

Novice

Pufo

Elims

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ KuLo

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Milpitas

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

Affir
AFF or NEG

from

MSJ

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative

speaker 1: very good speaking,
giving good evidence

speaker 2: good speaker
good arguments, giving good
examples.

I vote affirmative because Affirmative team gives better evidence
good arguments, and answer questions better.

Negative

speaker 1: some of arguments
given were well supported.

speaker 2: speaking well.
lack of evidence.
did not answer questions well.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL (EVHS)

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ (Kuo & Lo)

Milpitas (Shau & Surani)

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Current US foreign policy in the Middle East undermines our national security

The better debating was done by the Aff from MSJ

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff1: Drone attacks, anomosity.

Neg1: FTAs, allurances, terrorism lowering, 20% decline

Crosscheck: Pakistan aid, anti-American sentiment, cost/benefit.

Aff2: Egypt Mubarak gvt overthrown despite FTA. Israeli alliance alienates Muslim countries. Iraq after pullout

Neg2: Drone attacks killing terror leaders. Terrorist membership increase: quantity vs. quality.

Crossfire: Aid to Egypt didn't work

Aff1: Stability worsened with aid. Drone attacks 20% terrorists

Neg1: terror capability.

Crossfire: Iraq instability

Aff2: Only killed 20% of top terror leaders. Iraq invasion didn't work, FTA (aid not working).

Neg2: cost/benefit

Aff's case was more convincing to me because of evidence on Iraq, Egypt, etc.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

FEAR THE KVAAL!

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ Kulo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ KULO

MILPITAS SHSU

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

DON'T REAP
VERBATIM EVIDENCE
IN CROSS FIRE

NEG - "Princeton University"
doesn't define anything...

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ KULO ^{TOO MUCH} OF THE

AFF or NEG

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed:

[Signature] SIMPLY ^{FINAL FOCUS} REPEATS THE CASE

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF: I don't think anything angers Oranwa Bin Laden ^{not} any more
NEG - Tell us the qualifications of your sources. I have no idea why I should credit these quotations. 1st neg speaker is disingenuous about Pakistan aid...
Neg appears to argue (2nd speech) that the lives of Americans are not worth as much as the lives of Pakistanis.
2nd speech much better qualified.
But no good answers to aff's Pakistan argument.

~~AFF Summary~~ NEG summary is general & confusing, concedes some aff args.
AFF Summary is also spotty; neither begin to coalesce voting issues.

AFF is winning that USFP is causing much damage to middle Eastern countries; neg says "nobody's perfect" - that's not too compelling! Then show that the terrorist threat is increasing, and that aid & work. Then prove particulars. THIS prevails over neg generalities.

Room: ~~Lucas 906~~
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

Arts 128

SEMI

~~Park, Sarah~~
C Schletzbaum

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

AFFIRMATIVE

NO

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Olive

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the

NO


AFF or NEG

from

OP

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

 m. / put a)

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

45 mts Parlin why no platitudes
Don't detail Road map or case ... ^{De} Road map ^{on rebuttals + summary}
NO One is reading Dates on these cards
Totally Discredits half The arguments since
we have no idea if most are current
3rd speaker, spend 4 min on neg case, we already heard
yours once plus it spreads out 2 NC, makes
It harder on them The israeli ABMs
Shoot Down attacks to israel, not us @ DOH!!
ukes - sanctions & ABMs solve
Israel - us in israel suppresses terrorism even though
olive misanalyzes their own card which says we
moderate ISRAELI overreacting well
SQ is evidently working

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal) vs. Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Notre Dame BaSe

Olive Foundation Yu Ag

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation Yu Ag
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: E. J. Keller

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

neg controlled all the first two crossfires handily.
Aff made critical mistakes with 9/11 example.
Grand crossfire was closer, but neg seemed to possess the deeper understanding of the debate's flow & the clash of contentions.
The more successful refutation was done by neg.
They won the battle of the evidence/recounts.
The aff team appeared worn down by the long day.
It was a pleasure to watch you debate.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Norte Dame BaSe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Olive Foundation YuAg

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation YuAg
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Jamini Leff

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Harveen - Talked for at least 30 seconds past 4 minute time on 1st speech.

Kunal - clear speaker.

Snigdha - Did not respond to negs framework. (observations)

Albert - Very good rebuttal of AFF case & AFFs Framework. Impressive use of time, in 4 minute speech.

RFD: Affirmative loses framework part of debate by dropping observation 1 & 2 on Negs case.
Neg says that AFF has to prove Intent + Capability.
A possibility of getting nukes is not capability now/current.
Neg says if Iran shot missiles at Israel or U.S, we have a way to combat this. Neg. argued decrease in terrorist activity since Bin Laden killed.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon) vs. Leland CuHe (Kyle Cui & Ali-Asghar Heera)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Leland


NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Norte Dame

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the No from Norte Dame
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____



Kyle Cui

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good Engagement and argumentation on both sides. Good Round

Affirmative presented more strokes as had but did not directly equate the resulting negative attitudes to loss of American lives. The neg evidence of declining loss of American lives to Terror was compelling.

Affirmative claimed that US presence in mid-east was detrimental to US interests but under questioning, declined to agree to withdraw. This seemed inconsistent.

Aff identified harms of drones as a terror fighting tool, but did not propose any superior methods.

Neg identified strong trade practices, foreign aid agreements that appear to be working in many countries as well. The observations about poor usage of funds in Afghanistan did not seem to rise the level of actually undermining our overall policies. The Iranian nuclear discussion was all speculative and did not seem compelling.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon) vs. Leland CuHe (Kyle Cui & Ali-Asghar Heera)

AFFIRMATIVE

Leland CuHe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Norte Dame BaSe

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Norte Dame BaSe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: NDA

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF C1 - Neg, ^{A-}Aff had a weak link between ^{resentment} drone strikes kill terrorists & may cause ^{to security} anti Americanism and terrorism. B - Neg proved only small radical groups, like hamas are attacking us, while most countries want trade and alliances w/ America. C - No ev. that mishandled aid is better than not helping Afghanistan at all.

AFF C2 - ^{Neg} No ev. of Iran Nuclear Program ^{I have} no reason to believe if they do that U.S. withdrawal from the region ^{or stop solutions in} would stop them from continuing.

Neg C1 - Neg, alliances & trade throughout mid east help U.S. security

Neg C2 - Neg, Intel vital to U.S. security from allies in the region. If Iran does have nukes we need good intel on their program.

Neg C3 - trade & aid strengthens governmental relationships and raise living standard.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Leland CuHe (Kyle Cui & Ali-Asghar Heera) vs. Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon)

AFFIRMATIVE

Leland CuHe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Norte Dame BaSe

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the aff from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- intimidation was implicitly turned by aff as undermining security, proven by aff examples of Hezbollah & Benghazi embassy attacks.
 - Ornes was a wash, mixed effectiveness and unreliable numbers.
 - wanted stronger impacts to anti-americanism
 - wanted more analysis of how alliances support the US especially when
 - aff has ~~ev~~ ev that aid backfires
 - the threats are all non-state actors
- ★ "Terrorism" aka "asymmetric warfare"; small groups can damage giants

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle) vs. Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bonita Vista

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Milpitas

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Noi from Milpitas
AFF or REG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

by the Referee

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good Solid Debate by both teams. ~~the~~ ultimately I found that the Negative arguments were more compelling.
On the Affirmative Team's measure at lives, outway costs, the negative team demonstrated a steady decrease in lives lost to terror.
Affirmative largely described policies as ineffective & less focus on the concept of under-mining.
Affirmative did not effectively respond to forward engagement & free trade items. Aff did not present declining approval levels to increased American deaths. Aff observed other problem areas such as Iran, but did not show how current policies created or worsened that problem. Corruption in now democracies may be ineffective, but not sure such corruption in Egypt actually undermines the U.S.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle)

AFFIRMATIVE

Bonita Vista LH

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Milpitas ShSu

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Milpitas ShSu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Misbah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- alliances can solve and Free trade, which only neg gets access to, checks impacts
- aff was in good position to win with "status quo deteriorating" = args, but did not access enough solvencies for public opinion or Free trade; neg has slightly better cost/benefit analysis
- please don't call Iran a terrorist group ~~etc~~ or treat them like one

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bonita Vista LH

Milpitas ShSu

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Milpitas ShSu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Drone strikes - wash, so strikes reduces terror attacks but breeds terrorism so I voted neither way on this issue.

International relations - Neg, ^{neg} showed allies are maintained w/ aid even when it's misused and thus supports us security. trade rises standard of living and also gains support for us. policy.

Prevent ^{defense} conflict / attacks - Neg, I agree w/ Aff our policies ~~do~~ create resentment but neg showed economic activity creates support and that military presence keeps conflicts from occurring & supports regional security. Aff never responded to the troops being a deterrent which helps national security.

Room: Lucas 307 Connor 204
Flight # Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

QUAR

Mileo, Paul

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo) vs. St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE


MSJ KuLo

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

St. Francis SeKa

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from MSJ KuLo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I am for the Aff team because they proved that the embassy was a direct attack. Even though the neg. team had a facts w/ avoiding massive news the aff team proved that there were actual direct attacks on U.S. land -> embassys so this poses a threat.

Room: Lucas 807 O'Connor 204
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

QUAR

Stoddard-Phillip

SRINIVASAN, Meenakshi
(Prospect)

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darren Lo) vs. St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Missim S.J.

St. Francis.

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Mission S.J.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: V. Meenakshi.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Well debated. Darren was the best speaker of all, though all of them are good.
The AFF clearly established and supported their arguments while the NEG floundered after a point - esp. the Embassy point
(it is US territory even if located in a foreign country).

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo) vs. St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik)
#1 #2 #1 #2

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ KuLo

St. Francis SeKa

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ KuLo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both teams are well spoken, clearly presented their points of view and very professional.
I voted for AFFIRMATIVE because the team is very clear on the definition of foreign policy, national security and US property. They were able to refute the opponent's unclear/confused relating to the direct attack to US on US soil ~~regio~~ /property regardless being physically on the US land. They successfully influenced the Negative team to concert.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)
#1 #2 #2 #1

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE


Milpitas Kosh

Olive Foundation YuAg

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Milpitas Kosh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The affirmative team must try to avoid cutting off the opponent when he/she is answering the questions and ~~to~~ be calmed and not raising voices.
I voted for affirmative because they clearly provided facts and supporting documents that even terrorist has decreased but Americans life is still being harmed ~~out~~ on US soil but in other countries i.e. Ambassadors in Libya.

Room: ~~Lucas 306~~ O'Connor 204
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

QUAR

~~Merrill, Jeff~~

SRINIVASAN, Meenakshi

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL (Proposed)

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

AFFIRMATIVE

Milpitas

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Olive Foundation

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: V. Meenakshi

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Kunal is an excellent speaker and his diction is wonderful.
Alefia is very aggressive and gets her points across in a powerful way.
Karen needs to learn to get her points organized.
Albert played an excellent support to Kunal.
The NEG won the debate by their basic framework of saying for Pol. is never perfect (in history) & the current one is pretty decent.

Room: Lucas 306 O'Connor 204
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

QUAR

Mahajan, AJ

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Milpitas KoSh

Olive Foundation

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I am in favor of NEG because they provided strong points to prove their side. It was very creative to make the analogy between the cement and skyscraper because it provided a clear understanding to the relation between uranium and the having the possession. Their analogy helped to prove that the Aff. teams assumption was not strong enough.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ DoVe (Abhi Doddi & Anusha Venkatesan)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Milpitas Grace Shau & Misbah Surani
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

MSJ Abhi Doddi & Anusha Venkatesan
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the ADF from Milpitas Grace & Misbah
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Glenn Kelsey Bonita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

^{ADF} Must prove that FP does not undermine Nat'l Sec
Q if oil from ME, strengthen terrorist, by money
Framework
we are giving money to terrorist by buying their gas
and in turn oil money goes to terrorist
through charities
ABM - does not offer much protection
drone attack not successful
5800 civilians killed in drone attacks
Egypt: US helped put in place leader that now
supports radical
China: acquired ~~secret~~ tech through Israel

definition ME, Current,
Persian Gulf oil
ABM - missiles warhead
Iran nuclear weapons
We are providing freedom to ME countries
17% of oil in US comes from ME
Japan + Europe.
drone strikes AED attacks & suicide bombings
Nuclear War and global effects
Strengthen alliances will strength
Nat'l Security

[Great Clash. during Grand cross fire.] 2nd speaker Neg could be a stronger speaker
by consolidating thoughts and facts. Too many "ums" need to work on rebuttals and
support with evidence. Both speakers for the AFF were well spoken clear + concise. Constructed
a framework and supported with facts and evidence. So I find in favor of the
AFF side for their definitions, describing where the money goes and what it
is used for. It was also their ability to refute their opponents case, facts and
statistic that also won them this round. I find in favor of the AFF in
this round.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ DoVe (Abhi Doddi & Anusha Venkatesan)

AFFIRMATIVE

1. Grace
2. Misbah

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

1. Abhi
2. Anusha

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from MISBAH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Jacobson S.D

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFFIRMATIVE WERE MORE ORGANISED & EXCELLENT SPEAKING ABILITY. WERE PREPARED WITH EVIDENCES TO SUPPORT THEIR ARGUMENT.
- NEGATIVE ARGUMENT WAS CONCENTRATED MORE ON OIL EXPENSE TO ALLIED NATIONS AND KILLING HIGH VALUE TARGET. → COULD NOT PROVIDE ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO SHOW THAT ~~SPENDING~~ CELEBRAN DEATHS HAVE DECREASED IN RECENT DAYS AS MORE THOUGHT & PLANNING IS TAKEN BY PEOPLE NOW THAN BEFORE.
- 1A: Points were clearly explained about INCREASED interest & flow of money from OIL TRADE TO TERRESTRIAL ORGANIZATION
- 2A: EXCELLENT SPEAKING ABILITY → SLOW & STEADY TO REFUTE OPPONENT POINTS & BUILT THEIR CASE.
- 2N: NEED TO IMPROVE SPEAKING SKILLS → NOT WELL STATED TO NEGATE AFFIRMATIVE TEAM.
- 2N: REASONABLY GOOD SPEAKING IN TERMS OF X FIRE SKILLS. NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE ARGUMENT OR COUNTER TO AFFIRMATIVE.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas ShSu (Grace Shau & Misbah Surani) vs. MSJ DoVe (Abhi Doddi & Anusha Venkatesan)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Milpitas ShSu

MSJ DoVe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from MSJ DOVE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Mr Paul Mileo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I am in favor of the Neg team because they were strong in their point that because of our alliance with other countries, such as Japan and Europe, through our ~~pus~~ alliance w/ the middle east, it creates strong allies which keeps the close relationships so that ~~are~~ economic flow is possible. It is a good idea that the neg team argued about the oil trades going towards a feminist, but it is not very strong and difficult to find true.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Evergreen ChVe (Asmita Choppa & Deepshika Vellaboyina)

AFFIRMATIVE

Evergreen ChVe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Milpitas Kosh

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Evergreen ChVe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I am in favor of the Aff. team. even though the contentions of the neg. team were strong on the fact that there have not been any terrorist attacks in the U.S. in 2012 it is a strong point/fact that when the U.S. allies such as Israel is in danger, the U.S. has to intervene, which cost is a cost to our economy.

Aff also mentioned doves killing more civilians and creating hatred towards the U.S. and terrorist have not been scared to back down.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Evergreen ChVe (Asmita Choppa & Deepshika Vellaboyina)

AFFIRMATIVE
1 DEEP SHIKHA VELLABOYINA
2 ASMITA CHOPPA

NEGATIVE
1 ALEFLA KOTHAMBAWALA
2 KAREN SHYU

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from ALEFLA & KAREN
AFF or NEG EVERGREEN CHVE
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG TEAM WAS MORE CONVINCING about their theory & facts in presenting their case. They were also effective in cross fire in refuting the arguments of the AFFIRMATIVE. AFFIRMATIVE DID NOT HAVE ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO CONVINCED THE RESOLUTION. ALSO THERE ~~WERE~~ WERE MISPOKEN FACTS. ~~THE AFFIRMATIVE MOST OF THE TIME~~ SPENT MOST OF THE TIME ARGUING about Drone strikes -

SPONOR

1A: YOU MOSTLY CONCENTRATED ON BUSH STR POLICE & DRONE ATTACKS & INNOCENT LIVES LOST. ~~NOT~~ NOT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO SHOW THIS RESULTED IN INCREASED TERRORIST ACTIVITY. WEAK IN CROSS FIRE TO DEFEND YOUR POSITION OR Counter arguments

2A: Content in not very enlight, not good enough to support your position.

1N: Good ANALYSIS AND CROSS FIRE SESSION. NEEDS IMPROVEMENT IN SPEAKING SKILLS

2N: Good Refuting and Counter question. NEEDS IMPROVEMENT IN SPEAKING SKILLS

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Milpitas KoSh (Alefia Kothambawala & Karen Shyu) vs. Evergreen ChVe (Asmita Choppa & Deepshika Vellaboyina)

AFFIRMATIVE

Evergreen Asmita Choppa
Deepshika Vellaboyina
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Milpitas Alefia Kothambawala
& Karen Shyu
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neq from Milpitas Alefia & Karen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Alejandra Bonita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- #1 drone strikes counter productive, 35 mil leaders the rest civilians creating more hatred toward US.
- #2 FP anti Amer in ME due to Israel motivation to attack US
- #3 Current FP. Iran in possession of nuclear US looks weak if pulls out military
- Q Arab Spring - Egypt in ME or not?
- AS. protest strikes to overthrow dictators Egypt. part of Middle East.
- 2000 innocent civilians ∴ hurts the link with Israel is weak. article yesterday
- Q Article regarding Iran nuclear. probability
- Q.

- Q Net benefits
- #1 demo in many countries, inc ME = Arab Spring
- #2 policy have secured Nat'l Security
- #3 Crucial Ali = Israel access to intelligence only demo in region
- Q evidence Iran nuclear weapons
- Q drone strikes civi's killed 2065.
- Response 0 deaths in Paki in 2012
- Removed peace talks
- They AFF stated the Arab Spring was occurring all over the Middle East and Egypt so to inc Egypt in definition
- Q Argues legitimacy of evidence/facts President, Arab Spring,

Great clash of ideas facts and evidence. Almost too much with respect to Arab Spring and arguing over whether certain evidence was valid. Both sides had good contentions but I felt the debate went off track by the above observation. Stick to your contentions and evidence of support.

Reason for decision. in favor of I found for the Neq due to their final 2nd Speaker Final Focus because they were able to summarize their case and bring it back to their net benefits which was brought up at beginning of round. They clearly were able to establish connects to their facts & evidence to support their

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

^{Negative} Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle) vs. ^{Affirmative} Eastside Prep AcaF (Alex Aceves & Jamie Ayon-Facundo)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Alex & Jamie from Eastside Prep

Kathleen & Segan from Bonita

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the ^{Negative} from BONITA VISTA HS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative
Syna, TVP RM
Israel
Iraq points
cross-fire
win the argument on v s
favors israel
Rebuttal
terrorist attacks on Libya
Egypt seen with Hamas in
Gaza
U.S support for Turkey, as a NATO
ally

Negative
good speech
cross fire
U.S support for Israel is
mis-understood. U.S favors
israel.
Rebuttal
make excellent points
about American American &
popularity ratings.
Did not checky respond to
Egypt Aid by with Hamas
I agree I had OUSL
doctrine is not relevant

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

2 1 1 2
Eastside Prep AcAF (Alex Aceves & Jamie Ayon-Facundo) vs. Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Eastside Prep Ac AF

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Jamie Ayon-Facundo 29.0
Alex Aceves 28.5

Bonita Vista

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Kathleen Lindstrom 28.6
Segan Helle 28.1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Eastside Prep Ac AF
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Thomas Ong

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF team cited ~~events~~ ^{events} which clearly showed national security has been undermined & threatened ~~due~~ due to current foreign policy.
NEG team failed to refute the arguments from the AFF team.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Eastside Prep AlMa (Brian Alas & Ricardo Maldonado) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Eastside Prep AlMa
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Olive Foundation YuAg
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Ricardo Maldonado 28.5
Brian Alas 28.2

Kunal Agarwal 28.4
Albert Yu 28.0

The better debating was done by the AFF from Eastside Prep AlMa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Thomas Ong

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF team successfully how current ~~policy~~ foreign policy is undermining national security.
NEG team arguments were not entirely relevant to the topic of the debate; therefore were not valid arguments.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Eastside Prep AcAF (Alex Aceves & Jamie Ayon-Facundo) vs. Bonita Vista LH (Kathleen Lindstrom & Segan Helle)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Eastside Prep AcAF

Bonita Vista LH

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bonita Vista LH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A1 (Jamie) = Good presentation
Summary speech is better than first open speech
[] more eye contact
[] more convincing
A2 (Alex) = Good speech with related information
good eye contact making better presentation

N1 (Kathleen) = ^{Generally} Clear & good speech
Summary not presented fluently as the first speech
N2 (Segan) = Very strong debater
presenting viewpoints with references & presentation skills very good to convince & very ~~good~~ good @ defending

I voted for negative side as they demonstrated their view points more precisely & good @ defending their view points with reference.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal) vs. Eastside Prep AlMa (Brian Alas & Ricardo Maldonado)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE


Eastside Prep AlMa

Olive Foundation

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A1 (Ricardo) = Clear & neat first speech with informational reference. Summary speech is not as organized as open speech

N1 (Kunal) = Very convincing first/open speech with good presentation with related statistic data. Good @ defending his viewpoints

A2 (Brian) = good presentation skills and state viewpoints precisely & clearly. good @ questioning to clarify confusion

N2 (Albert) = strong presentation with reference and examples but information could be more organized. Good @ defending their viewpoints

I voted for negative side as they are better presenting their viewpoints with reference & statistic data & they are good @ defending their viewpoints.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Eastside Prep AlMa (Brian Alas & Ricardo Maldonado) vs. Olive Foundation YuAg (Albert Yu & Kunal Agarwal)

③ AFFIRMATIVE ④
BRIAN & RICARDO
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT
②⑨ ②⑨

① NEGATIVE ②
KUNAL & ALBERT
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT
③① ②⑨

The better debating was done by the NEGATIVE from OLIVE FOUNDATION
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFFIRMATIVE 1st Speakers
EXCELLENT SPEECHES
TWO BEST ANSWER ON 23BYA
2nd Speakers

NEGATIVE
SUPERB SPEECHES BY KUNAL WITH
DEFENSIVES.
2nd Speakers

Summary
It is a close debate. All the 4 contestants are
exceptionally good & presented their case very well.
I have decided to vote for negative team, because
I believe that their arguments are strong enough
- Syria is not capable of being members at UN
- Al Qaeda is now weak & it is the important &
#1 terrorist organization.
- Terrorism has reduced by 35%.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Leland GoMu (Sathvik Gowda & Madhukar Muralidhara) vs. MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo)
#1 #2 #1 #2

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ KuLo

Leland GoMu

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ KuLo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Kim Olyan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both teams presented their arguments clearly and strongly support their point of view. The Negative team needs to avoid cut off the opponent when he/she is trying to answer the questions during cross fire.

The AFFIRMATIVE strongly argued how education is a threat for the citizens of the Middle Eastern countries because the terrorists do not like Western Funded school; therefore, they became more aggressive in attacking any thing that has to do with American thus killing innocent lives. In addition, foreign policy is created popular resentment in the Middle Eastern countries which is a strong threat to the Americans.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Leland GoMu (Sathvik Gowda & Madhukar Muralidhara) vs. MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

MSJ KuLo

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Leland GoMu

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Wen B

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF:
Cont 1BZ are practically the same.

Ai:
Very effective attack on Neg Contentions

↳ why didn't you call them out on speculation? They had done much more than the AFF team. You should have jumped on that. *Good job on short response
Overall, great job

RFD: AFF effectively provides reason to believe Neg contentions are false and don't apply. AFF also has full access to Cont 3, & better access to 1BZ.
AFF has most weight in round

Neg: Good, but don't rely on spec. arguments
case, especially regarding Pakistan Aid who is sheltering terrorists; you need to hit this contention, only respond in 2nd constructive
↳ It isn't the best strategy to state AFF is speculating, and then "speculate" that sanction have for sure worked.

Room: Arts 133 Lucas 308
Flight A Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

OCTA

Merrill, Jeff

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

MSJ KuLo (Lucas Kuo & Darien Lo) vs. Leland GoMu (Sathvik Gowda & Madhukar Muralidhara)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

MSJ

Leland

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: W. Th...

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Saratoga RaCe (^{2nd.} Sweeya Raj & ^{1st} Michelle Cen) vs. Leland CuHe (^{1st} Kyle Cui & ^{2nd} Ali-Asghar Heera)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Leland CuHe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Saratoga RaCe

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland CuHe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Payge

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

∴ Aff was able to refute and point out flaws in the other team's evidence. Both discussed ~~to~~ their points well and had strong points. Both refuted the other team's points and drew well conclusions.

Room: Arts 129
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

OCTA

~~Takeuchi, Kayoko~~

NIP, KENNETH
CARMONT H.S

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Saratoga RaCe (Sweeya Raj & Michelle Cen) vs. Leland CuHe (Kyle Cui & Ali-Asghar Heera)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Kyle Cui + Ali-Asghar
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Michelle Cen, Sweeya Raj.
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

decision for AFF because they had a better cross and focus on definition and background. Although the Negative gave strong points but was not able to firmly refute the cross of the Aff side. Although all speakers spoke with volume. But Neg seem to speak with conviction.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Leland CuHe (Kyle Cui & Ali-Asghar Heera) vs. Saratoga RaCe (Sweeya Raj & Michelle Cen)

AFFIRMATIVE

Leland CuHe

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Saratoga Race

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland CuHe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Thierry Schang

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF side presented stronger evidences -

Ali, excellent speech -

Kyle, try to slow down, you are sometimes hard to follow

Michelle, try to slow down too -

Sweeya, sometimes too soft spoken, SPEAK UP!

Overall a very good debate -

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik) vs. MSJ ChTa (Jacinta Chang & Andrea Tam)

AFFIRMATIVE

St. Francis SeKa

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

MSJC ChTa

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the


AFF OR NEG

from

St. Francis SeKa

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both ~~have~~ had good points and rebuttals but the aff team was able to prove their points and statements better. Some of their definitions caused the other team to ~~have~~ weaken because they fell under their definitions. Both addressed questions given by other team and Aff made good analogies.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik) vs. MSJ ChTa (Jacinta Chang & Andrea Tam)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

St Francis SeKa

MSJ ChTa

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from St Francis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Thierry Schang

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good debate but confusing at time -
Stay calm, and do not cut your partner
during crossfire

AFF side was more convincing, very good
image of the house and pillars.

On the NEG side affirming that killing
an ambassador is a tiny issue is wrong -
same on the argument that we have ABT.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

MSJ ChTa (Jacinta Chang & Andrea Tam) vs. St. Francis SeKa (Aksheya Sekharan & Anjini Karthik)

AFFIRMATIVE

Aksheya Sekharan / Anjini Karthik
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Jacinta Chang & Andrea Tam
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the MSJ ChTa from St. Francis
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:


The debate came down to ~~points~~ the points about Intent and Capability, as well as how 4 people dying cause a natural secondary weapon. I did not think ^{they} ~~they~~ prove or sufficiently Rebutted Iran has the capability to deliver a Nuclear Bomb, Nor did they prove 4 people dying cause a Natural threat. Hence the decision is for Negative

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon) vs. James Logan ShGi (Kunal Sharma & Gagandeep Gill)



AFFIRMATIVE

Norte Dame Base

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

James Logan ShGi

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Norte Dame
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Neh B

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Harveen (A1) current; Obama's cabinet US foreign policy

AFF

Questions were ~~not~~ answered poorly on both sides

End R-Br was strong

Really think about the question, a good response lies w/in case
The U.S will be involved in war either way; If Israel is attacked, we respond

Snigdha (A-2)

didn't respond

Think more about how Israel would respond; Foreign policy, as in increasing relations w/ Iran would ~~also~~ anger Israel, who has nuclear weapons. Israel would be a much worse enemy. We need them

NB

RFD: AFF wins helped terrorists

as an ally; No real impacts for either contention

Room: ~~Nobili B~~ Lucas 308
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

OCTA

Omohundro-McN

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

James Logan ShGi (Kunal Sharma & Gagandeep Gill) vs. Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & ^{SI}Harveen Sekhon)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Snigdha & Harveen

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Snigdha & Harveen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

W. M. M. M.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: ~~North~~ Lucas 308
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice Public Forum

OCTA

Nip, Kenneth

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Once sides have been determined, please insert the name of the affirmative team on the AFFIRMATIVE line and the negative team on the NEGATIVE line.

James Logan ShGi (Kunal Sharma & Gagandeep Gill) vs. Norte Dame BaSe (Snigdha Banda & Harveen Sekhon)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Notre Dame Base
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

James Logan ShGi
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Notre Dame Base
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Kim Outman

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Excellent questions posed to the NEGATIVE where NEGATIVE team did have issues when ~~response~~ responded and were not able to provide specific ex examples in response.

Speakers from both team were well spoken and articulate. but Affirmative were calm, good eye contacts and fluid. Negative speakers were fast when speak.

I voted Affirmative because the team clearly presented how the US National Security is threatened by involving with the Middle East war prone country in which an increased to terrorism.