

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Singh, Sunpreet

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt) vs. Monticello PC (Peter Chocolate)

AFFIRMATIVE

Peter Chocolate

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Charlie Blatt

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Monticello
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Lynne Light

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I look~~ed~~ to util. Ultimately look~~ed~~ to the Molom cards, since the NC provides examples which don't necessarily mirror whatever the AC implements (aren't general-specific to problems in Canada).

Room: 130
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Gofman, Alli

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman) vs. Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy)

OK SAC. ♥ AT

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Sacred Heart AT

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Byram JA

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT


The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Sacred Heart
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 130
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Walker, Rachelle

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman) vs. Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy)

AFFIRMATIVE

Sacred Heart

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Sacred AT

NEGATIVE

Byram Hills

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Byram JA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Rachelle Walker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: 130
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

(B)

OCTA

Curtis, Henry

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy) vs. Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman)

OR SACRED HEART AT

AFFIRMATIVE

Sacred Heart AT

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Byram JA

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Byram Hills JA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Henry Curtis

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Coercion makes no sense in AC world, greater
risk of deontological violations in AC via Singer.

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD



OCTA

Parker, Ari

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS
November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman) vs. Harrison PG (Patty-Jane Geller)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from HARRISON
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: af

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

OCTA

Crichton, McLea

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman) vs. Harrison PG (Patty-Jane Geller)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale SF

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Harrison PG

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Scarsdale SF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF is clearly engaged in legitimate weighing & what is a legitimate restriction on the state's restriction of rights. In the Neg world, we are presented with a scenario where someone doesn't get treatment and loses right to life vs. limitless taking of property. AFF tells me that RT to life that does not exist would be not respect in Neg world is an illegitimate restriction of rights, Moore than taking property. \therefore AFFIRM

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

OCTA

Olivieri, John

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman) vs. Harrison PG (Patty-Jane Geller)

AFFIRMATIVE

Scarsdale SF

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Harrison PG

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Scarsdale
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: Both did terrible job on crystallization
leaving me searching for reasons to vote either way...
therefore I am forced to take the easiest path to determine winner
AFF STD = ONLY way to achieve (M) is through aggregating subjective
beliefs → by doing so get best sense of objective (M)
~~EE ought implies~~
~~that it is the right~~
~~then it is the obligation~~
AFF provides warrant for why
this is so, and concedes there to
extend objectivity even possible
this is best path. Neg's answer is just an assertion that aggregating
to get us there, but AFF arg is it's the best way we have
(combined w/ democracy eng'g) AFF STD gets round Best
once I accept AFF's STD, question becomes one of what
the people want. Given conflicting polls here AFF = Gallup
neg abandon Resmiser, and go w/ larger
larger poll & model resolution as closer
and provides extra info that starts the poll that is
extra resolution, and by negs own argument polls can be
sweaked, neg argues polls bad way, but to offer a better
only more polls.
AFF gives clearest sense of popular demand
consistent w/ phrasing of resolution / and w/ most
respected sources. Accept AFF STD & Gallup
poll gives me enough to affirm.

Room: 131
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

OCTA

Pritt, Jacob

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Stuyvesant SH (Samantha Hom)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 131
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

A

OCTA

Zavislan, Matt

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Stuyvesant SH (Samantha Hom)

AFFIRMATIVE



NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE



NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Bxscience SS
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

FLY A

Cumming, Jay

November 9-10, 2012

NEGATIVE

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Signed: Jay Cinning

C

4. can have on NTR

R.

72

textual:iv
textuality
first, & matter
anything else

① why his
is inconsistent

ccia flip most
fair

[illegible]

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

A

OCTA

Singh, Sunpreet

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale LM (Larry "Thug" Milstein) vs. Hunter College DL (Danny Li)

AFFIRMATIVE

Larry "Thug" Milstein

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Danny Li

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Scarsdale
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Sunpreet Singh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Theory or turns on neg suffice.

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

A

OCTA

Cancro, Peter

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Hunter College DL (Danny Li) vs. Scarsdale LM (Larry "Thug" Milstein)

AFFIRMATIVE

Scarsdale LM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Hunter DL

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Scarsdale LM
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Peter P Cancro

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Email the debaters' and their schools' names to:

PeterPCancro@gmail.com

and I will reply with my flows, comments,
and RFD saved to an excell workbook

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

A

OCTA

Tablan, Alex

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale LM (Larry "Thug" Milstein) vs. Hunter College DL (Danny Li)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

"Thug"

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Danny Li

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Scarsdale LM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg's cover on theory is only defense, ~~but~~ but I don't really see Neg actually co-opting any Aff ground.

So, I look at the case level, and see the "turns," which basically state that ~~regardless of~~ there are arbitrary differences/range that must be taken care of w/ unvoiced with case.

Room: 130
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

Dunworth, Jonat

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy) vs. Sacred Heart AT (Adam Tomasi)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Regis RM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Sacred Heart AT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

Regis RM
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: AFF

• The standard seemed a bit vague to me.
• I was a little confused w/ what your definition is of ACA + Publication.

• Really Adam, it's not difficult w/ respect movements (Nepal, Kosovo, Rwanda).
• I don't understand the branch in your argument insofar as it seems everyone ~~has~~ is run by executive branch w/ legislative oversight.

RED: I affirm off of the benefits in the AC, if the fact that they could theoretically prevent the disad.

Room: 130
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

Struver, Zack

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy) vs. Sacred Heart AT (Adam Tomasi)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Sacred Heart
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Where's the solvency in the AC?
~~[scribble]~~

The NC is just awful.

These cases are
some of the worst
I've ever heard.

Room: 130
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

Drucker, Omri

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Regis RM (Ryan McEvoy) vs. Sacred Heart AT (Adam Tomasi)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

NEG
AFF or NEG

from

Sacred heart AT
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Omri Drucker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 132
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

Gofman, Alli

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

NFA VB (Victor Bramble) vs. Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush)

AFFIRMATIVE

NFA VB

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Stuy SV

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Neg

from

Stuy

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

Alli Gofman

:21

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

DISPERATE IMPACT

Room: 132
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

~~Zavislan, Matt~~
Walker, Raelle

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS
November 9-10, 2012

NFA VB (Victor Bramble) vs. Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush)

AFFIRMATIVE

Victor Bramble

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Sweyn Venderbush

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from VB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Raelle Walker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

GRAL

Room: 132
Start: 3:45
Varsity LD

DOUB

Elisetty, Abhi

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

NFA VB (Victor Bramble) vs. Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 112

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo) vs. Bronx Science DK (Miranda Ditmore & Morry Kolman)

QUAR

Leszczynski, Paul

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: ~~1~~ : Christian Pavlakos 3 1st

Speaker: ~~2~~ : Alex Petrillo 1 2nd

Team: Bronx Science DK Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 27c : Miranda Ditmore 2

Speaker: 14-14 : Morry Kolman 4

The team that won this debate is REGIS PP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

THE REAL HAS BEEN GOING THROUGH INSANE HYPERINFLATION AND THE ECONOMY HAS BEEN CRIPPLED. WHERE DID YOU GET THOSE FACTS FROM?

Comments to Debaters:

GOOD JOB MAKING THEM STATE A COUNTERPLAN

These are the reasons for my decision:

I THINK THIS DEBATE NEEDS MORE CORRECT FACTS AND LESS INCORRECT FACTS WHICH ARE, IN FACT, NOT FACTS

ORAL ISRAEL

Judge Signature: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 112

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo) vs. Bronx Science DK (Miranda Ditmore & Morry Kolman)

QUAR

Devlin, Alan

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 29 : Christian Pavlakos 3

Speaker: 29 : Alex Petrillo 1

Team: Bronx Science DK Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 28 : Miranda Ditmore 2

Speaker: 28 : Morry Kolman 4

The team that won this debate is Regis representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

good decision to target
"pursuit" of nuclear arm as the
danger, not necessarily the acquisition.
very good final focus.

Comments to Debaters:

Good basic focus questions,
but was waiting for you to
capitalize on them... "how does
current policy hurt us?"
Watch flippant responses...
"I don't know you tell me..."

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro was Able to dominate crosses
and Con was more frustrated and
unfocused. I personally believe Con
but Pro debated better.

Judge Signature: Alan Devlin

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min	✓
Speaker 2	4 min	✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min	✓
Speaker 3	4 min	✓
Speaker 4	4 min	✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min	✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min	
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min	
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min	
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min	
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min	

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 112

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science DK (Miranda Ditmore & Morry Kolman) vs. Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo)

QUAR

Carter, Michelle

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Christian Pavlakos 2

Speaker: _____: Alex Petrillo 1

Team: Bronx Science DK Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Miranda Ditmore 1

Speaker: _____: Morry Kolman 2

The team that won this debate is Regis PP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Judge Signature: Michelle Carter

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 114

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Regis DP (Colin Donnelly & Brendan Powell) vs. Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn)

QUAR

Dougherty, Dotti

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 29: Colin Donnelly #1

Speaker: 29: Brendan Powell #3

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 29: Jack Cahn #2

Speaker: 29 1/2: David Cahn #4

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Loss of U.S. hegemony/debt is a solid Pro argument
Benefits from US/Israel relationships was solid Con point but
taken w/ rise of Anti-US feeling was solid.

The cost of war & Anti-Am feeling was well supported

Nice Round - Benefits weighed Pro

Judge Signature: D. J. Dougherty

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 114

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Regis DP (Colin Donnelly & Brendan Powell) vs. Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn)

QUAR

Struver, Zack

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Colin Donnelly

Speaker: 3: Brendan Powell

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 2: Jack Cahn

Speaker: 4: David Cahn

The team that won this debate is

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON

(Please Circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Colin - stop doing that annoying thing with your pen where you hit your hand.
The debt argument you make is ~~incoherent~~ incoherent.
You don't spend much time on Syria.
You can give me a better input for Israel.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro wins that terrorist cross have more foot soldiers + a nuclear
Israel stabilizing region

Comments to Debaters:

Look at the judges, C & D, not off into a corner in the room.
Two terrorist attacks does o/n Israel's strategic implication.
Nations with nukes have gone to war, it's just never escalated.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
4 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 114

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Regis DP (Colin Donnelly & Brendan Powell) vs. Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn)

QUAR

Hartigan, Reilly

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: ____: Colin Donnelly 1

Speaker: ____: Brendan Powell 3

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: ____: Jack Cahn 2

Speaker: ____: David Cahn 4

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

o Spending way too much time w/ spending defensive responses.
not good responses.
I say defense spend good / good for
sp / Hg →
don't extend
summary

o you need to be referencing ev. in rebuttal.
o Good job knowing what you do
Says & using it to you add
o Why don't you follow up
debat scenario in Summary?
o Why do you not extend impact
OR at debat? :?
o

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro is doing enough work on drones (however needs to extend down in FF) & winning the Iran scenario/walk.
Debat also flows Pro... however they don't extend
Impact do this in FF... a little problematic.
Pro is winning Israel / Anti US sentiments.

Judge Signature: _____

Both teams need to be better weighing.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 111

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant UE (Jakob Urda & Jonathan Evans) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

QUAR

Cata, Nicole

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

Team: Stuyvesant UE Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Jakob Urda 4

Speaker: _____: Jonathan Evans 2

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Fantastic round!

I voted on the likelihood impacts because the 11% chance of hegemony outweighs everything else" is somewhat few.

Judge Signature: Nicole Cata

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 111

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich) vs. Stuyvesant UE (Jakob Urda & Jonathan Evans)

QUAR

Treinish, Matt

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

Team: Stuyvesant UE Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Jakob Urda 3

Speaker: _____: Jonathan Evans 2

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Given orally

Judge Signature: Matthew Treinish

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 111

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich) vs. Stuyvesant UE (Jakob Urda & Jonathan Evans)

QUAR

Borek, John

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Alon Handler 1

Speaker: _____: Adam Freilich 3

Team: Stuyvesant UE Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Jakob Urda 4

Speaker: _____: Jonathan Evans 2

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Adam / Talking over on cross not polite or convincing.

These are the reasons for my decision:

- Drone strike effect of increasing terrorism not effectively countered.
- ~~Con failed to~~ Pro prevailed on democracy as destabilizing argument vs. Con assertion that throwing money at Middle East stabilizes it.

Judge Signature: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	✓ 4 min
Speaker 2	✓ 4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	✓ 3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	✓ 4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	✓ 3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science DP (Gabriel Delsol & Jimmy Peraffan) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

QUAR

Creed, Elizabeth

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Tom Jaklitsch 4

Speaker: _____: Patrick Rice 2

Team: Bronx Science DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Gabriel Delsol 3

Speaker: _____: Jimmy Peraffan 1

The team that won this debate is Regis JR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

The pro team won on evidence and framework and more clearly stated its arguments during the round.

Judge Signature: _____

Elizabeth Creed

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 109

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science DP (Gabriel Delsol & Jimmy Peraffan) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

QUAR

Sperber, Brianne

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Tom Jaklitsch 4

Speaker: _____: Patrick Rice 2

Team: Bronx Science DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Gabriel Delsol 3

Speaker: _____: Jimmy Peraffan 1

The team that won this debate is Regis JR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Syria, Iran, military

- great to continually check for sources.
- concerned you didn't address terrorists. had to speak to how they say terrorists basically immobilized. US gov.
- possibility of entering at minimum 3 wars b/c of Mid. East, draws 2 days ago.
- refer back to civilian unrest as much as possible - it's a breeding ground for future violence.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I vote Pro because Con refuses to supply warrants w/ substantiated proof against the following points: ① Iran is building nuclear weapons + we will be dragged into war w/ Syria and others b/c of our current alliances
② no actual proof on these NESTS.
③ No proof on afterburners really.

Judge Signature: _____

Comments to Debaters:

- 2:04 remaining 2:25 left
- we depend on China and are given a lot of \$\$\$, so it's possible they could theoretically turn on us.
 - refusal to give sources is shady + doesn't help you look credible.
 - need to have evidence of this afterburner. Can you give a source in speeches so judges can assume you have some credibility?

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 109

Start: 4:45

Public Forum Open Bronx Science DP (Gabriel Delsol & Jimmy Peraffan) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

QUAR

Theodore, Ben

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 4: Tom Jaklitsch

Speaker: 2: Patrick Rice

Team: Bronx Science DP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 3: Gabriel Delsol

Speaker: 1: Jimmy Peraffan

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science DP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: Regis

- Stop arguing how bad an Iranian nuke would be, your opponents agree. The dispute is whether sanctions will stop it.

- Stupid to say categorically that war is always bad for our national security.

Comments to Debaters: Bronx

You better source your Stuxnet story.
We can't just press a button and stop the program, nor is Iran incapable of debugging/replacing their computers.

These are the reasons for my decision:

As of pre-final focus, I have no idea what happened to the CON's 1st and 2nd contentions or the PRO's 1st and 3rd contentions. The only clear (and I say this generously) debate has been about Iran, so that is where I have to decide. CON has a magic button, and the Washington Times disputing their ability to build a missile. PRO has Saddam saying they are close and the civilians being enraged by sanctions. I don't know how to weigh these arguments. I end up voting CON because they actually responded to the angry citizens, and I can't adjudicate an evidence war.

Judge Signature: Ben Theodore

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	