

Room: 111
Start: ASAP
Varsity LD

SEMI

Parker, Ari

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Bronx

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: AP

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 111
Start: ASAP
Varsity LD

SEMI

Sperber, Brianne

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

AFFIRMATIVE

Bronx Science SS

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Lexington AH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Lex AH
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

APP: talking about only sexual contraceptives a bit tricky, anyway not? how is dehumanization weighed?

Brilliant counterplan. my concerns only → is there enough of your case here? what's your position? only the Constitution/Counterplan?

- ① obligation & apply to gov.
- ② Constitution is authority, dehumanization & debated!

RFD: Neg wins b/c I think dehumanization cannot properly be weighed and/or prevented by US gov. Further, APP's concerns about women don't convince me enough. Neg provides a world where gov is just and obeys an authority that exists.

Room: 111
Start: ASAP
Varsity LD

SEMI

Crichton, McLea

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

BRONX SS
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

LEX AH
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from LEX AH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: G M

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: It doesn't matter much, I guess. But if you ask for my preference, why not take advice? Why make me say that I like standard debate if I'm going to be judging a policy round?

^{AFF}
- I think ~~NEG~~ indicates the moral obligation arguments under NEG FRAMEWORK. MAKES IT DIFFICULT TO LOOK AT DEHUMANIZATION AS A M OBLIG. So I NEGATE.

Room: 109

Start: ASAP

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

FINAL

Carter, Michelle

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 2: Jack Cahn

Speaker: 4: David Cahn

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

The team that won this debate is Bronx HF
(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON
(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Judge Signature: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109
Start: ASAP

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

FINAL

Leszczynski, Pat

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker: _____: Jack Cahn Speaker: _____: David Cahn	Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker: _____: Alon Handler Speaker: _____: Adam Freilich
--	---

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

I REALLY like the Cyberterrorism
Argument
Argument why sanctions don't
work is ~~the~~ wrong

These are the reasons for my decision:

ORAC: Cyberterrorism, Syria/Pakistan aid \rightarrow money is liquid

Judge Signature: 

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 109
Start: ASAP

Public Forum Open Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich) vs. Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn)

FINAL

Cata, Nicole

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 2: Jack Cahn

Speaker: 4: David Cahn

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

more consistent extensions

Judge Signature: Nicole Cata

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109
Start: ASAP

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

FINAL

Treinish, Matt

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 2: Jack Cahn

Speaker: 4: David Cahn

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Judge Signature: Matthew Freilich

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109
Start: ASAP

Public Forum Open Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich) vs. Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn)

FINAL

Theodore, Ben

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker: 2: Jack Cahn
Speaker: 4: David Cahn

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker: 1: Alon Handler
Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con counters the PRO's Pakistan impact, limits weight of drones, outweighs on democratizing, and wins conclusively on the Iran argument because the 2 PRO turns really are speculative.

Judge Signature: Ben Theodore

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 132
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Parker, Ari

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS
November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Bronx
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

Room: 132
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Gofman, Alli

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski) vs. Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 132
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Zavislan, Matt

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman) vs. Bronx Science SS (Shai Szulanski)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Scarsdale SF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Matt Zavislan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 131
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Struver, Zack

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt) vs. Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman)

AFFIRMATIVE

Byram Hills

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

*Do you need
to say "squ"?
Is it that much
trick to say two
more syllables?
Scarsdale*

NEGATIVE

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Aff
AFF or NEG

from

Byram
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: *[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

*"Ground is key to ~~ground~~ fairness because
it allows for equitable ground" ...*

*Aff wins T and
burden.*

Room: 131
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Crichton, McLea

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt) vs. Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Byram JA

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Scarsdale CB

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

AFF
AFF or NEG

from

Byram JA

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirming definition r shows a legal obligation. Therefore
I affirm. CRAL

Room: 131
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Drucker, Omri

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt) vs. Byram Hills JA (Josh Altman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Byram Hills JA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Omri Drucker

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

yes
RFD: ~~AC~~ doesn't adequately answer
back the AC burden which the
AC wins they give ~~back~~ / Roberts

Room: 128
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Singh, Sunpreet

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman) vs. Collegiate MA (Matthew Agar-Johnson)

AFFIRMATIVE

Collegiate MA

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Lexington AH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lex
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Amrinder Singh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote off the second theory shell since
Coates provides for both obligation and permissibility.

Room: 128
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Elisetty, Abhi

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman) vs. Collegiate MA (Matthew Agar-Johnson)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

NEG

AFF or NEG

from

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 128
Start: After
Varsity LD

QUAR

Cumming, Jay

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Collegiate MA (Matthew Agar-Johnson) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Lex AH
AFF. or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Jay Cumming

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ORAL

Room: 111

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

SEMI

Leszczynski, Pat

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 4: Christian Pavlakos

Speaker: 2: Alex Petrillo

*THE AMOUNT OF WOOD A WOODCHUCK WOULD CHUCK IF A WOODCHUCK COULD CHUCK WOOD
The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

"Infinitely closer"?

These are the reasons for my decision:

IRAN BRAC

Judge Signature: _____

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 111

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

SEMI

Theodore, Ben

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 4: Christian Pavlakos

Speaker: 2: Alex Petrillo

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Phenomenal Round!

These are the reasons for my decision:

I vote CON based on Iran, where sanctions to appear to be working (at least somewhat). Tons of offense coming out of 1st contention, weighed against Pro.

Judge Signature: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 111

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Regis PP (Christian Pavlakos & Alex Petrillo) vs. Bronx Science HF (Alon Handler & Adam Freilich)

SEMI

Cata, Nicole

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HF Circle Side: Pro (Con)

Speaker: 1: Alon Handler

Speaker: 3: Adam Freilich

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: (Pro) Con

Speaker: 4: Christian Pavlakos

Speaker: 2: Alex Petrillo

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science HF

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO (CON)

(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

more warranted evidence re: drug

Judge Signature: Nicole Cata

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

SEMI

Sperber, Brianne

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 4: Tom Jaklitsch

Speaker: 2: Patrick Rice

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: Jack Cahn

Speaker: 3: David Cahn

41 sec.
2:07

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant CC representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters: 103

Comments to Debaters:

151 68
- how much \$\$ do we spend in trade each year? how is \$700 mil. really relevant? what's a comp?
- you do have to at least acknowledge the differences b/w greater + lesser middle east. Can't always claim no evidence. how much aid goes to Syrian rebels?

You are both way too Sharky. This is debate. Don't take it too seriously. Are you enjoying yourselves?

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con proves threat in Pakistan + US is able to mitigate. Also proves delayed nukes in Iran, weakens China/Russia argument and Israel/Jordan assistance

Judge Signature: Regis

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

SEMI

Carter, Michelle

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Tom Jaklitsch 4

Speaker: _____: Patrick Rice 2

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Jack Cahn 1

Speaker: _____: David Cahn 3

The team that won this debate is Regis Jr. representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

It was really a shame to see such talented debaters get so snarky and rude so quickly. A little civility never hurt anyone.

Judge Signature: _____

Michelle Carter

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 109

Start: After Awards

Public Forum Open Stuyvesant CC (Jack Cahn & David Cahn) vs. Regis JR (Tom Jaklitsch & Patrick Rice)

SEMI

Hartigan, Reilly

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis JR Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Tom Jaklitsch 4

Speaker: _____: Patrick Rice 2

Team: Stuyvesant CC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: _____: Jack Cahn 1

Speaker: _____: David Cahn 3

The team that won this debate is Stuyvesant CC representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

winners.com? No a just
sharp rebuttal

How do you get to semi's
w/ out blocking out Iran?

A bad interp of topic isn't a good
start to answer cont. 2. :-

Complete shift
in Summary on
Pakistan w/

These are the reasons for my decision:

Absolutely ϕ way

to Affirm out of the Summary.

Multiple conceded con scenarios (Kuwait/Israel/Jordan)
 $\frac{1}{2}$ con is clearly controlling Iran debates

Judge Signature: _____

Comments to Debaters:

Why in our
Argument...

please use your rebuttal...
stop making random
assertions !!

Rebuttal is
not substantive.

You just say things
are absurd...

not an argument...

SORRY !!

need to be
more strategic
in Summary

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Crichton, McLea

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale BU (Ben Ulene) vs. Fordham Prep DJ (David Joannides)

AFFIRMATIVE

Scarsdale BU

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Fordham DJ

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Scarsdale BU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think Neg mishandles Ripstein. This is saying why Gov legitimacy is
concerned with more than pragmatics + effectiveness. Doing good things ^{is} more
important to its legitimacy. So then the question comes down to implementation.
To the extent that the implementation provides equal opportunity to access
means I affirm. My biggest implementation concerns are with
FER admits in the negative world, and that is not settled.

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

OCTA

Hertzig, Chetan

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale BU (Ben Ulene) vs. Fordham Prep DJ (David Joannides)

Scarsdale BU (Ben Ulene) vs. Fordham Prep DJ (David Joannides)

The better debating was done by the

from

AFF/NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

Chetan K. Saty

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I don't really understand what you are saying. Why is this huge fw there if the arguments don't clearly link to it? What types of "independence" are you talking about? How do the philosophical arg- arguments you're making get you out of the scheme? I think you should do more to justify the federal government as the agent of action, rather than the private sector or states or any other actor. I'm not really sure I understand the frame of the arguments - why is it relevant whether the government can have moral obligations? Why do you meet the standard, which is maximizing, if you don't have a system to compare UHC to? Why is a gov necessarily legitimate just because it maximizes efficiency? Can't a state be efficient, but unjust (like giving everyone the same punishment for different offenses)? You need to place more offensive arguments against the NR that aren't implementation-based, since that's not relevant under the aff fw. I'm really confused as to where certain arguments go on the flow, or how they interact with what the aff said. There needs to be much more direct clash here. (AN) Interesting strategy - you do a good job of explaining why the system you set up allows for more freedom and less discrimination. You may want to engage the NR more directly, though. (NR) I'm not sure I'm seeing a clear enough explanation of why the fw justifications matter. (AN) Try to provide more of why the fw justifications matter. (NR) I don't think aff has to defend implementation because that gives the neg a 2:1 advantage (if that was assumed in the first speech, I missed it). I also agree w/ aff that there is no explanation as to why the care offered on the neg is comparatively better than that offered on the aff. The aff's extensions of (Ripstein?), (Mehzel), and (Daniel) show how/why universal care protects people's independence, so I affirm.

Room: 133
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

OCTA

Olivieri, John

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Scarsdale BU (Ben Ulene) vs. Fordham Prep DJ (David Joannides)

AFFIRMATIVE

Scarsdale BU

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Fordham Prep DJ

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Fordham Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD: If I accept AFF's argument that preserving independence is the proper STD for the round, then AFF's contention that implementation doesn't matter seems flawed. If it's access alone I am considering absent implementation, then access in the abstract never yields tangible benefits. Only if I consider implementation can there be any li's to AFF's STD, also it's a cheap shot and Neg's argument that you chose aff w/ all its burdens rings true. Once I consider implementation the IAR drops too much to Neg's case. On less DR, poorer care, "access to a waiting list" isn't access to healthcare. It would seem that ~~Neg~~ ^{AFF} is left w/ no tangible li's. ~~Neg~~ whereas Neg is left with a world that has at least some access and an efficient allocation of resources which is arguably the governing STD / as much as interpreters

Room: 131

Start: 4:00

Varsity LD

OCTA

Cumming, Jay

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

~~OR NEA VB (Victor Bumpert)~~
AFFIRMATIVE

✓

✗
NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Wes
AFF or NEG

from

Lex AH
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Jay Cumming

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oral

Room: 131
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Pritt, Jacob

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman) vs. Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush)

OR NFA VB

AFFIRMATIVE

Stuyvesant SV

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Lexington AH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Lex
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 131
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Zavislan, Matt

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Stuyvesant SV (Sweyn Venderbush) vs. Lexington AH (Adam Hoffman)

~~042 NFA~~ V B
AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Lex AH
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

Matt Zavislan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Cancro, Peter

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Monticello PC (Peter Chocolate) vs. Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Monticello PC
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Scarsdale CB
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Neg
AFF or NEG

from

Scarsdale CB
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

Peter P Cancro

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Email the debaters' and their schools' names to

Peter P Cancro@gmail.com

and I will email you my flows, comments,
and RFD saved to an excel workbook

Room: 134
Start: 4:00
Varsity LD

B

OCTA

Tablan, Alex

MALCOLM A. BUMP MEMORIAL TOURNAMENT

Hendrick Hudson HS

November 9-10, 2012

Monticello PC (Peter Chocolate) vs. Scarsdale CB (Charlie Blatt)

AFFIRMATIVE

Monticello PC

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale CB

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Scarsdale CB
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: AKH

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff, you make several ~~blippy~~ blippy, unwarranted arguments that end up not doing anything for you. Your ^{poor} strategy juxtaposed w/ Neg's warranted/carded arguments makes this an easy decision.

I vote off the multiple turns that are

- a) warranted
- b) engaged by Aff properly