

OPEN
PUBLIC
FORUM

ROUND 5

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland ShCh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Ye Sol Shin	27.5	1
1	Jeremy Chang	27	3

POS	Saratoga JaVa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ruchi Jain	27.3	2
2	Bhavana Vadrevu	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland ScCh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff was able to back up their points well and ask questions that the other team had a hard time answering. Both teams did very well and had good points. Aff seemed to provide more points and arguments.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmatives are not present

POS	Lynbrook AsSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Gaurav Ashok	27.3	1
2	Rahul Sabnis	27.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga KoCh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ajith Kosireddy	27.2	2
2	Aditya Choudhary	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Lynbrook AsSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both were very strong in their arguments, but Lynbrook AsSa was able to connect and relate their arguments better. They stated evidence from the president and other sources that directly ~~show~~ proved their points well.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present


POS	Harker KhXi	POINTS	RANKS
1	Avi Khemani	28.0	2
2	Justin Xie	28.0	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga JaWa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Aurash Jalalian	27.9	4
1	Nelson Wang	28.2	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Saratoga
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1A: good speaking, clearly makes the points.
- 2A: good speaker. good time management
- 1N: good speaker. have good presentation
- 2N: good speaker. need a little improvement on clarity.

I voted NEG because they answered all the points that AFF team brought up. AFF failed to prove Iron can ~~etc~~ shut off the straight.

Both team did pretty well.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Milpitas LePu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Miranda Le	28.3	1
2	Jason Pugatch	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Diablo Valley ChPa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Annabel Chen	27.5	4
1	Emily Pang	28.1	2

The better debating was done by the AFF ~~Miranda~~ from AFF Milpitas
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Michael Blum

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1A: Good speaker, make points clearly.
- 2A: Good Speaker. Please allow other to finish during cross.
- 1N: Good Speaker presented very well.
- 2N: Good Speaker, please speak a little louder & firm.

I voted for AFF because they first gave clear definition of "mid-east" and "current", NEG team failed to address iran's opper attacks to U.S. finance system. I think NEG can ask whether the attacks are result of our mid-east policy. They both did really well. and make me very hard to pick a winner. well done!

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	James Logan MoMa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sohabe Mojaddidy	28.3	2
1	Omkar Mahajan	27.8	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation WuYu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Wu	28.4	1
1	Victoria Yuan	27.9	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

20 sec.
 30 sec.

Signed: Steph Chen

70 sec.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: ① Drone attack < Israel?
 ② Palestine?
 ↳ Iraq. failure.
 ③ Syria. problem.

①

2A ① civilian killed by DRONE.
 Drone is not working.
 ② Palestine is not defined.
 ③ Drone attack &

1N: ① improve military / drone attack is working.
 ② terrorist is not working. Nuclear is working.
 ③ Iran & Israel.

① Afghani?

2N: ② - names important?
 - 9/11 is important?
 - the terrorist vs middle east.
 - National interest in Turkey?
 - false claim (muslim brotherhood)

I voted for NEG because AFF team keeps on confusing US foreign policy w/ US Terrorist policy. AFF team used example of 9/11 which ~~didn't involve~~ its definition of Middle East. The AFF team also asked for the names of terrorist killed by DRONE attack, which ~~is~~ is not a good way to win the argument.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Debatants~~ are not present

POS	Mountain View ThSc	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kate Thomas	27.6	4
1	Ariane Schang	27.9	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland MeMe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ann-Kathrin Merz	27.8	3
2	Sebastian Merz	28.1	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Leland MeMe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

1.45 sec.
 45 sec.
 30 sec.

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

45 sec.
 45 sec.
 1:30

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: ~~Drone~~ sanctions on Iran.
 - sanctions is not working.
 ② Moral support.
 ③ Drone attacks.
 ④ \$ is not working.

2A: ① use the frame
 ② government is not to be trusted.
 ③ ~~US~~ US uses Israel's tech.

1N: ① US is safe today.
 - ② ~~DRONE~~ is working. cheaper.
 ③ Saudi is our ally. working w/ us
 ④ Yuman is supporting US's DRONE attacks.
 ① ~~US~~ policy on Iran is working.

2N: ① Sanctions is the best option.
 ② Israel is a good friend in the middle east.
 ③ ~~perfect~~ perfect policy.

I voted for NEG because they successfully argue that the current US policy on Iran is working. They also successfully argue that US policy on DRONE is working. They also use the argument that US is safer now than before which AFF team doesn't have any counter argument.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker ChCh	POINTS	RANKS
3	Matthew Cheng	27.0	3
1	Brandon Chow	26.0	4

POS	Evergreen RaPu	POINTS	RANKS
4	Rafi Rahman	28.0	2
2	Akshar Puri	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Evergreen.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF Contentions
 C1 - ~~Good~~ Iran close to Nuclear Bomb
 C2 - Gasoline Prices spike.
 C3 - Diplomatic relation worse.

Con Contentions
 C1 - Sanctions work
 C2 - Terrorist threats not caused by current policy
 C3 - Drone strikes strengthen security

Brandon - Need better Eye contact & statistics.
 Matthew - Good Eye contact Focus on facts & statistics.
 Akshar -> Good Eye contact & Rafi' & Facts

Neg won clearly. AFF team was unable to challenge con C2, C3: AFF conceded that loss of ~~setting up~~ US persons undermines security. AFF could not defend their C2.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Starts~~ are not present

POS	Bonita Vista HoDo	POINTS	RANKS
3	Soley Holt	27.5	3
1	Katie Downing	26.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen SuBa	POINTS	RANKS
4	Ranjani Subramani	28.0	2
2	Nathan Bao	28.0	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Evergreen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF Contentions
- C1 current Policy not Good
 - C2 People in Middle east offended by Drone strikes
 - C3 Current alliances with Saudi Arabia not helping

- Neg Contentions
- C1 AQ is weakened by Drone strikes 20 top AQ killed
 - C2 civilians killed in drone strike is 176 or less
 - C3 Current policy fortifies our security

NEG team challenged facts from AFF team. AFF were not able to provide facts. AFF needs to analyze the data in better way. AFF conceded that there was no successful attack in US. NEG clear winner.

Katie → Focus on Facts.
Soley → Analyze statistics correctly. You made some interesting points
Good eye contact.

Nathan: Good eye contact
Good facts.

Ranjani: Good Facts.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Contants are not present

POS	Harker LuTu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Serena Lu	27.6	3
1	Liza Turchinsky	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Bonita Vista ScMo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Aubrey Schafer	27.8	2
2	Matthew Mbran	27.9	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Bonita Vista ScMo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Theresa Vaughn

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Liza: Well organized opening but try to slow down & emphasize points to make your points clear. you were well prepared on questions. Try to anticipate questions so you can support with data. when using something as "categorical imperative" please give examples. try to have fewer points & emphasize

serena: Great use of data to support your

Aubrey
Nice speaking voice. was unclear about your 3rd contention. Good demonstration of how foreign policy directly affects Ntl security. Good specific questions

matthew:
Great arguments & great listening to opponents points. your listening helped to pinpoint your rebuttal. Try to support your rebuttal

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen SoKi	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sakshi Soti	27.8	2
1	Deepti Kiran	27.7	3

POS	St. Marys CoSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jessica Cotta	28.0	1
2	Joey Salazar	27.6	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from St. Marys CoSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Thomas Vaughan

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Deepti: Clear introductory definitions. Clear concessions but I would like to see more supporting data. Good question & explanation to opponents at crossfire. To help the listener, try to summarize your argument. I sometimes lost your point.

Jessica: Very clear outline of contentions. Good use of support and clear wrap up of points. Good use of "correlation not causation". You are persuasive but be cautious about not becoming too aggressive. You shut down your partner twice during the debate and whispered while opponents gave wrap up. You are a good debater - focus on your skills.

↓

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan TuLe	POINTS	RANKS
2	Mariah Turner	27.3	2
1	Nathan Leal	27.6	1

POS	Harker RaHu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Emaad Raghieb	26.9	4
1	Joyce Huang	27.0	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from TuLe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Shadi Ghulami

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Neg team was good w/ finding facts to argue but they to now take those facts & make them from a list to a flowing arguement/case.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Plaintiffs are not present

POS	Harker DhLa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Aditya Dhar	27.3	1
1	Alexander Lam	27.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis TaBr	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shweta Tatkar	27.0	4
2	Ryan Brobst	27.3	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Harker DhLa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Shadi Ghahmi

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was very close, both teams did a good job engaging the judge. In this case the AFF team had a stronger argument / case.

Das

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	St. Francis AkGa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Prthivi Akella	29	③
1	Aakash Garg	30	①

NEGATIVE

POS	Presentation CaTr	POINTS	RANKS
2	Nicole Callinan	29	②
1	Juliena Tran	28	④

The better debating was done by the AFF from ST. FRANCIS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Manish*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

① Good points on Nuclear proliferation.
 ② Crossfire
 Argument won by the AFF team as Neg couldn't support their stand.

Argument on Osama & 9/11 attack not supported - weak statement (Oppⁿ should attack)

Crossfire:
 Brought the point on increased terrorist attacks. Neg team says they are reduced.

Summary:
 → Good summary, rebutting opp's point on drones & fiscal.

Grand Crossfire → Strong performance. Challenging oppⁿ all contentions.

① Drone strikes are effective decrease in terrorism → 8%
 ② Iran → Good arguments on supporting the contention

① Fiscal policy → does not mean economy we have pulled out of Afghanistan? - not true.
 ② Terrorist → civilian casualty on drones is 2%.

Defining → fiscal + security
 Drone strikes are more accurate.

The neg team seemed defensive and were not able to counter the arguments of opponent.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Starts are not present

POS	Foothill HuZh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jeffrey Huai	29	(2)
1	Jason Zhang	27	(4)

NEGATIVE

POS	Mountain View GGVa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ben Gardner-Gill	28	(3)
2	Ashwin Vaidynathan	29	(1)

The better debating was done by the NEG from Mountain View
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Manshi

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Jason
 (1) Clear Definition
 from sanctions are aforilume -
Crash
 Good question on definition of
 middle East but how does it affect
 your argument -

Jeff
 Good point in calling out the
 oppⁿ where they use Pakistan
 in their evidence.
 Good refutation on opponent's
 logic of comparing Obama vs Bush

Summary -> Missed an opportunity
 to come strong on the prior
 evidence. Tried to refute Yemen's
 stats but got lost in that 1 point

Ben
 (1) Killing or capturing terrorists is
 helping national security
 - You were very evasive on your
 answers and were eager to move
 on even before answering.

Ashwin
 Very organized & presented
 well on all the points.

Good summarization &
 clearly defining why they
 should win the vote.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

POINTS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Flight B if Flight

Contestants are not present

Names	POINTS	RANKS
Logan LeCh		
Carol Lee	27	3
Jennifer Chen	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	POINTS	RANKS
Milpitas TrLe		
Bryan Tran	27	1
Richard Le	27	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Brian & Richard
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: W. Vigneshan Veeraparam

POINTS & REASON FOR DECISION:



SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	POINTS	RANKS
Bishop O'Dowd VeKn		
Siena Vendlinski	27	3
Laura Knox	27	1

POS	POINTS	RANKS
Leland KiZh		
Jessica Kim	27	2
Victoria Zhang	27	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: W. V. Veerapaneni

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:



SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Milpitas PaSa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Pranay Patni	29	1
1	Satvir Saggi	28	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Marys MeMa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Laura Becerra	27	4
2	Shareen Mann	28	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Milpitas PaSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

3 good rebuttal questions

- (1) US sanctions ~~in~~ led to more anti-American sentiment, which lead to more terrorism. They are enraging the poor people there.
- (2) cyber war has been used against us, which has direct impact on our national security
- (3) US can not even locate Iran's nuclear power facilities.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ JZh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Katie Jiang	27	4
2	Alyssa Zhao	29	2

POS	Milpitas ShSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sumukh Shekar	28	3
2	Vikram Sastry	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Milpitas ShSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Δ good presentation flow.

- (1) The terrorist attacks in Middle East has decreased.
- (2) the fact the we have slowed down the nuclear proliferation in Iran for 8 months means that our policy is working
- (3) Isreal is US ally in the Middle East. It will not make a move without US agreement

Room: Williman G
Start: 12:00
Open Public Forum

5

Baron, Kathryn
Schletzbaum, Jammie

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Foothill FaHw	POINTS	RANKS
2	Bryce Fan	27	4
1	Arthur Hwang	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga KeLi	POINTS	RANKS
1	Parth Kejriwal	28	3
2	Justin Liu	29	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Saratoga KeLi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jammie Schletzbaum

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Arthur - Clear speaker, good eye contact.

Parth - good eye contact, good crossfire questions.

Bryce - Good job covering neg case.
Good eye contact during crossfire.

Justin - clear speaker, good eye contact.

RFD: This debate came down to cost/benefit with AFF arguing mostly monetary benefits or so it seemed. AFF tried to link increasing terrorism and that we could use money we are spending in ME on other things like protecting our embassies but Neg argued lives more important to National Security than anything. I think most people would agree that life outweighs money and Neg wins based on this.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Affirmatives are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
2	Harker AgKw		
2	Neeraj Aggarwal	26	3
1	Michael Kwan	25	4

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
1	LaReina leGe		
1	Allegra Iezza	29	2
2	Katie Gerbasi	30	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from LaReina leGe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jammie Schletzbaum

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Michael - Look at judge during crossfire.
 main speech; Seemed flustered, jumped around a lot and didn't cover much in Summary.

Allegra - Very good speaker, good eye contact during speech. Summary: Good job on summary!
 Look at judge during crossfire.

Neeraj - almost sounded like you were asking your opponent for a counter plan.
 or plan to drones - he's not required to submit a counter plan.

Did not spend enough time on neg case; refuting it. Dropped some key points.

Katie - Very good speaker, impressive speaking ability.
 Good crossfire questions.

REF - Neg did a better job supporting that there is more deaths in warfare than drones. Also, Neg claimed Sanctions are working in Iran. Neg was more prepared for debate. FYI?
 Neg needs to be careful when telling opponent they need an alternative to current policy. No plans required in Public Forum.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Evergreen AIGi	POINTS	RANKS
	Himani Aligireddy	24	204
	Sahiti Gilela	25	203

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas HaDa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sahil Hansalia	26	2
	Akshat Das	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Team Milpitas
AFF OF NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sahil and Akshat have presented better points and in their arguments. They
Himani and Sahiti have keep repeated same points many times instead of coming up with better reasoning for countering opponents.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Harker JiXu	POINTS	RANKS
	Amy Jin	29	3
	Kevin Xu	29	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen ShSu	POINTS	RANKS
	Preska Sharma	25	2
	Chandra Suvarna	26	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Team Evergreen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Preska and Chandra Suvarna have better points. They have presented their case and arguments that support negative side.