

OPEN

Public forum

ROUND 3

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Harker ChCh	POINTS	RANKS
	Matthew Cheng	25	1
	Brandon Chow	25	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland MeMe	POINTS	RANKS
	Ann-Kathrin Merz	25	3
	Sebastian Merz	26	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Plants are not present~~

POS	Evergreen SoKi	POINTS	RANKS
	Sakshi Soti	26	4 1
	Deepti Kiran	25.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker LuTu	POINTS	RANKS
	Serena Lu	25.5	2 2
	Liza Turchinsky	25	2 4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Evergreen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Def
Drone attack, good #.
10/50
cyberattack

policy vs execution.
Pakistan not a mid east.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Milpitas ShSa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sumukh Shekar	28.7	4
	Vikram Sastry	29.1	1

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan MoMa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sohabe Mojaddidy	28.9	2
	Omkar Mahajan	28.7	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from MILPITAS SLS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Doug C. Mayo

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- BOTH TEAMS WERE VERY EQUALLY MATCHED
- AFFIRMATIVE TEAM WON THE ROUND BASED UPON
 - ISRAELI NON-COOPERATION ON SETTLEMENTS
 - IRAN EXPORTS TO THE SAUDI LEVEL
- ROUSING OF TERRORISM IN YEMEN.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM-CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis TaBr	POINTS	RANKS
	Shweta Tatkar	28.7	4
	Ryan Brobst	28.7	3

POS	MSJ JiZh	POINTS	RANKS
	Katie Jiang	21.9	2
	Alyssa Zhao	21.1	1

INC
2ND

The better debating was done by the ~~aff~~ ^{neg} from MSJ JiZh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEGATIVE TEAM HAD NUMERICAL EVIDENCE SHOWING TERRORISM WAS ON THE DECLINE
AFFIRMATIVE TEAM NEEDED TO DEVELOP THE PROBLEMS IN THE U.S. ISRAEL RELATIONSHIP
HOW IRANIAN OIL EXPORTS REQUIRE

~~POS~~

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	James Logan TuLe	POINTS	RANKS
	Mariah Turner	27	4
	Nathan Leal	27.8	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Presentation CaTr	POINTS	RANKS
	Nicole Callinan	28	1
	Juliena Tran	27	3

Sp. 03

The better debating was done by the NEG from CaTr.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: J Herdo Ori

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

A: ① proof is in details to defend on retain details
 N: ② us: caught on other language is impacted.

A: ① m. ---
 N: ② pointed out drug issue

A: solvent killed by bomber dose.
 N: 2% drop: no specific evidence.

A: 2% still high, strong opinion.
 N: more updated info.

A: provided # & proof, not claim.

A: Conclusion more updated info in NYT.

N: strong debate though not all accurate.

NE: detailed data

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	Lynbrook AsSa	POINTS	RANKS
	Gaurav Ashok 1st.	27	2
	Rahul Sabnis 2nd	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen ShSu	POINTS	RANKS
	Preska Sharma 2nd	26.7	4
	Chandra Suvarna 1st.	26.9	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Lynbrook
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1st N: clear points.
 A: define all definit: clearly. ok.
 N: answer Q. ... what. ✓
 A: an...
 N: def: down, ... ✓
 2nd: N: focus on. temsters, ignore others.
 A: Argue opponent. ... ok.
 N: citing info source, related to Obama policy.
 1st: N point ^{not} weakness of opponents, compared.
 A: repeat.

N answer: policy != policy.
 2nd A: ~~Good~~ Argue opponents.
 N:

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	St. Francis AkGa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Prtihvi Akella	29	1
1	Aakash Garg	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas PaSa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Pranay Patni	28	2
1	Satvir Saggi	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from St. Francis AkGa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Prtihvi: Your delivery was excellent. You were on top of your materials and had full eye contact. Well done.

Aakash: You were good but could relax a little. time to time, you were too aggressive.

Pranay: You were quick to respond and constructive. good job.

Satvir: I was hoping to see you more asseritive.

But overall, this was a good debate. I agreed with NEG side but because of the delivery, I have sided with AFF.

4
4
3 cross fire
4
4
3 CF
2 Sunny
2
3 Grad CF
2
2

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Plaintiffs are not present

POS	Saratoga KoCh	POINTS	RANKS
	1 Ajith Kosireddy	27	3
	2 Aditya Choudhary	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas LePu	POINTS	RANKS
	1 Miranda Le	29	1
	2 Jason Pugatch	28	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Milpitas LePu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

It was very difficult for me to weigh the arguments because you guys were all good.

Miranda: You were very constructive and well-prepared. Your delivery was good, too. Good job.

Jason: You were a good critic. Maybe next time, even the smallest evidence should be prepared.

Ajith: You were analytic but would like to have some more liveness when you address rather than reading.

Aditya: Your delivery was excellent. However, maybe you can be on top of your prepared materials to immediately counter respond.

The debate was equally good from both sides the result was based on the above points mainly.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Foothill FaHw	POINTS	RANKS
	Bryce Fan	29.7	1
	Arthur Hwang	29.7	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker JiXu	POINTS	RANKS
	Amy Jin	29.5	4
	Kevin Xu	29.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Foothill FaHw
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: W. [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirmative
B.
A.

The affirmative had better skill on the way to present their data, evidence, and supporting point. I voted affirmative since it gave stronger arguments, and have better answers to support their points.

negative
A.

The negative did good job on data preparation, analysis, and strong supporting points.

K.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga JaVa	POINTS	RANKS
	Ruchi Jain	27.7	3
	Bhavana Vadrevu	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Foothill HuZh	POINTS	RANKS
	Jeffrey Huai	27.8	2
	Jason Zhang	27	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Foothill HuZh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Affirmative did good job on the evidences and data preparative, good analysis, good arguments.

The negative had better ^{preparation} on the overall topic, more strong, more clear on arguments and supporting points. I voted negative.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	Diablo Valley ChPa	POINTS	RANKS
3	Annabel Chen	28	3
1	Emily Pang	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker KhXi	POINTS	RANKS
4	Avi Khemani	27	4
2	Justin Xie	28	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from DIABLO VALLEY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christina

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

EMILY: you are an excellent speaker. Brought up your contentions effectively.

Annabel: you are a good speaker. slow down your speed a little so you can get your point out w/o stuttering. strengthen contentions but overall good job.

Justin: excellent speaker! you should have added 1 more contention to make your side stronger.

Avi: Good speaker. Flow better! If you do that, you'll be great. Good job strengthening your contentions.

Reason for decision: AFF won the case. Neg had dropped opponents 3rd contention causing them to lose their mind. Close debate though!

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	Saratoga KeLi	POINTS	RANKS
1	Parth Kejriwal	27	4
3	Justin Liu	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Mountain View GGVA	POINTS	RANKS
2	Ben Gardner-Gill	29	1
4	Ashwin Vaidynathan	28	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from MOUNTAIN VIEW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christina Truong

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Parth: work on your flow. strengthen your second contention. Good speaker

Ben: you are a good speaker, work on giving eye contact. Good job with your contentions & refutations.

Justin: slow down and talk a little louder. Need to strengthen your contentions. Overall, good speaker.

Ashwin: Also a good speaker. your flow could be a little better. your refutations helped your team.

Reason for decision: AFF ~~dropped~~ dropped NEG's first contention, therefore losing this round. NEG had the upper-hand w/ refutations and contentions.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

Current US Foreign policy in M/E undermines Nat. Sec.
 11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	Bishop O'Dowd VeKn	POINTS	RANKS
	Siena Vendlinski	27	4
	Laura Knox	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker Rathu	POINTS	RANKS
	Emaad Raghieb	28	3
	Joyce Huang	29	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Harker Rathu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature] Marc Gilbert (Leland parent)

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1st Laura	Siena	1st Joyce	Emaad
<p>Defn: Current = New US policy undermines Nat policy Middle East (incl. Pak + Afgan)</p> <p>Contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Syria - Need Military involvement is needed. 2) Iran - Economic Sanctions won't help. 3) Pakia - us does not see the effective + anti-American sent. ment <p>Counter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Syria - being allies, 2) Iran - Not so clear 3) Drones <p>+ sound questioning - Not so clear on closing summary</p>	<p>Defn: Nat Sec Greater Middle East incl. Pak + Afgan</p> <p>Contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Pakistan - Drones Done strikes are + necessary/effective 2) Sanctions are beneficial (Iran) + effective... Iran agreed to talk to us 3) Address region US Policy + a) Counter-terrorism/ Allies in Region b) Promote Democracy <p>Counter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Syria - we must protect US hardware 2) Iran - Iran has agreed to meet us + Atomic bomb destabilizes region <p>+ stands up well/ Poised under questioning. + on-target closing summary</p>	<p>Counter - contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Syria - Don't start conflict without having future plan without military intervention help? 2) Iran/Sanctions - Iran w/ nuclear is bad 3) Pak/ Drone strikes - Any weekly terror strikes down - 2% kills are still effective deterrent <p>Counter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Iran - Appears mixed points. 2) Syria - general contentions but not specific point targeted. <p>+ Right on target w/ addressing contentions + Poised upon being questioned</p>	

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation WuYu	POINTS	RANKS
	Max Wu	29	1
	Victoria Yuan	28	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas HaDa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sahil Hansalia	28	3
	Akshat Das	28	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive Foundation WuYu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: [Signature] (Marc Gilbert)
 Leland parent

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF	NEG
Victoria	AKshat (nearest me)
<p>Defn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Foreign Policy - Undermine - Nat Sec <p>Contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Cyber warfare must be part of US Policy but it is not. 2) US does not address dirty bombs or biological warfare 3) Drone strikes undermine US security... *Al Qaeda has grown as a result. <p>Counter/Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - X Nuclear warfare could be carried - Definitions - Very targeted <p>- Speaks too fast + Paused responding to questions</p>	<p>Defn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Foreign Policy - Current = Current president - Middle East (other than Afgan + Pak) <p>Contention:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Strategy to encourage good behavior + good economies 2) Economic sanctions against Iran - Effective + good to prevent nuclear bombs. - Agreed to negotiations <p>Counter/Summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Undermine defn undermines opponents whole case. - cyber warfare - Biological/Nukes <p>Control:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Foreign policy focused on Reducity terrorism <p>- Speaks too fast + Good questions + Flaggy missing the current defn.</p>
<p>Max</p> <p>Counter/Contention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Definitions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Undermine = includes proccursion <p>Contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Encouraging countries not working most people still hate US 2) middle East countries + Terrorist orgs are undermining our national security (lack of specifics) <p>Counter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential threat must be considerable. - Harm a result of foreign policy (Bergszi) <p>+ sound responding to questions.</p>	<p>Sahil</p> <p>Counter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Defn: undermines + precurs <p>Contentions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Cyber warfare: - Don't worry, only US has the capability 2) 3) Drone strikes not tried to increase in terms + ethics. Drone warfare is effective. <p>Counter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cyber warfare - Nukes + Bio weapons - Drone strikes + Current sanctions empty. <p>- Reads too much vs speaks to points. + Targeted questions + Great question.</p>

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Contants are not present

POS	Mountain View ThSc	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kate Thomas	29.5	1
1	Ariane Schang	29	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Sacred Heart CaWh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Lucas Carter	29.1	2
1	Brian White	28.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Team
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was a very close debate. Both the team performed very well and were strong debaters. However, the Aff team were very detailed in their presentation of the ~~contentions~~ contentions. ~~and~~ In fact, during cross fire, few times the Neg team agreed with the Aff team. Hence the decision.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	LaReina leGe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Allegra lezza	29	2
2	Katie Gerbasi	29.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland KiZh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jessica Kim	28.5	3
2	Victoria Zhang	28.5	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from TEAM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Aff team were very energetic and performed better. Although the NEG team have provided evidences, they lack in presenting. The Aff team have more evidence to support their contention. She responded all the questions from the other teams. Hence the decision.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Evergreen AIGI	POINTS	RANKS
2	Himani Aligireddy ^{min 3.35}	27	1
1	Sahiti Gilela	25.1	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Bonita Vista ScMo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Aubrey Schafer	26	4
2	Matthew Moran	25	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from AIGI
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sahiti - Confident speaker. Good question.
 Himani - prep time 35 seconds - Speech - clear speech - good analysis

① 3.35 minute - 1.53 minute
 ② 3.38 minute - 2 minutes

Aubrey - Confident speaker. Hesitant in answer - Nervous speaker - 3.34 minutes - 2 minutes
 Matthew - prep time 23 seconds - 3.07 minutes, - 1.47 minutes

All of them had good eye contact
 Group Discussion -
 1 - 2 minutes
 2 - 3.46 minutes
 3 - 3 minutes
 #

Prep times were less than 30 seconds.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE ELIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	St. Marys CoSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jessica Cotta	27	1
2	Joey Salazar	25	2

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan LeCh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carol Lee	26	3
2	Jennifer Chen	26.2	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from CoSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Jessica - ^{Good analysis used gestures} clear speech - used notes - 3+ minutes ^①
 Joey - prep time 30 seconds - nervous speaker - 3 minutes | 2 minutes ^②
 Carol - ^{Good questions} clear speech - used notes - 4 minutes ^①
 Jennifer - prep time 30 seconds - clear speech - no notes - 4 minutes | 2 minutes ^②
 prep time 1.5 minutes

Questions -
 Rnd 1 - 2 minutes
 Rnd 2 - 2 minutes
 Rnd 3 - 3 minutes

All of them had good eye contact

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

SINGLE FLIGHT

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Milpitas TrLe	POINTS	RANKS
2nd	Bryan Tran	28	2
1st	Richard Le	23	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker AgKw	POINTS	RANKS
2nd	Neeraj Aggarwal	20	4
1st	Michael Kwan	28	1

The better debating was done by the 0 from Michael
AFF & NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Ping Li

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

middle east policy.

- 1. SE Aff. need to work on clear expression and talk louder
- 1 st neg have positive body language and clear peach.
- 2nd aff did good job on cross exam and very aggressive.
- 2nd neg need work on bod language, more firm on opion.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

SINGLE FLIGHT 11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker DhLa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Aditya Dhar	1	29
1	Alexander Lam	3	27.5

POS	Saratoga JaWa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Aurash Jalalian	28	2
1	Nelson Wang	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Harker
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kathie M. Kelbert

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A Clearly defined argument and contentions.
Good use of evidence.

Work on: Rebuttal skills
Rather than repeat same argument-re-phrase.

2A. Confident, articulate
Strong CX skills.

Work on: Provide correct evidence to opponent (checklist)

Decision

I vote affirmative as the Harker team presented the most compelling evidence and argument that Iran is more incentivized to build and deploy nuclear weapons due to economic & military policies currently in effect.
Well done to both teams

1N Well supported response to contentions

Work on: CX skills

2N Good thinking skills
Responded well to 2XC argument.

Work on: Anecdotal evidence is helpful, yet your case would have been strengthened with additional sources.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Bonita Vista HoDo	POINTS	RANKS
2	Soley Holt	28	1
1	Katie Downing	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen RaPu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Rafi Rahman	26.5	3
1	Akshar Puri	26	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Bonita Vista
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rajiv

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A. presentation clear
rebuttal not confident
summary concise

2A. presented well with
good points.
asked good questions
final focus compelling.

1N. presentation clear, but lacked confidence
question posed okay
summary good.

2N. presentation lacked confidence.
defended confidently
final focus disappointed.

I gave the win to Bonita Vista team because they presented their case with more confidence.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Leland ShCh		
2	Ye Sol Shin	28	1
1	Jeremy Chang	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	St. Marys MeMa		
1	Laura Becerra	27	3
2	Shareen Mann	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rajiv Bhateja

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 1A. - presentation compelling
- asked good rebuttal questions
 - responded well
 - strong summary

- 2A. - presentation good.
- strong rebuttal
 - argues point really well, gets on the offensive.

- 1N. - presentation concisely delivered.
- asked good questions in rebuttal.
 - defended views well.
 - strong response in summary.

- 2N. - good presentation made with strong support points and confidence.
- rattled in response

Leland gets the win because of the strong offensive stance that often caused the opponent to get rattled in response.