W Neg R03 W Bell, Christie W Saines, Michael L Subee, Aramis 29 , 27 , 26 29 , 27 , 26	W Neg R01 W Demura- L Lee, Elijah W Stevenson, Ton 27 , 19 , 25 27 , 19 , 25	L Neg R04 L Oyster, Jeff L Woods, Katie L Savini, Andrew 23 , 24 , 24 23 , 24 , 24	3-2 Seed 3 Bal Pts 7 H2H 369 OpW 2.4
R03 Iolani Sydney Stevenson Kaitlyn Chang	W Neg R01 L Duval-Arnould, W Yueh, Katie L Martin, Stewart 28 , 26 , 26 28 , 26 , 26	W Neg R04 W Akamu, Cade L Hubbard, Kirk W Robertson, Cher 25 , 22 , 30 25 , 22 , 30	L Aff R02 L Bell, Christie L Saines, Michael W Subee, Aramis 24 , 26 , 26 24 , 26 , 26	L Neg R06 L Gordon, Marc L Yasuda, Lisa L Shigekane, Kim 23 , 27 , 27 23 , 27 , 27	W Neg R05 L Pentland, Nigel W Laliberte, Elizab W Gimarc-Savini, J 24 , 29 , 28 24 , 29 , 28	3-2 Seed 2 Bal Pts 7 H2H 391 OpW 2.4
R04 Iolani Clara Ontal John Kim	W Aff R06 W Kitajima, Wynet W Okamoto, Barba W Ching, Ricky 18 , 25 , 25 18 , 25 , 25	L Aff R03 L Akamu, Cade W Hubbard, Kirk L Robertson, Cher 24 , 25 , 28 24 , 25 , 28	L Neg R01 L Bell, Christie L Saines, Michael W Subee, Aramis 25 , 25 , 26 25 , 25 , 26	W Aff R05 L Gordon, Marc W Yasuda, Lisa W Shigekane, Kim 24 , 28 , 29 24 , 28 , 29	W Aff R02 W Oyster, Jeff W Woods, Katie W Savini, Andrew 24 , 29 , 28 24 , 29 , 28	3-2 Seed 1 Bal Pts 10 H2H 383 OpW 2.4
R05 Punahou Emma Crago Miya Peterson	W Aff R02 W Kitajima, Wynet W Okamoto, Barba W Ching, Ricky 20 , 29 , 25 20 , 29 , 25	L Neg R01 L Dannemiller, Ja L Arakawa, Paul W Uemoto, Rachel 22 , 27 , 25 22 , 27 , 25	W Aff R06 W Weigle, Holly W Uyeda, Daniel W Martin, Stewart 27 , 21 , 28 27 , 21 , 28	L Neg R04 W Gordon, Marc L Yasuda, Lisa L Shigekane, Kim 25 , 27 , 28 25 , 27 , 28	L Aff R03 W Pentland, Nigel L Laliberte, Elizab L Gimarc-Savini, J 26 , 27 , 27 26 , 27 , 27	2-3 Seed 4 Bal Pts 9 H2H 384 OpW 2.6
R06 Punahou Max Even Adam Nguyen	L Neg R04 L Kitajima, Wynet L Okamoto, Barba L Ching, Ricky 17 , 24 , 23 17 , 24 , 23	L Aff R02 L Dannemiller, Ja L Arakawa, Paul L Uemoto, Rachel 19 , 27 , 28 19 , 27 , 28	L Neg R05 L Weigle, Holly L Uyeda, Daniel L Martin, Stewart 25 , 16 , 26 25 , 16 , 26	W Aff R03 W Gordon, Marc W Yasuda, Lisa W Shigekane, Kim 25 , 28 , 28 25 , 28 , 28	W Aff R01 W Pentland, Nigel L Laliberte, Elizab W Gimarc-Savini, J 26 , 27 , 26 26 , 27 , 26	2-3 Bal Pts 5 H2H 365 OpW 2.6

Beginning Public Forum Debate

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS
2R2			W		W	L	Brian Park & Jaelynn Agraan
		-	W	W	W	L	Roosevelt High School
			W	L	L	L	<i>2nd Place</i>
			N	3-3		3-4	SS = 4
3R3			L		L	L	Kaitlyn Chang & Sydney Stevenson
		0 - 0	L	L	L	L	'Iolani School
			L	W	L	W	<i>4th Place</i>
			A	2-2		6-5	SS = 2
3R4			L		W	W	John Kim & Clara Ontal
		0 - 0	L	W	L	W	'Iolani School
			W	W	W	W	<i>1st Place</i>
			N	6-5		2-2	SS = 5
6R5			W		L	W	Miya Peterson & Emma Crago
		0 - 0	W	L	W	W	Punahou School
			L	L	W	L	<i>3rd Place</i>
			A	3-4		3-3	SS = 3
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Advanced Public Forum Debate

Entry	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Round 5	Totals
F01 Parker Everett Gordon Violet Freeney	W Neg F05 W Pentland, Nigel W Muraoka, Coree W Akamu, Cade 26 , 28 , 27 26 , 28 , 27	L Neg F13 L Jung, Boochun L Tease, Joe L Huang, Danielle 29 , 24 , 24 29 , 24 , 24	L Neg F09 L Even, Dayna L Finau- L Lawler, Paul 27 , 26 , 28 27 , 26 , 28	L Aff F04 L Hong, Brandon L Gimarc-Savini, J W Subee, Aramis 27 , 27 , 26 27 , 27 , 26	L Aff F11 L Heyler, Debra W Yoshino, Debbie L Ching, Ricky 29 , 29 , 25 29 , 29 , 25	1-4 Bal Pts 5 H2H 402 OpW 3.4
F02 Parker Maddie Weigle Lucas Koranda	L Neg F06 L Pentland, Nigel L Muraoka, Coree L Akamu, Cade 26 , 28 , 25 26 , 28 , 25	L Aff F03 L Okamoto, Barba L Joo, Hye Ri L Savini, Andrew 26 , 29 , 24 26 , 29 , 24	W Aff F15 W Chang, Jo-Ann W Crago, Richard L Laliberte, Emma 29 , 27 , 26 29 , 27 , 26	W Neg F14 W Agraan, Teresa W Enos, Regina L Oyster, Jeff 26 , 27 , 28 26 , 27 , 28	W Neg F08 L Tom, Jayson W Grimes, Brent W Lawler, Paul 27 , 27 , 28 27 , 27 , 28	3-2 Bal Pts 6 H2H 403 OpW 2 Seed 8
F03 Iolani Madeline Heyler Emily Tom	W Aff F08 W Light, Arrion W Zidek, Jeff W Taylor, Tracey 28 , 29 , 28 28 , 29 , 28	W Neg F02 W Okamoto, Barba W Joo, Hye Ri W Savini, Andrew 29 , 30 , 27 29 , 30 , 27	L Neg F11 L Even, Dayna L Finau- W Lawler, Paul 27 , 27 , 29 27 , 27 , 29	W Neg F15 L Hong, Brandon W Gimarc-Savini, J W Rogers, Brando 27 , 28 , 26 27 , 28 , 26	W Neg F10 W Gordon, Marc L Yasuda, Lisa W Akamu, Cade 26 , 29 , 30 26 , 29 , 30	4-1 Bal Pts 11 H2H 420 OpW 1.6 Seed 4
F04 Iolani Connor Arakaki Kyle Ching	W Neg F12 W Light, Arrion W Zidek, Jeff W Taylor, Tracey 28 , 28 , 27 28 , 28 , 27	W Aff F14 W Okamoto, Barba W Joo, Hye Ri L Savini, Andrew 27 , 27 , 26 27 , 27 , 26	W Aff F13 W Laliberte, Elizab W Hubbard, Kirk W Matayoshi, Scot 29 , 27 , 30 29 , 27 , 30	W Neg F01 W Hong, Brandon W Gimarc-Savini, J L Subee, Aramis 27 , 28 , 26 27 , 28 , 26	W Neg F09 W Gordon, Marc W Yasuda, Lisa W Akamu, Cade 27 , 29 , 28 27 , 29 , 28	5-0 Bal Pts 13 H2H 414 OpW 2.4 Seed 1
F05 Punahou Annika Bell Gabriel Chaine	L Aff F01 L Pentland, Nigel L Muraoka, Coree L Akamu, Cade 24 , 28 , 22 24 , 28 , 22	W Neg F08 W Ching, Megan W Shigekane, Kim W Grant, John 27 , 29 , 26 27 , 29 , 26	L Aff F06 W Meyer, Lynne L Choy, Mona L Neiffer, Jason 26 , 22 , 23 26 , 22 , 23	L Neg F09 L Chang, Jo-Ann L Kim, Melissa L Woods, Katie 27 , 22 , 26 27 , 22 , 26	L Aff F13 L Heyler, Debra L Yoshino, Debbie W Rogers, Brando 28 , 24 , 23 28 , 24 , 23	1-4 Bal Pts 5 H2H 377 OpW 2.4
F06 Punahou Noah Chung Logan Yogi	W Aff F02 W Pentland, Nigel W Muraoka, Coree W Akamu, Cade 28 , 29 , 27 28 , 29 , 27	W Aff F10 W Ching, Megan L Shigekane, Kim W Grant, John 27 , 28 , 27 27 , 28 , 27	W Neg F05 L Meyer, Lynne W Choy, Mona W Neiffer, Jason 23 , 23 , 26 23 , 23 , 26	W Neg F13 L Chang, Jo-Ann W Kim, Melissa W Woods, Katie 29 , 30 , 28 29 , 30 , 28	W Neg F15 W Smith, Scott W Nakayama, Tad L Stevenson, Ton 24 , 27 , 25 24 , 27 , 25	5-0 Bal Pts 11 H2H 401 OpW 1.4 Seed 3
F08 Punahou Ye Won Ham Kiki Kamakura	L Neg F03 L Light, Arrion L Zidek, Jeff L Taylor, Tracey 27 , 26 , 24 27 , 26 , 24	L Aff F05 L Ching, Megan L Shigekane, Kim L Grant, John 25 , 28 , 24 25 , 28 , 24	L Neg F14 L Chang, Jo-Ann L Crago, Richard L Laliberte, Emma 28 , 24 , 26 28 , 24 , 26	L Aff F12 L Pogreba, Don L Muraoka, Coree W Andrews, Jeff 24 , 26 , 28 24 , 26 , 28	L Aff F02 W Tom, Jayson L Grimes, Brent L Lawler, Paul 27 , 27 , 26 27 , 27 , 26	0-5 Bal Pts 2 H2H 390 OpW 2.6
F09 Punahou Ryunosuke Nakase Jonathan Wu	W Aff F14 W Oshita, Robert W Hubbard, Kirk W Huang, Danielle 28 , 22 , 27 28 , 22 , 27	L Aff F11 W Heyler, William L Meyer, Lynne L Neiffer, Jason 28 , 18 , 24 28 , 18 , 24	W Aff F01 W Even, Dayna W Finau- W Lawler, Paul 28 , 28 , 26 28 , 28 , 26	W Aff F05 W Chang, Jo-Ann W Kim, Melissa W Woods, Katie 28 , 25 , 26 28 , 25 , 26	L Aff F04 L Gordon, Marc L Yasuda, Lisa L Akamu, Cade 23 , 28 , 20 23 , 28 , 20	3-2 Bal Pts 10 H2H 379 OpW 2.6 Seed 6
F10 Punahou Erika Akashi Grimes Evan Foster	L Aff F13 L Weigle, Holly W Heyler, Frederic L Nguyen, Tuan 23 , 27 , 25 23 , 27 , 25	L Neg F06 L Ching, Megan W Shigekane, Kim L Grant, John 26 , 28 , 26 26 , 28 , 26	L Aff F12 L Laliberte, Elizab L Hubbard, Kirk W Matayoshi, Scot 28 , 22 , 27 28 , 22 , 27	L Neg F11 L Pogreba, Don L Muraoka, Coree W Andrews, Jeff 24 , 25 , 29 24 , 25 , 29	L Aff F03 L Gordon, Marc W Yasuda, Lisa L Akamu, Cade 23 , 27 , 25 23 , 27 , 25	0-5 Bal Pts 5 H2H 385 OpW 4.2

F11 Punahou Maddy Hodge Aria Saines	W Aff F15 W Oshita, Robert W Hubbard, Kirk W Huang, Danielle 29 , 28 , 30 29 , 28 , 30	W Neg F09 L Heyler, William W Meyer, Lynne W Neiffer, Jason 29 , 26 , 28 29 , 26 , 28	W Aff F03 W Even, Dayna W Finau- L Lawler, Paul 28 , 28 , 27 28 , 28 , 27	W Aff F10 W Pogreba, Don W Muraoka, Coree L Andrews, Jeff 26 , 27 , 27 26 , 27 , 27	W Neg F01 W Heyler, Debra L Yoshino, Debbie W Ching, Ricky 30 , 24 , 26 30 , 24 , 26	5-0 Seed 2 Bal Pts 11 H2H 413 OpW 1.6
F12 Punahou Liam Hutchison Jonathan Kwon	L Aff F04 L Light, Arrion L Zidek, Jeff L Taylor, Tracey 24 , 25 , 24 24 , 25 , 24	W Aff F15 W Heyler, William W Meyer, Lynne L Neiffer, Jason 28 , 28 , 25 28 , 28 , 25	W Neg F10 W Laliberte, Elizab W Hubbard, Kirk L Matayoshi, Scot 29 , 22 , 26 29 , 22 , 26	W Neg F08 W Pogreba, Don W Muraoka, Coree L Andrews, Jeff 25 , 27 , 27 25 , 27 , 27	W Aff F14 W Tom, Jayson W Grimes, Brent W Lawler, Paul 28 , 26 , 27 28 , 26 , 27	4-1 Seed 5 Bal Pts 9 H2H 391 OpW 1.2
F13 Kamehameha Nainoa Visperas Pallas Beddow	W Neg F10 W Weigle, Holly L Heyler, Frederic W Nguyen, Tuan 25 , 30 , 27 25 , 30 , 27	W Aff F01 W Jung, Boochun W Tease, Joe W Huang, Danielle 30 , 28 , 22 30 , 28 , 22	L Neg F04 L Laliberte, Elizab L Hubbard, Kirk L Matayoshi, Scot 28 , 23 , 30 28 , 23 , 30	L Aff F06 W Chang, Jo-Ann L Kim, Melissa L Woods, Katie 29 , 29 , 26 29 , 29 , 26	W Neg F05 W Heyler, Debra W Yoshino, Debbie L Rogers, Brando 28 , 26 , 22 28 , 26 , 22	3-2 Seed 7 Bal Pts 8 H2H 403 OpW 2.4
F14 Kamehameha Pualii Ann Zidek Emi Shigekane	L Neg F09 L Oshita, Robert L Hubbard, Kirk L Huang, Danielle 26 , 22 , 27 26 , 22 , 27	L Neg F04 L Okamoto, Barba L Joo, Hye Ri W Savini, Andrew 26 , 25 , 27 26 , 25 , 27	W Aff F08 W Chang, Jo-Ann W Crago, Richard W Laliberte, Emma 29 , 28 , 28 29 , 28 , 28	L Aff F02 L Agraan, Teresa L Enos, Regina W Oyster, Jeff 25 , 25 , 26 25 , 25 , 26	L Neg F12 L Tom, Jayson L Grimes, Brent L Lawler, Paul 28 , 25 , 27 28 , 25 , 27	1-4 Bal Pts 5 H2H 394 OpW 3
F15 Kamehameha Keola Kaniho Joshua Ching	L Neg F11 L Oshita, Robert L Hubbard, Kirk L Huang, Danielle 28 , 26 , 30 28 , 26 , 30	L Neg F12 L Heyler, William L Meyer, Lynne W Neiffer, Jason 26 , 25 , 27 26 , 25 , 27	L Neg F02 L Chang, Jo-Ann L Crago, Richard W Laliberte, Emma 28 , 27 , 27 28 , 27 , 27	L Aff F03 W Hong, Brandon L Gimarc-Savini, J L Rogers, Brando 26 , 27 , 24 26 , 27 , 24	L Aff F06 L Smith, Scott L Nakayama, Tad W Stevenson, Ton 24 , 27 , 25 24 , 27 , 25	0-5 Bal Pts 4 H2H 397 OpW 4.2

Advanced Public Forum Debate

3 Qualify to Nationals

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS
4F2	L	L	L				Lucas Koranda & Maddie Weigle
	L	L	1 - 4		0 - 0	0 - 0	Parker School
	L	W					<i>Quarterfinalist</i>
	N		3-4				SS = 1
3F3	L	L	L				Madeline Heyler & Emily Tom
	L	L	1 - 4		0 - 0	0 - 0	'Iolani School
	L	W					<i>Quarterfinalist</i>
	N						SS = 1
3F4	W	W	W	W	L	L	Connor Arakaki & Kyle Ching
	W	W	4 - 1	W	L	2 - 3	'Iolani School
	W	L		W	W		<i>2nd Place *</i>
	A		2-2	N	6-12	6-6	SS = 4
6F6	W	L	W	W	W	W	Noah Chung & Logan Yogi
	W	L	3 - 2	W	W	3 - 2	Punahou School
	W	L		W	L		<i>1st Place *</i>
	N			N	6-11	3-4	SS = 5
6F9	L	W	L				Ryunosuke Nakase & Jonathan Wu
	L	W	2 - 3		0 - 0	0 - 0	Punahou School
	L	W					<i>Quarterfinalist</i>
	A		6-6				SS = 1
6F11	W	W	W	L	L	W	Maddy Hodge & Aria Saines
	W	W	5 - 0	L	W	4 - 1	Punahou School
	W	W		L	W		<i>3rd Place *</i>
	A		7-13	A	6-6	6-12	SS = 3
6F12	W	W	W	L	L	L	Liam Hutchinson & Jonathan Kwon
	W	L	4 - 1	L	L	1 - 4	Punahou School
	W	L		L	W		<i>4th Place</i>
	A		3-3	A	3-4	6-11	SS = 2
7F13	L	L	L				Nainoa Visperas & Pallas Beddow
	L	L	0 - 5		0 - 0	0 - 0	Kamehameha Schools
	L	L					<i>Quarterfinalist</i>
	N		6-11				SS = 1

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate

Entry	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Round 5	Totals
N01 Parker Kyle Groves	L Neg N06 L Enos, Regina L Case, Elisabeth L Laliberte, Emma 25 , 27 , 26	L Aff N04 L Krulewich, Mitc L Pakravan, Leila L Subee, Aramis 25 , 24 , 25	L Neg N03 L Heyler, William L Muraoka, Coree W Akamu, Cade 27 , 25 , 25	L Aff N08 L Hall, Mai L Ching, Ricky L Savini, Andrew 25 , 24 , 21	L Aff N09 L Agraan, Teresa L Peterson, Dawn L Kwee, Sandi 25 , 23 , 23	0-5 Bal Pts 1 H2H 378 OpW 3.4
N02 Parker Ramsey Hauanio	W Aff N11 W Tom, Jayson W Lee, John W Lum, Bennet 26 , 28 , 28	W Aff N03 W Krulewich, Mitc L Pakravan, Leila L Subee, Aramis 28 , 27 , 28	L Neg N12 L Ching, Megan L Tease, Joe W Shin, Daniel 27 , 22 , 26	W Neg N07 W Grimes, Brent W Crago, Richard W Lawler, Paul 26 , 28 , 28	L Neg N10 L Enos, Regina L Shigekane, Kim L Laliberte, Emma 25 , 28 , 26	3-2 Bal Pts 9 H2H 481 OpW 2.2 Seed 4
N03 Parker Genevieve Savage	W Neg N10 W Chang, Curtis L Foster, John W Finau- 29 , 27 , 28	L Neg N02 L Krulewich, Mitc W Pakravan, Leila L Subee, Aramis 24 , 28 , 28	W Aff N01 W Heyler, William L Muraoka, Coree L Akamu, Cade 28 , 26 , 27	W Aff N06 W Heyler, Debra W Even, Dayna L Chaine, Jean- 30 , 29 , 25	W Aff N08 L Enos, Regina W Shigekane, Kim W Laliberte, Emma 25 , 29 , 28	4-1 Bal Pts 9 H2H 411 OpW 2 Seed 2
N04 Parker Zoe Spikerman	L Aff N09 L Tom, Jayson L Lee, John W Lum, Bennet 26 , 27 , 23	W Neg N01 W Krulewich, Mitc W Pakravan, Leila W Subee, Aramis 26 , 27 , 28	L Aff N05 W Ching, Megan L Tease, Joe L Shin, Daniel 28 , 20 , 23	W Neg N11 W Hall, Mai W Ching, Ricky L Savini, Andrew 29 , 28 , 28	L Neg N07 L Yogi, Lesli L Uyeda, Daniel L Nakamoto, Cart 27 , 21 , 26	2-3 Bal Pts 7 H2H 387 OpW 2.2
N05 Hilo High Phoebe Wyatt	L Neg N08 W Chang, Curtis L Foster, John L Finau- 29 , 27 , 26	L Aff N12 L Weigle, Holly L Auberry, Greg W Stevenson, Ton 24 , 26 , 25	W Neg N04 L Ching, Megan W Tease, Joe W Shin, Daniel 27 , 25 , 24	W Aff N10 W Grimes, Brent W Crago, Richard W Lawler, Paul 27 , 27 , 26	W Aff N11 W Agraan, Teresa W Peterson, Dawn L Kwee, Sandi 27 , 28 , 24	3-2 Bal Pts 9 H2H 392 OpW 2
N06 Punahou Jaimie Lee	W Aff N01 W Enos, Regina W Case, Elisabeth W Laliberte, Emma 27 , 29 , 28	W Aff N08 L Lee, Elijah W Smith, Zoe W Nakamoto, Cart 19 , 27 , 22	L Neg N09 L Nakayama, Tad L Arakawa, Paul L Uemoto, Rachel 24 , 27 , 25	L Neg N03 L Heyler, Debra L Even, Dayna W Chaine, Jean- 27 , 27 , 24	W Aff N12 W Culligan, Christi L Yogi, Lesli W Uyeda, Daniel 28 , 27 , 20	3-2 Bal Pts 8 H2H 381 OpW 3
N07 Punahou Preston Yoshino	L Neg N12 L Enos, Regina W Case, Elisabeth L Laliberte, Emma 25 , 30 , 26	L Neg N11 L Laliberte, Elizab L Lee, Elijah L Smith, Zoe 27 , 20 , 25	W Aff N10 W Heyler, William L Muraoka, Coree W Akamu, Cade 29 , 26 , 25	L Aff N02 L Grimes, Brent L Crago, Richard L Lawler, Paul 26 , 26 , 26	W Aff N04 W Yogi, Lesli W Uyeda, Daniel W Nakamoto, Cart 29 , 24 , 26	2-3 Bal Pts 6 H2H 390 OpW 2
N08 Punahou Sonya Duval-Arnould	W Aff N05 L Chang, Curtis W Foster, John W Finau- 28 , 28 , 28	L Neg N06 W Lee, Elijah L Smith, Zoe L Nakamoto, Cart 20 , 26 , 24	W Aff N11 W Nakayama, Tad W Arakawa, Paul W Uemoto, Rachel 25 , 29 , 30	W Neg N01 W Hall, Mai W Ching, Ricky W Savini, Andrew 28 , 27 , 27	L Neg N03 W Enos, Regina L Shigekane, Kim L Laliberte, Emma 27 , 30 , 27	3-2 Bal Pts 10 H2H 484 OpW 2.2 Seed 3
N09 Punahou Reina Gammarino	W Neg N04 W Tom, Jayson W Lee, John L Lum, Bennet 27 , 28 , 19	W Neg N10 W Weigle, Holly W Auberry, Greg L Stevenson, Ton 26 , 26 , 26	W Aff N06 W Nakayama, Tad W Arakawa, Paul W Uemoto, Rachel 25 , 28 , 28	W Aff N12 W Heyler, Debra L Even, Dayna W Chaine, Jean- 28 , 27 , 24	W Neg N01 W Agraan, Teresa W Peterson, Dawn W Kwee, Sandi 27 , 27 , 26	5-0 Bal Pts 12 H2H 392 OpW 1.8 Seed 1
N10 Punahou Sean Jung	L Aff N03 L Chang, Curtis W Foster, John L Finau- 28 , 28 , 26	L Aff N09 L Weigle, Holly L Auberry, Greg W Stevenson, Ton 25 , 25 , 26	L Neg N07 L Heyler, William L Muraoka, Coree L Akamu, Cade 27 , 29 , 25	L Neg N05 L Grimes, Brent L Crago, Richard L Lawler, Paul 27 , 26 , 28	W Aff N02 W Enos, Regina W Shigekane, Kim W Laliberte, Emma 27 , 29 , 27	1-4 Bal Pts 6 H2H 483 OpW 3.4
N11 Punahou Christine Lim	L Neg N02 L Tom, Jayson L Lee, John L Lum, Bennet 25 , 25 , 26	W Aff N07 W Laliberte, Elizab W Lee, Elijah W Smith, Zoe 28 , 19 , 25	L Neg N08 L Nakayama, Tad L Arakawa, Paul L Uemoto, Rachel 26 , 28 , 28	L Aff N04 L Hall, Mai L Ching, Ricky W Savini, Andrew 27 , 27 , 28	L Neg N05 L Agraan, Teresa L Peterson, Dawn W Kwee, Sandi 25 , 27 , 27	1-4 Bal Pts 5 H2H 391 OpW 2.6

N12	W Aff	W Neg	W Aff	L Neg	L Neg	
Kamehameha	N07 W Enos, Regina L Case, Elisabeth W Laliberte, Emma 27 , 29 , 28	N05 W Weigle, Holly W Auberry, Greg L Stevenson, Ton 26 , 28 , 25	N02 W Ching, Megan W Tease, Joe L Shin, Daniel 27 , 24 , 23	N09 L Heyler, Debra W Even, Dayna L Chaine, Jean- 29 , 29 , 22	N06 L Culligan, Christi W Yogi, Lesli L Uyeda, Daniel 28 , 28 , 19	3-2 Bal 8 Pts 392 H2H OpW 3.2
Chanel Matsumoto						

Novice Lincoln-Douglas Debate

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS
4N2			L	L	L	L	Ramsey Hauanio
		-	L	L	L	L	Parker School
			L	W	L	W	4th Place
			N	6-9	N	6-8	SS = 2
4N3			L	W	W	L	Genevieve Savage
		0 - 0	L	W	L	L	Parker School
			W	W	L	L	2nd Place
			N	6-8	N	6-9	SS = 4
6N8			W	L	L	W	Sonya Duval-Arnould
		0 - 0	W	L	W	W	Punahou School
			L	L	W	L	3rd Place
			A	4-3	A	4-2	SS = 3
6N9			W	W	W	L	Reina Gammarino
		0 - 0	W	W	W	W	Punahou School
			W	L	W	W	1st Place
			A	4-2	A	4-3	SS = 5
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0
		0 - 0					
							SS = 0

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Championship Lincoln-Douglas Debate

Entry	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Round 5	Totals
C01 Parker Lui Kamoshita-Langan	W Aff C04 W Kamakura, Deni L Lai, Jasmine W Asamoto, Bode 26 , 20 , 28	L Neg C08 L Ching, Ricky W Robertson, Pete L Matayoshi, Scot 25 , 28 , 25	L Aff C06 L Tom, Jayson W Ching, Cindy L Savini, Andrew 26 , 30 , 24	L Neg C02 L Yogi, Lesli W Kwon, Alex L Nakamoto, Nob 25 , 25 , 26	W Aff C10 W Krulewich, Mitc W Chang, Jo-Ann W Bell, Jim 23 , 28 , 30	2-3 Ba1 Pts 8 H2H 389 OpW 2.4
C02 Hilo High Sarah Gauthier	L Neg C09 L Kamakura, Deni L Lai, Jasmine L Asamoto, Bode 27 , 25 , 26	L Aff C05 L Kitajima, Wynet L Chang, Curtis W Finau- 25 , 28 , 24	L Neg C03 L Hodge, Jon L Shigekane, Kim W Smith, Zoe 24 , 28 , 29	W Aff C01 W Yogi, Lesli L Kwon, Alex W Nakamoto, Nob 26 , 22 , 28	L Aff C07 L Chang, Jo-Ann L Bell, Jim W Xu, Yiyuan 27 , 26 , 25	1-4 Ba1 Pts 5 H2H 398 OpW 2.6
C03 Iolani Eileen Liu	W Aff C10 W Bumgardner, M W Tease, Joe W Laliberte, Elizab 25 , 27 , 28	L Neg C06 L Laliberte, Emma W Robertson, Pete L Matayoshi, Scot 27 , 30 , 27	W Aff C02 W Hodge, Jon W Shigekane, Kim L Smith, Zoe 27 , 29 , 28	L Neg C09 L Culligan, Christi L Wu, Nina L Hutchison, Al 24 , 26 , 27	L Aff C05 L Kwon, Alex L Even, Dayna L Martin, Stewart 24 , 28 , 28	2-3 Ba1 Pts 6 H2H 405 OpW 2.6
C04 Iolani Amaris Hall	L Neg C01 L Kamakura, Deni W Lai, Jasmine L Asamoto, Bode 25 , 25 , 28	W Aff C09 L Smith, Scott W Nakayama, Tad W Wong, Jonathan 28 , 28 , 28	W Aff C07 W Hodge, Jon W Shigekane, Kim W Smith, Zoe 27 , 29 , 28	W Neg C10 W Culligan, Christi W Wu, Nina W Hutchison, Al 29 , 28 , 27	W Neg C08 W Pogreba, Don W Kitajima, Wynet L Crago, Richard 27 , 27 , 25	4-1 Ba1 Pts 11 H2H 409 OpW 2.2 Seed 2
C05 Punahou Tilde Oyster	L Aff C06 L Uyeda, Daniel L smith, Audrey L Lee, Elijah 21 , 26 , 25	W Neg C02 W Kitajima, Wynet W Chang, Curtis L Finau- 25 , 29 , 22	L Aff C10 L Pogreba, Don L Duval-Arnould, W Stevenson, Ton 24 , 26 , 25	W Neg C07 L Bumgardner, M W Jung, Boochun W Pentland, Nigel 23 , 22 , 26	W Neg C03 W Kwon, Alex W Even, Dayna W Martin, Stewart 25 , 29 , 29	3-2 Ba1 Pts 8 H2H 377 OpW 2 Seed 4
C06 Punahou Sascha Pakravan	W Neg C05 W Uyeda, Daniel W smith, Audrey W Lee, Elijah 27 , 27 , 28	W Aff C03 W Laliberte, Emma L Robertson, Pete W Matayoshi, Scot 29 , 29 , 30	W Neg C01 W Tom, Jayson L Ching, Cindy W Savini, Andrew 29 , 27 , 28	W Aff C08 W Bumgardner, M W Jung, Boochun W Pentland, Nigel 27 , 30 , 29	L Neg C09 L Kitajima, Wynet L Crago, Richard L Nakamoto, Nob 25 , 27 , 30	4-1 Ba1 Pts 10 H2H 422 OpW 2.6 Seed 3
C07 Punahou Ella Suozzi-Auberry	L Aff C08 L Uyeda, Daniel W smith, Audrey L Lee, Elijah 20 , 26 , 22	W Neg C10 L Smith, Scott W Nakayama, Tad W Wong, Jonathan 25 , 24 , 29	L Neg C04 L Hodge, Jon L Shigekane, Kim L Smith, Zoe 25 , 29 , 23	L Aff C05 W Bumgardner, M L Jung, Boochun L Pentland, Nigel 23 , 22 , 24	W Neg C02 W Chang, Jo-Ann W Bell, Jim L Xu, Yiyuan 28 , 28 , 23	2-3 Ba1 Pts 6 H2H 371 OpW 2.2
C08 Punahou Erika Kwee	W Neg C07 W Uyeda, Daniel L smith, Audrey W Lee, Elijah 22 , 24 , 23	W Aff C01 W Ching, Ricky L Robertson, Pete W Matayoshi, Scot 25 , 27 , 29	L Aff C09 L Tom, Jayson W Ching, Cindy L Savini, Andrew 27 , 26 , 23	L Neg C06 L Bumgardner, M L Jung, Boochun L Pentland, Nigel 25 , 29 , 27	L Aff C04 L Pogreba, Don L Kitajima, Wynet W Crago, Richard 26 , 24 , 25	2-3 Ba1 Pts 6 H2H 382 OpW 3.2
C09 Kamehameha Daniel Arakawa	W Aff C02 W Kamakura, Deni W Lai, Jasmine W Asamoto, Bode 30 , 27 , 30	L Neg C04 W Smith, Scott L Nakayama, Tad L Wong, Jonathan 29 , 28 , 28	W Neg C08 W Tom, Jayson L Ching, Cindy W Savini, Andrew 28 , 25 , 28	W Aff C03 W Culligan, Christi W Wu, Nina W Hutchison, Al 30 , 29 , 28	W Aff C06 W Kitajima, Wynet W Crago, Richard W Nakamoto, Nob 27 , 29 , 29	4-1 Ba1 Pts 12 H2H 425 OpW 2.6 Seed 1
C10 Kamehameha Jonah Faumuina	L Neg C03 L Bumgardner, M L Tease, Joe L Laliberte, Elizab 23 , 23 , 26	L Aff C07 W Smith, Scott L Nakayama, Tad L Wong, Jonathan 25 , 25 , 27	W Neg C05 W Pogreba, Don L Duval-Arnould, W Stevenson, Ton 28 , 29 , 24	L Aff C04 L Culligan, Christi L Wu, Nina L Hutchison, Al 28 , 27 , 26	L Neg C01 L Krulewich, Mitc L Chang, Jo-Ann L Bell, Jim 26 , 27 , 30	1-4 Ba1 Pts 3 H2H 394 OpW 2.6

Championship Lincoln-Douglas Debate

2 Qualify to Nationals

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS		
3C4			L		L	L	Amaris Hall		
		-	L	L	0 - 5	L	W	2 - 3	'Iolani School
			L	L		L	W		4th Place
			N	6-6	N	6-5	SS = 2		
6C5			L		L	W	W	Tilde Oyster	
		0 - 0	L	L	0 - 5	W	L	3 - 2	Punahou School
			L	L		W	L		3rd Place
			N	7-9	A	3-4	SS = 3		
6C6			W		W	L	L	Sascha Pakravan	
		0 - 0	W	W	5 - 0	L	L	0 - 5	Punahou School
			W	W		L	L		2nd Place *
			A	3-4	A	7-9	SS = 4		
7C9			W		W	W	W	Daniel Arakawa	
		0 - 0	W	W	5 - 0	W	W	5 - 0	Kamehameha Schools
			W	W		W	W		1st Place *
			A	6-5	N	6-6	SS = 5		
		0 - 0			0 - 0		0 - 0		
							SS = 0		
		0 - 0			0 - 0		0 - 0		
							SS = 0		
		0 - 0			0 - 0		0 - 0		
							SS = 0		
		0 - 0			0 - 0		0 - 0		
							SS = 0		

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Junior-Varsity Policy Debate

Entry	Round 1	Round 2	Totals
J01 Parker Sheinah Bumgardner Rachel Pessah	L J02 L Tom, Jayson L Flores, Debi L Nguyen, Tuan 28 , 27 , 27 28 , 27 , 27	L J02 W Joo, Hye Ri L Shank, Juliana L Arakawa, Paul 28 , 29 , 27 28 , 29 , 27	0-2 Bal 1 Pts 166 H2H OpW 2 Seed 2
J02 Parker Isabella Russo Kaya Long	W J01 W Tom, Jayson W Flores, Debi W Nguyen, Tuan 26 , 27 , 25 26 , 27 , 25	W J01 L Joo, Hye Ri W Shank, Juliana W Arakawa, Paul 26 , 26 , 27 26 , 26 , 27	2-0 Bal 5 Pts 157 H2H OpW Seed 1

Junior Varsity Policy Debate

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS		
4J1					L	W	Rachel Pessah & Sheinah Bumgardner		
		-		0 - 0	W	W		4 - 1	Parker School
					W	W			1st Place
				N	4-2	SS = 5			
4J2					W	L	Kaya Long & Isabella Russo		
		0 - 0		0 - 0	L	L		1 - 4	Parker School
					L	L			2nd Place
				A	4-1	SS = 4			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			
						SS = 0			

2021 HSL State Debate Championships

Varsity Policy Debate

Entry	Round 1		Round 2		Totals
V01	L	Aff	W	Neg	
Parker	V02		V02		1-1 Bal 4
	W Yoshino, Debbie		W Okamoto, Barbara		Pts 166
	L Tease, Joe		W Hall, Mai		H2H
	L Martin, Stewart		W Flores, Debi		OpW 1
Hana-Lei Ji	28 , 28 , 25		28 , 29 , 28		
Jamie Saito	28 , 28 , 25		28 , 29 , 28		
V02	W	Neg	L	Aff	
Parker	V01		V01		1-1 Bal 2
	L Yoshino, Debbie		L Okamoto, Barbara		Pts 163
	W Tease, Joe		L Hall, Mai		H2H
	W Martin, Stewart		L Flores, Debi		OpW 1
Rebecca Tang	25 , 29 , 27		27 , 28 , 27		
Eloise Robertson	25 , 29 , 27		27 , 28 , 27		

Varsity Policy Debate

1 Qualify to Nationals

CODE	QTR FINAL		SEMI FINAL		FINAL		RESULTS
4V1					L	L	Hana-Lei Ji & Jamie Saito
		-		0 - 0	L W	2 - 3	Parker School
					L W		2nd Place
					N	4-2	SS = 4
4V2		0 - 0		0 - 0	W	W	Eloise Robertson & Rebecca Tang
					W L	3 - 2	Parker School
					W L		1st Place *
					A	4-1	SS = 5
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0
		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0	
							SS = 0

Official Protest Form

Hawai'i Speech League

PROTEST LODGED BY (Complainant)

Name: Randal Horobik, Kamehameha, on behalf
of C09 Daniel Arakawa (as Aff)

Date: April 10, 2021

Team: Kamehameha Schools

PROTEST FILED AGAINST (Responding Party)

Name:
(if known) C06 -- Sascha Pakravan (on Neg)

Code: C06

School:
(if known) Punahou School

REASON FOR PROTEST (Write in detail reason for filing this protest)

Rationale: Evidence distortion/misrepresentation (two claims, evidence below is the evidence as it was provided in-round to my student upon request...I cannot say if the underlined formatting is. Accurate to what was said in the round or if clipping of some nature occurred as the evidence was presented in case (given the length of the underlined segments, I'm going to guess some clipping was done).). Having not been in the room to hear matters firsthand, I can't say with certainty if this is a case of power-tagging evidence vs. misrepresentation...Daniel however has national tournament experience and has competed in multiple national-circuit level events, so I am trusting in him when he seeks me out after the round to tell me something sketchy happened.

Claim A

From C09 – “My opponent claimed that his McClosky 19 evidence stated that Universal Child Care only served 12% of eligible children, which the evidence doesn't support whatsoever, saying instead that current federal programs serve 12-15% of children. This was repeated throughout the round. I did challenge that the evidence did not actually state that in round, but the judges did not request to look at the evidence at the end of the round to verify the claim for themselves.”

Randal – I am assuming this claim is specific to the first paragraph of the Mathur and McCloskey '19 evidence, which talks about the EITC [Earned Income Tax Credit] and CTC [Child Tax Credit] and notes that these programs (which are not “universal child care,” as evidenced by the contextual contrast the author draws of the final underlined segment of the card.

Mathur and McCloskey 19 Aparna Mathur is a resident scholar at the American Enterprise Institute and the co-director of the AEI-Brookings Field Leave Workgroup. Abby McCloskey is the founder of McCloskey Policy LLC, a research and consulting firm. "Universal Child Care Is the Wrong Approach." *National Review*. 22 February 2019. <https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/02/brights-of-columbus-his-genocide-persecuted-religious-minorities/>. (Premier)

Third, it is important to remember that we are not starting from scratch when it comes to helping families with child-care expenses. The proposal layers on top of the existing system of tax credits and block grants to states. In the existing system, families with children can potentially receive support for childcare from the earned-income tax credit (EITC), the child tax credit (CTC), and the child-and-dependent-care tax credit. Of these, the EITC is the best targeted at the lowest-income households, while the CTC is only partly refundable (meaning it goes to people without federal income-tax liability) and the dependent-care credit is not refundable at all, making both less accessible for those most in need. In addition, states can help eligible children with child-care subsidies through the Child Care Development Block Grant (CCDBG). However, only about 12 to 15 percent of federally eligible children are in fact served. Rather than a universal child-care program, a useful starting point could be expansion of this existing system.

Fourth, the proposal does not sufficiently address child-care quality. No evidence suggests that the government can sufficiently govern quality child care under a national system. A similar Canadian experiment showed that universal child care in Quebec resulted in children being "worse off in a variety of behavioral and health dimensions, ranging from aggression to motor-social skills to illness" than children without access to universal care.

Heavily regulating child care limits results in some high-quality providers, but it risks driving other providers out of the market because the added costs make the business unprofitable. States have already learned this lesson through declines in home-based providers as part of the CCDBG subsidy program (increased requirements were added to the program in 2014).

And finally, this carries a \$700 billion price tag over ten years, on top of numerous other Democratic priorities including free college, guaranteed jobs, and the Green New Deal. One is left wondering where the prioritization of spending will end up.

We believe that there's no shortcut of ways to target child-care assistance to those who need it most without the unintended consequences of a national system. Reasonable investments could make the dependent-care and child tax credits fully refundable for low- and middle-income families. The dependent-care credit could be indexed to inflation in child-care costs, and it could be provided monthly or quarterly to allow working parents the ability to secure child care. The EITC could be expanded to help families better meet child-care costs as well.

One benefit of refundable tax credits for low-income families is that they remain market-based. Parents would be able to choose which providers or centers they would like to put their kids in, increasing the demand for high-quality care, while at the same time giving families a stake in the costs. An added advantage could be reduced need for government subsidies through the CCDBG, if families were helped directly through tax credits.

Claim B

From C09 – “The second claim Sasha made from Leonard 19 was that Leonard says that the federal government can only afford to pay Universal Childcare workers \$10.72 an hour, but the evidence not only doesn't say that, but says that in the status quo childcare workers are paid \$10.72 with no links to UCC at all. This claim was also made multiple times within the round and, like McCloskey, the judges did not view the evidence when I brought up the misrepresentation.”

Randal – The Leonard 2019 card does, at the very end, observe that the average pay of a child care worker in the US is currently \$10.72/hour, but there is no context in the card to indicate that this forms some sort of a ceiling of the government's ability to pay and if presented in that way in-round would, in my opinion, appear to be a misrepresentation of evidence.

There is already a shortfall in child care workers and poor conditions means that a ramp up is difficult.

Leonard 19 Kimberly Leonard, Senior Healthcare Writer at the Washington Examiner. “Warren’s universal child care plan faces a worker shortage problem.” Washington Examiner. 23 February 2019. <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/policy/healthcare/fall-sabote-warrens-universal-childcare-plan-faces-a-worker-shortage-problem>. [Premier]

Warren’s proposal, known as the Universal Child Care and Early Learning Act, would significantly expand child care programs when there aren’t enough skilled child care workers to adequately support the 6.8 million infants and toddlers in daycare now. Moody’s Analytics estimated that her plan would allow 12 million more children to have access to daycare. Meeting that demand would require recruiting more workers and re-training those already in the field, largely because Warren’s plan raises standards to meet daycare standards.

Even if, as opponents contend, federal spending and certification could overcome these obstacles, the plan would still face major logistical hurdles. For example, the government would have to figure out how to accommodate families with other needs, such as providing daycare overnight because they don’t work 9-to-5 jobs, or needing care close to remote areas where they work or live.

Both rural areas and cities face a daycare worker shortage. Washington, D.C., for instance, has only about one child care slot for every three infants and toddlers who live in the city. As a result, parents often rush to get on daycare waiting lists when they first learn they are pregnant.

The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that as many as 1.2 million people work in regulated or licensed child care, a number that includes teaching assistants and preschool teachers. The exact number of how many more child care workers would be needed to realize Warren’s vision is hard to pin down, in part because there aren’t good data about unregulated daycares.

A previous analysis of a similar Senate bill, the Childcare for Working Families Act introduced by Sen. Patty Murray, D-Wash., offers some insight. The Center for American Progress estimated that the measure would create 700,000 child care jobs, but it isn’t clear whether that figure would be adequate in meeting child care demand.

“Any of these proposals would have to have a real ramp-up process to get the workforce in place to do it,” said Patricia Cole, senior director of federal policy for Zero to Three, a group that advocates for policies supporting parents, infants, and toddlers. “It certainly wouldn’t happen overnight.”

Several parts of a universal child care plan would have to fall into place at the same time, advocates say. The federal government would have to pay for recruiting new staff and training current employees to meet new child care standards. And they’d have to tempt them with higher pay: If people have to meet higher standards without higher wages, they are likely to seek other work.

“Right now, the state of play is: It’s bad,” said Schubert of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation. “It’s really bad in terms of what we pay this workforce. A lot of child care workers are working very under budget wages.”

Daycare workers are paid about \$10.72 per hour, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and the job often doesn’t come with health or retirement benefits, causing workers to rely on public programs. The

/s/ Randal Horobik
Complainant

Responding Party

ACTION TAKEN

The Hawaii NSDA District Committee served as the adjudicating body for this protest as CLD is a national event. District Committee member Carol Halbur recused herself to avoid a conflict of interest as a faculty member of Punahou School. The District Committee considered the claim that C06 distorted evidence [7.2.A-High School Event Rules Manual (HSERM)] and engaged in “clipping” [7.2.C-HSREM] during the round. The District Committee asserts that C06 did not distort any evidence because the debater did not add or delete any words that “significantly alter[ed] the conclusions of the author” (HSERM, p. 31). The Committee also maintains that C09 did not “claim to read the complete text of the...evidence when...the contestant skips or omits portions of the evidence.” Therefore, the District Committee agreed that C06 did not engage in clipping during the round.

The Committee agreed that even if C06 misinterpreted the evidence in paraphrasing its meaning, it remains the responsibility of C09 (“the opponent”) to bring this misinterpretation to the judges’ attention and provide what the opponent believes to be the *correct* or accurate interpretation, which appears to be what C09 did in the round. Under such circumstances, the District Committee relies on judges best discretion to determine (a) what the authors of the evidence meant in their claim, (b) which debater’s interpretation is more faithful to that claim, and (c) whether misinterpreted/misrepresented evidence, if any, plays a material role in the judges’ final decision. Accordingly, the District Committee took no further action on this protest and let the judges’ decision stand.

/s/ Karen Miyakado, NSDA District Chair
Adjudicator

April 10, 2021
Date