

NOVICE

PUBLIC

FORUM

Rd 4

PART I

Notice Public

Forum

Ad 4

Part 2

ATF

Table F Flight A

Neg

Evergreen

~~Carlmont~~

Carlmont

1. Coskun 26 (3)

1. Nip 27 (2)

2. Kulshreshtha 25 (4)

2. Xie 27 (1)

Neg Carlmont

Novice

I disclosed

Pufo

Austin Jett

SCU

Das

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation WeSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jennifer Wei	29	1
2	Rohan Saxena	29	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen VePo	POINTS	RANKS
2	Shreya Venkatesan	29	2
1	Anurima Polisetty	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive Foundation.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maurin

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① Middle East policy is broken
 Drone strikes → sympathize with terror group
- ② Endangered by technology

Cross-fire

Question on steps for counter terrorism
 → Drone strikes → helps the aff case

Good argument on Drone strikes linking to side in terrorist activity.

Weak argument on → let's assume Middle East is super power.

Question → Good Question but did not ask for evidence.

② Good response to Question

Summary

fold on to Drone attacks & it has not been reported by opp.

AFF team won the debate on the Crossfire & Grand Crossfire.

- ① Counter terrorism
- ② Pulling out of troops
- ③ Yemen → to kill Al Qaeda 30

Q: what is the link between drone & anti American.

- ① Drone strikes saves people → does not provide a link to national security.
- ② Iran's nuclear Pgm. 30

Crossfire → Could not provide any evidence.

① Good summarization on reducing number of deaths.

Net benefits → number of lives saved.

Neg team was weak on response & asking relevant question. 30

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Presentation DhEI	POINTS	RANKS
4	Shwena Dhar	27	2
3	Amy Elzarka	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Bishop O'Dowd YaVa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Evin Yang	24	4
1	Tommy Vaughan	23.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Amy/Shwena.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: V. Mahesh Kumar

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- (+) Very Clear and loud Statement.
- (+) very good eye Contact and gestures.
- (-) less facts.
- (+) Good cross-fire
- (+) Clarity of analysis
- (+) Very good use of language

- (+) loud and clear
- (-) More Reading
- (-) More assumptions and less facts
- (+) good ask for facts.
- (+) good cross questioning
- (-) Good Rate of Speech.
- (-) Unable to answer few cross-fires.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Plants~~ are not present


POS	Eastside Prep AcAF	POINTS	RANKS
4	Alex Aceves	27.5	4
2	Jamie Ayon-Facundo	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen ChVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Asmita Choppa	29	1
3	Deepshika Vellaboyina	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Evergreen ChVe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg team debated well. It is good point to point out average error decrease percentage is down dramatically.
Aff team didn't counterback strong enough, it is good debate on top it helps us to understand.
Both teams are good at points.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	MSJ DoVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Abhi Doddi	27.1	4
2	Anusha Venkatesan	29.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas VyKh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Rutu Vyas	28.7	3
1	Ruby Khan	29.2	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from MSJ DoVe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Jane Colte

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The affirmative did the better job of supporting their contentions and arguing against their opponents. Negative would have been stronger if they had argued stronger against their opponents case. Started out strong but ~~negative~~ did not maintain it.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga Indep ShGu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Roland Shen	26	1/4 A
2	Samuel Guo	26	1/2 2

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker BhBh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Chethan Bhateja	27	1/1 1
1	Jaydev Bhateja	26	1/2 3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Harker
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative

- C1: Foreign policy
- C2: Terrorist groups is threat to U.S.
- C3: defend budget
- * ~~Iran~~ Iran is angry
- * Brown \$3.1 tril on Iraq
- * current

- 1. think this debate boiled down to 3 main points.
 - U.S. involvement in M.E whether that is good or bad
 - Drone strikes: cost too much + killing civil people
 - Evidence - current

Voter issues

- * AFF: current attacks
- * ambassadors
- * U.S. intervention to expand dema
- * Terrorists advanced tech
- * definitions of M.E.
- * dictatorship bad civil good
- * current

Negative

- C1: Democracy reduces terrorism
- C2: Israel vs. Palestine
- C3: Drones in Middle East
- * Iran take-out
- * Afghan take-out - definition
- * current

overall good speaking. try to avoid words like "good" & "bad."

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmants are not present

POS	Mountain View MyLi	POINTS	RANKS
2	Cameron Myers	29	1
1	Kevin Li	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation AdCh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Vineet Advani	26.5	4
1	Robert Chen	26.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A KEVIN GOOD speaking
 good evidence

1A ROBERT
 - need to improve speaking skills and presentation

2A CAMERON
 - good speaking follows debate very well and clearly the leader in this debate
 - excellent in refuting the evidence and contributions of other team

2A VINEET
 - speaking skills need to be improved
 - ~~flow of presentation~~ there is no flow in presenting the case and arguing.

It was clear from the AFF team. Negative team was confusing contributions and looked to back up their case. AFF team, especially Cameron, was to the point and dismantled NEG team.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	St. Francis SeKa	POINTS	RANKS
1A	Aksheya Sekharan	28	1
2A	Anjini Karthik	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Young Gen MeBa	POINTS	RANKS
1N	Sasha Menezes	25	3
2N	Shreya Barma	20	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from AKSHEYA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1A: Started out with presenting definitions clearly. Good use of hand gesture, Eye contact. CNN Study on Israel Escalation / Iran nuclear power. Analogy of house with 4 pillars was good.
- 2A: Good time management. Presented statistics clearly. Good question to opponents on how Al Qaeda related to foreign policy. Continued on the Analogy and made it stronger from her partner.
- 2N: Good speaking skills with facial expression and hand gestures. Eye contact & movement was powerful. Not able to give supporting points why Al Qaeda was not related to foreign policy.
- Pos: Overall good. But need to improve on preparation & be more aggressive.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Starts are not present~~

POS	Eastside Prep AIMA	POINTS	RANKS
	Brian Alas	28.1	2
	Ricardo Maldonado	28.1	1

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ ChTa	POINTS	RANKS
	Jacinta Chang	27.2	3
	Andrea Tam	27.2	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Eastside Prep AIMA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Myra H

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted for the Aff. team because they proved very strongly that the U.S. relationships / allies with other countries creates a threat for the U.S. Because of NATO and the U.S. affiliations, the U.S. is obligated to provide economic, money, army support. Problems/war that is occurring in Turkey + Israel will pull U.S. into war, while U.S. is trying to pull out of war. Aff. has shown effectively how the U.S. remains on a threat.

The Aff. team were very strong in their points and clear on providing how exactly the U.S. is affected from their affiliation w/ other countries.

Neg team - good presentation as well but did not have one strong point to relate to their argument.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmants are not present

POS	St. Marys CILo	POINTS	RANKS
1B	Christopher Clark	28.5	2
1A	Ashley Longmire	27.8	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Bonita Vista LH	POINTS	RANKS
2A	Kathleen Lindstrom	27.1	4
2B	Segan Helle	29.0	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bonita Vista LH
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Dannan Loust

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Ashley

- Always good to start debate by defining topic. Did well here
- Did not ~~not~~ provide a clear outline
- Did not ~~not~~ utilize time completely to expand on argument
- Spoke well

Christopher

- Presented yourself well
- Did not do ~~not~~ as well in crossfire

Kathleen:

- Defining topic to your advantage helped
- By not clearly outline your argument, it became difficult for me to understand it completely
- By reading from a paper without eye contact further undermines your case

Segan

- Good outline
- Better arguments than others

Overall, the teams did a reasonable job of presenting their ~~case~~ case. However, the negation team seemed to do better at defending their point of view on this subject. Some points that went better for Neg is are a) ~~containing~~ continuing presence in the ~~ME~~ ME helps US foreign policy by going after Al Qaeda helps our ~~security~~ security ~~not~~ b) Overseas presence reduces US cost.

Room: Benson 1D
Start: 8:00am
Novice Public Forum

4

Schletzbaum, Jam

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debaters are not present

POS	MSJ ChKu	POINTS	RANKS
	Chelton Cheung	27.4	2
	Ankit Kuchhangi	27.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen KaPu	POINTS	RANKS
	Harika Kalluri	27.2	4
	Neha Pusarla	27.3	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ ChKu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted affirmative because while ^{1 of their points} ~~their topics are not~~ directly relevant, it still connected to their debate. Their arguments also ^{was} were slightly better than Neg and were able to prove their points. Statistics from neg also weakened their arguments.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Evergreen ChKo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Divya Chandrupatla	25	4
2	Meghana Kolli	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga RaCe	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sweeya Raj	28	1
1	Michelle Cen	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from RaCe Saratoga
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Dina Lopez*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1st sph - Way too fast - unintelligible
2nd sph - Choppy delivery a little fast

Neg 1st sph - nice pace
2nd sph - nice pace easy to follow.

what I know about aff case comes from neg rebuttal
good rebuttal

Missed much of case because I could not understand 1st speaker. even on rebuttal heard to understand 1st speaker.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Contants are not present

POS	Eastside Prep CaVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Raven Campbell	30	1
2	Jaqueline Velazquez	20	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation JiDa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Eric Jiang	20	4
1	Anirban Datta	25	3

4A
 4N
 3XF1
 4A Reb 9
 4N Reb
 3XF2

2AS
 2NS
 3GXF
 2FFA
 2FNN

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Eastside Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rajiv Bhatija

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

4A Raven:

Too fast, way too fast, hard to understand
 C1: Allies threatened
 C2: Can't negotiate w/ terrorists; navy, ABM (Israel) military aid
 C3: Invasions & policies create hatred
 Iraq - insurgencies

4N: Anirban:

C1: Economic aid helps (Camp David - Egypt, Israel's Jordan peace)
 C2: Forward engagement & bases helps - Iranian nukes, Libyan intervention.
 C3: Sanctions hurting Iran.

3XF:

⊗ → ⊕ Raven: Iran is developing nukes, threaten us.
 Anirban: They can't reach us.
 Raven: They can bomb Israel
 Anirban: We have fwd bases
 Raven: The bases cause hatred towards US.

4AR:

Jacqueline: Clear speech; good eye contact;
 Q1: Threat to allies. "Israel hates us"?!
 Q2: Can't stop Iran.
 Libya Benghazi - attack on Embassy is attack on our soil.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	James Logan AhPa	POINTS	RANKS
	Wahab Ahmady	25	4
	Edgar Partida	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis AdHo	POINTS	RANKS
	Sudeep Aditham	28	2
	Ryan Hoque	27	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from James Logan
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Shuyi Tami

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The debate was awarded to AFF primarily because NEG was unable to respond to the ~~that~~ definition of middle East not being inclusive of Pakistan and Afghanistan. They were also not able to respond conclusively that Iran's nuclear capability increase is not a matter of concern to US nat'l security even though the opponents did not cite evidence of intent = action.

see over for individual feedback.

Aff
Olive

Table F Flight P

Neg
Milpitas

1. Avantsa 25 (3)
2. Veeramacheneni 2 (4)

1. Mulaomerovic 27
2. Lv 27

Aff

Olive

Low Point w/ln ✓

I disclosed

Novice

~~Auto Test~~

~~BUFO~~

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

SINGLE
FLIGHT

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	MSH AiSo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Abitha Aishwarya	28	2
2	Ahsas Sood	26.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen KaRa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Inderpal Kaur	28	3
2	Niharika Rane	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Evergreen KaRa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sharon Yao

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A : good speech
good preparation
good arguments.

work on ~~2A~~ : engage more during grand crossfire

2A : good preparation

work on: rebuttal
ask more questions.

1N : good preparation
good arguments.
good speech

work on: ask more questions.

2N : very good speech
good preparation
good arguments.
good rebuttal.

I vote for NEG because they convinced me that current foreign policy reduced American casualty and increased national security.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmants are not present

POS	Norte Dame BaSe	POINTS	RANKS
2	Snigdha Banda	29	①
1	Harveen Sekhon	28	②

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation KaSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sahil Kapoor	28	③
2	Anish Sangari	27	④

The better debating was done by the AFF from NOTRE DAM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Maurice

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Harveen
 How citizens are secure
 ② Supporting rebels & dictatorship

Cross-fire
 → Good question on Iran but did not challenge the response (it was weak)

② Snigdha
 ① Drone strikes → did not elaborate Iran's statement → challenged oppⁿ anti-American sentiments → elaborated later

Cross ✓ → Good examples & good closure
 Good question on Iran's policy 1:14
 Drone strikes → more harm than good.

Summary → Good Summary, refuting oppⁿ claims.

① Sahil
 ① Iran Nuclear pgm
 ② Foreign engagement & troops
 ③ Supporting foreign aid → Israel.
 ✓ Good question in cross fire on contradicting democratic policy. :55

② Anish
 ① Iran's nuclear pgm → to get them off track by cyber
 ② Corrupt dictatorship → not good defense. (weak)
 ③ Drones →
 Poor defense on Iran's nuclear pgm.

→ Not good defense on Uranium Sabotage. How are you Sabotaging?

Summary → Take same time & make sure you are speaking coherently. You have good points but don't come across.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL (EVHS)

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	MSJ AcJa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Aditya Acharya	26	2
1	Amit Jamuar	22	4

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan NgTa	POINTS	RANKS
3	Ann Nguyen	27.5	1
4	Shivani Talnikar	24	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Ann Nguyen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: V. Mahesh Kumar

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- (+) Good Start
- (-) More reading
- (+) Good questions but poor defence by (pos 2)
- (-) More Questions than a statements.
- (+) Good cross-fire based on nuclear warheads
- (-) Closing statements repetition of intent.

- (+) Clear statements and precise
- (+) More Eye Contact.
- (+) Good cross-fire questions and strong defence by (pos 3)
- (+) Very good closing statements.
- (+) winners to grand cross fire.
- (+) More narrative closely arguments.

Peter
Chiu

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmants are not present


POS	Milpitas McBo	POINTS	RANKS
3	Connor McGarry	28.3	3
1	Lauren Bond	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan ShGi	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kunal Sharma	28.7	2
4	Gagandeep Gill	28	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from James Logan ShGi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: yes

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This is a good debate. The final grand crossfire is very good. Both teams provides their points. Kunal's debut is really good. It is more good than harm. He provides numbers. Moreover the relationship with Israel is not yet broken but backoff.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Contests are not present

POS	Young Gen BaBh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nidhi Bangari	27.8	3
2	Nilabh Bhat	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ MuSi	POINTS	RANKS
1	Keerthana Muthukrishnan	27.9	2
2	Ramiya Sivakumar	27.6	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Young Gen BaBh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Gene Coltr

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I felt the affirmative did the better job upholding their contentions, and rebutting their opponents. They did the better job of persuasion on most points.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED - BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight A contestants are not present

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	MSJ BhHu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Uma Bhatia	30	1
1	Charshu Hurria	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga MaCh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kabir Manghnani	28	3
2	Aditya Chaudhary	29	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Saratoga MaCh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Amr Singh*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFFIRMATIVE

defs: U.S.N.S
 standard: threat current yrs
 contentions
 C1: anti americanism
 • Drone strikes
 • health
 • economic
 • tension - unorg.
 • 9/11
 C2: Threat level increase
 • Syria
 • increase threat
 C3: Hinders growth - too much \$
 • biggest spender 7bil/annual

Negative
 def: ^{elo} mil
 burden: net benefit
 contentions

C1: Naval strength = oil
 • economic grid
 • energy dependent
 • supply & demand
 • Iran offshore

C2: 9/11 ↓
 • fear
 • threat
 • Abu-samur

Affirmative

→ Burden
 → evidence
 → numbers of threat going up

AI-9

→ oil embargo Iran & Sudan → economy collapse

Negative

→ Pak not in M.E.
 target terrorists in sum.
 attack 09
 → threat gone down
 • Osama

Affirmative

Voter issues
 • evidence?
 • 2006-terrorist
 • drone strike
 • Foreign
 : oil
 • def of SD.
 • Council of F.R.
 • cost under 11er

Negative

• anti american sentiment
 • LINK
 net balance

Overall: great debate!

Speaker evaluation:

Charshu Hurria - slow down when reading case, but fast enough to finish point, try to provide links to what you are specifically attacking.
 UMd - you are def. a confident speaker, but you need to slow down and tone down a little on aggression

Kabir - good tone, speed, try to use more eye contact

Aditya - good use of hand gestures, try avoiding umms

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation YuAg	POINTS	RANKS
2	Albert Yu	27.5	3
1	Kunal Agarwal	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland GoMu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sathvik Gowda	28.5	1
2	Madhukar Muralidhara	28.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A KUNAL
 - speaking ok but need to improve debating skills

2A ALBERT
 - good speaker
 - good debator but need to better defend his case.

1N Sathvik
 - good speaker and better debator
 - although he has not evidence and to some facts should be was able to effectively debate the other team

2N Madhukas
 good speaker, good debator

Neg team you debate more on disputing opponents case rather than defending theirs.
 Neg team didn't have many facts straight but AFF team would to effectively challenge them.
 Both team contributions were not persuasive

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation WaQi	POINTS	RANKS
1A	Angela Wang	30 ²⁰	4
2A	Michelle Qin	60 ²⁵	B

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas KoSh	POINTS	RANKS
1N	Alefa Kothambawala	80 ²⁸	1
2N	Karen Shyu	60 ²⁵	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from ALEFIA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1N Alefa: good speaking & maintained eye contact
 well prepared. Supporting points were clear..
 good time management / Presented definitions well.

2N: Karen
 clearly outlined supporting points.
 But didn't provide statistics to back up.

1A: Angela
 Need to have eye contact - Speak more clearly.

2A: Michelle
 good speaking, well prepared.
 Need to be more aggressive in supporting point.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	James Logan LaPa		
	Jennifer Lam	25.1	4
	Emily Pan	26.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Saratoga SeMa		
	Vibha Seshadri	27.3	2
	Nupur Maheshwari	27.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Saratoga SeMa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted ~~Aff~~ ^{NEG} because they proved that foreign policy has been effective in decreasing ~~terrorist~~ terrorist attacks. The U.S. has been able to kill the #2+6 terrorists and that we are more dependent from foreign oil. Because of these reasons U.S. is in a safer position than it has been in the past.

Neg team. Had strong arguments and was clear in what they were trying to convey. Effective in proving that U.S. has been effective w/ foreign policy b/c of decrease of terrorist attacks and although drones kill civilians in other countries, they are obligated to fail protect their civilians

Aff. Focused on arguing that foreign policy has not totally gotten rid of terrorist attacks. They weren't effective in arguing against ~~how~~ how drones killing civilians was a danger to rec'd. good supporting evidence however, but need to prove directly its effects.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Contants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation GoSh	POINTS	RANKS
1A	Anirudh Gottiparthi	28.2	4
1B	Anshul Shah	28.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland CuHe	POINTS	RANKS
2A	Kyle Cui	29.0	1
2B	Ali-Asghar Heera	28.7	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Leland (Kyle and Ali)
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Cameron Howie

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Anirudh :

- First round started without outline. Eye contact minimal
- ~~M~~ Good during crossfire
- Good during second round
- Speaks well

Anshul

- Good job overall
- Animated during crossfire but missed refuting some points.

Kyle

- Great job in first round
- Speaks well
- Eye contact good.
- Crossfire could have been better

Ali

- Good job in first round
- Crossfire could have been better

The Neg team did a good job in defining the topic since the Aff team did not. Further the ~~neg~~ Neg team's outline of issues ~~was~~ helped the judge ~~make~~ in identifying material issues. The Aff team came up with civilian casualties, but it was never really addressed by the Neg team. On most other points Palestine, drone strikes, sanctions etc. the Neg team did better

Room: Benson 1D
Start: 8:00am
Novice Public Forum

4

Schletzbaum, Jam

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga LiNg	POINTS	RANKS
	Alex Li	26.8	2
	Ethan Ngai	26.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan StMa	POINTS	RANKS
	Kenny Stevenson	26.5	4
	Sahil Markanday	26.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Saratoga LiNg
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I felt neg concentrated on this very second that events were occurring, but it was on current foreign policy. AFF had strong points and were able to stand their points.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Milpitas ShSu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Grace Shau	26	2
2	Misbah Surani	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ KuLo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lucas Kuo	26	3 3
2	Darien Lo	25	4 4

The better debating was done by the Aff from ShSu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Monica Roza

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

aff
 good construction
 good analysis
 good rebuttal
 good crossfire
 Drane's delivery
 a little choppy.

neg
 construction weak
 OK analysis
 good rebuttal
 good crossfire
 Darien needs
 more volume.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation KoSi	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shayna Kothari	25	3
2	Chhavi Singal	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ ChYu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Hansen Chen	20	4
1	William Yue	30	1

4A 2SA
 4N 2SN
 X 1SC 3 3GX
 AR 4 2FFA
 NR 4 2FFN
 X 2nd 3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive Foundation KoSi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rajiv Bhatija

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 4 Shayna: Too fast, slurred words, over time (HA) ^{ran}
 C1: Drone strikes; low 2% targets; 32% ^{4 puffs}, hatred Yemen
 C2: Israel dependent on US; US-Israel r-ship harms US.

Neg 4 William: Clear, articulate, in time.
 Undermine: Intent, capability
 C1: More countries allies; Af: most dangerous, now ally.
 C2: Main reason for terrorism - economic disparity, poverty
 PAFTA: Pan-American; reduces
 C3: Fewer ^{US} ~~terrorist~~ groups reduces terrorism. Obama reduced troops.

X Fire 1: William ^{mis-} ~~not~~ construed 32%; very harsh

Aff 4 Chhavi: (OK speed, grammatical mistakes)
 (Israel relationship harmful; buying weapons from us)
 (More hatred towards US)
 (Iran has nukes? Russia has ^{with} weapons)
 (Palestine)
 → \$14T debt → PAFTA → poverty in the US
 → Terrorism due to muslim hatred, not poverty.
 → Troop level ^{is} not helping.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Affirmative debaters are not present

POS	Saratoga TrVe	POINTS	RANKS
	Oksana Trifonova		
	Divya Veerapaneni		

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation KoMe	POINTS	RANKS
	Rohan Kota		
	Rohit Menon		

*Forfeit
8:28 AM*

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: N/A

Signed: Amir Javi

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: