

# SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Round should begin 20 minutes after you give teams the topic

### AFFIRMATIVE

	Leland WZ	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Ashton Wu	29	1
2	Clifford Zhang	27	3

### NEGATIVE

POS	Wash AA	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jamie Avery	28	2
2	Stephanie Andrade	26	4

The better debating was done by the NEG from Wash AA  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: 

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

USFG should significantly increase security on its Middle Eastern embassies.

WU - clean points, good timing, good intro

Avery - slow down, put your hair up so that it gets out of the way, good point about negative of adding more military,

Zhang - work on flow brought forth a whole new plan, nothing was mentioned in the first speech about 500 UN troops.

Andrade - good questions for your POI, focus on clarity, connect your examples with your contentions, keep in flow

Avery - again really fast, just focus on a few voters and that will be enough

WU - ~~working~~ on creating better rebuttals faster, good conclusion

Both teams did a good job focusing their attention on net benefits. While the negation has a better grasp on their facts, the affirmative maintained more clarity and better flow. Choosing this, and looking at the overall case, the opposition convinced me that extra troops are bad.