

OPEN
Public Forum
ROUND 2

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	St. Marys MeMa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Laura Becerra	28	1st
2	Shareen Mann	27	5th

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen ShSu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Preska Sharma	27	2nd
1	Chandra Suvarna	26	4th

The better debating was done by the AFF from st. Marys MeMa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kristen Chan-ona

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. Emotion : Laura and shareen have more emotion.
2. speaking speed : AFFs speak too fast and NEG's speak speed is good.
3. speaking clear : AFFs speak more clear.
4. responses : AFFs response more fast
AFFs are more agresive.
- 5.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Milpitas PaSa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Pranay Patni	27	3 rd
1	Satvir Saggi	28	1 st

over time

NEGATIVE

POS	Bonita Vista HoDo	POINTS	RANKS
2	Soley Holt	27.5	2 nd
1	Katie Downing	26.5	4 th

The better debating was done by the AFF from Milpitas PaSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kristen Chan ma

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. Satvir and Soley holt are more emotion.
2. satvir is more confident.
3. soley holt is more logic and explain clearly.
4. katie need more confident and should not move back^{work} and forward^{work}.
5. They are all responding quickly.

BHAT

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	POINTS	RANKS
Saratoga JaWa		
Aurash Jalafian	25	2
Nelson Wang	25	3

NEGATIVE

POS	POINTS	RANKS
LaReina leGe		
Allegra Iezza	22	3
Katie Gerbasi	26	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Saratoga JaWa.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: KOB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

While both teams ~~were~~ clearly articulated their Assertions, the 'AFF' team not only defended their assertions and negated two of the 'Neg' team's assertions, viz. 2-state/people in Israel and Reduction in overall Terrorism.

→ Excellent cross fire points by both teams.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Proponents are not present

POS	Lynbrook AsSa	POINTS	RANKS
	Gaurav Ashok	25	4
	Rahul Sabnis	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis AkGa	POINTS	RANKS
	Prthvi Akella	26	2
	Aakash Garg	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from St. Francis AkGa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: KOB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Excellent debates! Both teams made good assertions
 Aff team's assertions of (1) failed Libya strategy (2) Pakistan lack of cooperation was negated by the Con team by pointing out the lack of causality & definitions

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga KeLi	POINTS	RANKS
	Parth Kejriwal	27	1
	Justin Liu	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Bonita Vista ScMo	POINTS	RANKS
	Aubrey Schafer	24	3
	Matthew Moran	25	4

The better debating was done by the Affirmative from Saratoga
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Speakers did fairly well, but the negative had issues keeping track of its points and also stating facts correctly. There was little backing for its points re Egypt and Israel.

The affirmative ~~was~~ made well thought-out and well supported arguments. The negative failed to debunk the affirmative case.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467
Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Presentation CaTr	POINTS	RANKS
	² Nicole Callinan	27	2
	¹ Julienna Tran	27	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas HaDa	POINTS	RANKS
	² Sahil Hansalia	27	3
	¹ Akshat Das	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFFIRM. from Presentation CaTr
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Speakers all did very well - great oratory skills.
Debate stayed on topic, but got a little derailed on dates
of evidence. In the end, the relevance of the evidence
was dropped. For instance, Iran receiving cash under
the table for oil was never rebutted - no attempt
was made to rebut.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	Sacred Heart CaWh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Lucas Carter	24	3
3	Brian White	25	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga JaVa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Ruchi Jain	28	1
4	Bhavana Vadrevu	27	2

The better debating was done by the

NEG
AFF OR NEG

from

Saratoga
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed:

Ilene Mylrea Bonita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sp#1. Statistic, works cited, facts & figures defines terms and listed countries. Used 1/2 speech time wisely. packed full of info. Q+A: lots of ums + uhs. Stumble on words in speech.
 Q+A. let opponent run crossfire
 Sp#1. great pt stating that with just one innocent dying in drone strike. Dont use the word stuff.

Sp#2. Outlined the Neg using facts figures and cites and cites together asking question. Q+A: gather thoughts when asking question too many "like" & "ums"
 Sp#4. Outlined + responded to AFF's case and restated & clarified Neg.
 Q+A. ~~Out point~~ On point during crossfire
 Sp#2. Crossfire. Great Q's and responses.

It came down to net benefits where the Neg was able to state, support and outline their case. It was during Grand crossfire ~~the the~~ that the Neg case was able to define their case clearly. The way they were able to outline, clarify and support. They remained true to their contentions, evidence & facts and used them throughout. For these reasons I found in favor of Neg

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Plants are not present

POS	Foothill FaHw	POINTS	RANKS
3	Bryce Fan	26	4
1	Arthur Hwang	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Mountain View GGVa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Ben Gardner-Gill	28.5	1
4	Ashwin Vaidynathan	28	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Mountain View
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Deen Mylrea Bonita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sp#1 freedom vs policy impacts
 Military = ↑ harm cost drone strikes
 nuclear should not spend more
 abroad Need to protect our embassy
 Xfire: promoting arms race by being
 in Middle East
 Sp#2 P/B on Natl Sec
 #3 Cost benefit analysis - too hard to
 manage - need to become self reliant
 drone strikes killing civilians. outweigh
 benefits
 Sp#1 addressed definition -
 XFQA - debating terms and not
 clarifying case.

Sp#2: democracy in several countries
 past/present policy. Targeted killings
 it is improving Natl Security
 Xfire: Q has much are we spending?
 #4 P, A and/or E are not in defn of ME.
 Yemen 2010-12 713 terrorists great success rate
 support by govt of Yemen Natl Sec benefits
 Cost benefit analysis = killing terrorist which
 ↑ Natl Sec. Ambassador killed.
 if we take out terrorist take out mechanism
 of recruitment.
 XFQA. No attacks since 2001 so our FP
 must be working and Natl Security.
 CIA controls Natl Security and defines ME
 § PAIE.

Reason for decision:
 • use of current stats. Yemen
 • Definition of ME. Although the spirit of the definition
 vs the strict definition could be debated.
 • It is the fact that there has not been a successful attack
 on American soil, targeted killing of terrorists suspends
 recruitment -
 • For these reasons I vote in favor of the Neg

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ contestants are not present


POS	Team Name	POINTS	RANKS
2	Olive Foundation YuAg	27.5	1
1	Kunal Agarwal	26.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Team Name	POINTS	RANKS
2	Milpitas LuAd	27	2
1	Amal Mulaomenvic	26	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE ELIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE starts are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Team	POINTS	RANKS
1	Carlmont NiXi		
1	Vincent Nip	28	1
2	James Xie	25	4

POS	Team	POINTS	RANKS
1	MSJ BhHu		
2	Uma Bhatia	26	3
1	Charshu Hurria	27	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Carlmont
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

olive

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	Leland MeMe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ann-Kathrin Merz	28	4
2	Sebastian Merz	28.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ JiZh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Katie Jiang	29	21
2	Alyssa Zhao	29	22

The better debating was done by the Neg from MSJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Andrew Amaranto

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- I was persuaded by the neg's RAND definition which proves terrorists and countries might have intent, but no capability
- opp cost wasn't clearly impacted to show how it fits RAND's def of threats
- ME policy awards war

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Harker JiXu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Amy Jin	27	3
2	Kevin Xu	27	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga KoCh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Ajith Kosireddy	25	2
2	Aditya Choudhary	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Saratoga
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Aff didnt properly explain how cyber attacks were helpful
- Negative proved Iran sanctions work
- benefits outweigh

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Leland ShCh		
2	Ye Sol Shin	29	1
1	Jeremy Chang	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Harker AgKw		
2	Neeraj Aggarwal	25	4
1	Michael Kwan	26	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Subramanian

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff
 ISI, Iran, mobilizing Hezbollah,
 ↑ terrorism
 - good q's on ISI + Iran as a threat to US security
 - Criticisms of drone attacks
 - Failure of military intervention
 - Ye Sol: Very confident because you let the evidence take charge!
 → Drone strike aftermath in Yemen near egg - valid.
 - Jeremy confident speaker, great clarity. Don't let the ambient noise distract you.
 - Ye Sol: excellent clock management.

Did not counter the contentions } Neg } Good q's on alternative to drone strikes
 Partnership - foreign engagement, OBL & pulling US troops out, leading in MG peace.
 → Response to the ISI was inaccurate.
 → Iran is a threat to Israel
 Both speakers: Work on confidence by improving your evidence esp. during crossfire. (Picked up steam during grandcrossfire!)
 Michael: You are a good speaker!
 Neeraj: Work on eye contact
 - Good mention of computer virus; make sure you are confident of its validity.
 - Should have asked for evidence for ↑ in terrorists due to mili. engage
 - Killing of OBL: how is it foreign engagement

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight A is forfeited

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
2	Siena Vendlinski	27	2
1	Laura Knox	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
2	Serena Lu	26	4
1	Liza Turchinsky	27	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bishop O'Dowd
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Subramanian

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff
 Cont: Syria, eco's sanctions in Iran & drone attacks - not effective.
 No need to spend so much time on definitions. Focus on contentions how it supports the resolution.
 Laura: Polite, but assertive
 Siena: Confident & eloquent speaker. Avoid air quotes too often.
 Libya is not stable, but your opponent did not call you on it.
 An attack on US embassy in Benghazi is an attack on US soil.
 + clear team final focus.

Neg [Liza: Do not show disengagement via body language]
 Cont: Freedom movement democ ending wars (Iraq + Afghanistan) - Good evidence in opening speech.
 Liza: A subdued, but confident speaking style! Don't show panic when opponent is speaking.
 How does moral high ground ensure national security? → should have elaborated this.
 Serena: Project your voice!
 Should have questioned the Aff on the Egypt evidence - Source??
 Serena: You had some valid counters, but make sure you are organized when presenting them.
~~Don't give your opponent a good counter~~
 Good counter in final focus.
 Aff vote because you did not call out on evidence -

A close debate!

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	James Logan TuLe		
2	Mariah Turner	27.85	2
1	Nathan Leal	27.9	1

winner

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Milpitas TrLe		
2	Bryan Tran	27.6	4
1	Richard Le	27.8	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from James Logan TuLe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Nathan: very comfortable presentation style - you slowed down after the opening argument and that was good. Very organized in your contentions & definitions organized at addressing opponents arguments & then supporting yours. well stated crossfire questions. work on supporting evidence each time.

Richard: slowing down will really help your delivery well organized case and current support data. you had three strong points: military bases, economic interest & iran sanctions. Good crossfire & you stayed focused.

mariah: you have excellent knowledge of data but try to ease up on your delivery. In an effort to get your point across, you can have an →

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Harker KhXi	POINTS	RANKS
	Avi Khemani	23	4
	Justin Xie	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen SoKi	POINTS	RANKS
	Sakshi Soti	23	3
	Deepti Kiran	26	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Harker
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Alfred Shen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Avi: with paper, fails goal. Not clear, weak answer, bad ex

Deepti: enough evidences, bit nervous, Egypt doesn't produce oil

Justin: Good attitude, but nervous.

Sakshi: mature, not prep well.

B

Nobili C
SCU

St Francis Tabr		
	Ta	Br
rank	4	3
pts	26	27

Leland Ki Zh

	last speaker ki	last speaker zh
rank	2	1
pts	27.5	28

The winner of this round is **Aff** from St. Francis
 This is meant to be a low point with
 Katie Johnson

Neg had better speakers but due to the criterion set in the 1st Aff speech -
 a way is needed to prove that US foreign policy is bad - Aff wins. Aff stated
 that any sort of violence towards other nations = more anti U.S. sentiment.
 Neg was not able to address this.

Aff

- good eye contact
- work on "ums"

Neg

- good eye contact
- nothing to improve upon other than maybe intonation and volume

- good Qs asked by both sides
- everything flowed great

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	FOOTHILL HUZH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Jeffrey Huai	28	2
1	Jason Zhang	29	1

POS	HARKER CHCH	POINTS	RANKS
2	Matthew Cheng	27	4
1	Brandon Chow	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Foothill
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Aff presented stronger contentions & research

- Confident, competent speakers

- Good command of topic, but slightly disorganized at times

- Great debate on both sides!

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Harker RaHu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Emaad Raghieb	27	2
1	Joyce Huang	27	1

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Marys CoSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jessica Cotta	26.5	4
2	Joey Salazar	27	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Harker
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: K. Walsh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was a tough one to judge - good & bad on both sides, but ultimately Aff demonstrated a broader, more authentic & comprehensive command of the topic. Negation too presented arguments based on "correlation vs. causation" so didn't win me over on that point.

Lindsay Nancey

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Plaintiffs are not present

POS	Evergreen SuBa	POINTS	RANKS
	Ranjani Subramani	29	1
	Nathan Bao	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas LePu	POINTS	RANKS
	Miranda Le	29	3
	Jason Pugatch	29	2

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Milpitas
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Nancy Lacey (Bishop O'Daniel)

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Very close debate
 Negative team provided more cohesive case, points that carried through - or struck with judge as unopposed significantly:
 - China processing 22% oil
 - foreign engagement
 - lack of weapons grade uranium

Both teams - great delivery, analysis & use of evidence.
 Both teams excellent job w/ case file -
 Neg. team slightly stronger w/ it file

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Mountain View ThSc	POINTS	RANKS
	Kate Thomas	27	3
	Ariane Schang	28	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas ShSa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sumukh Shekar	28	2
	Vikram Sastry	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Milpitas
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Nancy Lind Bishop Doral

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Good debate. Neg team did better job making concise, convincing arguments that flowed through case. Neg also did slightly stronger job in cross-fire.

All team got off on wrong foot including Pakistan f data in opening remarks even though they later ~~can~~ accepted Neg team definition & dropped that evidence.

All team needed more ^{quantitative} evidence for points made (as noted by Neg team).

De, Marty

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Evergreen AIGi	POINTS	RANKS
2	Himani Alligireddy	26	4
1	Sahiti Gilela	26	3

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan MoMa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sohabe Mojaddidy	30	1
1	Omkar Mahajan	29.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from JLHS
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

Neg

- Speaker 2 missed that Neg said Obama admin destabilized Al Qaeda.

- Aff's knowledge of the topic was very basic.

- Spend more time on CLASH, not defending your own case.

- Could have taken down their C2 easily.

- Cite the Yemen evidence please.

- Much more offense than Aff.

- Speaker #2 is a superstar!!

- Make sure you give them the card when they ask for it.

Room: Nobili A
Start: 12:30
Open Public Forum

2

~~Camacho, Erik~~

De, Marty

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Starts are not present

POS	MSJ MaSw	POINTS	RANKS
	Arshia Malkani		
	Subha Swamy		

NEGATIVE

POS	Harker DhLa	POINTS	RANKS
	Aditya Dhar		
	Alexander Lam		

The better debating was done by the NEG from Harker
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Forfeit

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Champion KhKh	POINTS	RANKS
	Sameer Khanna		
	Niloufar Khanna		

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen RaPu	POINTS	RANKS
	Rafi Rahman		
	Akshar Puri		

The better debating was done by the


NEG
AFF or NEG

from

Evergreen
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF did not show up @ 1:00 pm.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation WuYu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Max Wu	28	3
1	Victoria Yuan	27.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Diablo Valley ChPa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Annabel Chen	28.5	2
1	Emily Pang	28.5	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Diablo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The NEG team did a better job in both speech and crossfire. They spoke very clearly with solid evidences and successfully defended their claims in crossfire. They also focused on each opponent's points thoroughly.

The AFF team did not touch the "obsolete data" claim by the opponents.