

~~Notice~~

Notice

Public Forum

ROUND 3

part 2

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE wants are not present

POS	James Logan ShGi	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kunal Sharma	27.4	1
1	Gagandeep Gill	27.3	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Eastside Prep CaVe	POINTS	RANKS
2	Raven Campbell	27.1	4
1	Jaqueline Velazquez	27.2	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from James Logan ShGi
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Shreya Vaughn

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Gagandeep - organized delivery and cited facts + sources
- try to know the information well enough to look up from your work.

Please work on your questions - they were not clear to me and then when you answered the opponents question - I didn't see proof.

→ your speaking voice & pace is excellent.

more practice will help.

try to not interrupt your partner while he is speaking.

Jacqueline - speaking slower will help you annunciate words. you were clear on your 3 concessions

but I think you "muddled" up the info. stay focused and stick to a few arguments.

I liked your eye contact. & good question

but you need to follow up to really make

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467
Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE

POS	Evergreen ChVe	POINTS	RANKS
	Asmita Choppa	28	1
	Deepshika Vellaboyina	27.6	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Norte Dame BaSe	POINTS	RANKS
	Snigdha Banda	27.8	2
	Harveen Sekhon	27.7	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Evergreen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I voted Aff because they are well-prepared. Points of view are supported by research data. Did better on Crossfire. Presentation skills of both teams are good. Talking speed, voice tone, eye-contact & hand gestures are good. It is a very close call. The neg team made a big mistake by giving the year which Bin-Laden was killed wrong. Couple of ~~poor~~ crossfire questions are not relevant to the topic. Interrupted the other team too ~~aggressively~~ aggressively.

Room: Benson 1A
Start: 4:00
Novice Public Forum

3

McNamara, Peter MvHs
~~Nip, Kenneth~~

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Plants are not present~~

POS	Leland GoMu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sathvik Gowda	26	3
3	Madhukar Muralidhara	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Eastside Prep AcAF	POINTS	RANKS
2	Alex Aceves	26	4
4	Jamie Ayon-Facundo	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Eastside Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: PA S M

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEG demonstrated stronger use of evidence + clarity of argument.

Both sides got side tracked w/ definition arguments.

Also, the argument about "present" v. "future" security went on a long time w/out helping either side.

AFF was weak in lack of evidence that drone strikes result in creation of new terrorists.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	MSJ ChYu	POINTS	RANKS
1	Hansen Chen	22	4
2	William Yue	23	3

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan AhPa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Wahab Ahmady	27	1
2	Edgar Partida	26	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from James Logan AhPa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Jummu Self

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: (Debaters: Look at Judge during crossfire)

Hansen - Do not chew gum during speech.
The smacking was very distracting from what you were saying.

Wahab - Clear speaker. Work on eye contact.
Good cross fire questions.

William - Dropped Arab Spring argument.
No gum

Edgar - Did not use all of 4 minutes & did not cover drones effectiveness very well. In 4 min speech. Good answers in cross fire. about drones acting as a deterrent

RFP:
They argued our sanctions are working in Iran to prevent Nukes. Even with AFF arguing drones, they argued 2% effective against HIGH LEVEL terrorist suspects. Maybe it's questionable. How many are still terrorists ??? AFF Failed to show how terrorists had capability of striking against us - currently because all they argued was Nukes. They did not argue that other attacks could be used like in 9/11.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	Leland CuHe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kyle Cui	27.5	1
2	Ali-Asghar Heera	27.3	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation WeSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jennifer Wei	26.7	4
2	Rohan Saxena	27.0	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

P1 clear concise unable to prove, broke ref. to just powerfull
P2 persuasive READ from comp, but still maintain eye cont.
P1 sum good counterpoint
P2 final
N1 good references
N2 monopolizes time
P1 sum unable to back up assertions
P2 final

Affirmative group powerful speakers, Careful able to counterpoint quickly.
 Neg group quick to accuse but could not back up assertions.
 Debate goes to Affirm

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Bonita Vista LH	POINTS	RANKS
1st	Kathleen Lindstrom	26.5	3
2nd	Segan Helle	28.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation KoSi	POINTS	RANKS
1st	Shayna Kothari	26	4
2nd	Chhavi Singal	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bonita Vista LH.

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff:
 -Contentions:
 #1, U.S. middle east policy is economically irresponsible;
 #2, U.S. middle east policy is ineffective and inefficient

neg:
 -Contentions:
 #: U.S. middle east policy helps economic development and peace process;
 #: securing boundaries to reduce conflicts
 #: better images for U.S. and better educations to reduce terrorism

The Aff team is more effective in their presentation and rebuttal. They seemed to ~~have~~ done a great deal of research and prepared a lot of evidences. However, they could repharase their contention #1 to reduce confusion, and effective

Segan is a very good ~~speaker~~ speaker.

Both teams did a ~~po~~ wonderful job and were very respectful. But it would help if more eye contacts are made. Also, try not to read from the paper.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

SINGLE
FLIGHT

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation JiDa	POINTS	RANKS
	Eric Jiang	18 ²⁴	3
	Anirban Datta	16 ²²	4

POS	MSJ ChKu	POINTS	RANKS
	Chelton Cheung	22 ²⁸	1
	Ankit Kuchhangi	20 ²⁶	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from MSJ ChKu.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: KOB

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

the 'AFF' team never proved their contentions. They ~~not~~ did not understand the contentions made by the 'NEG' team.

In contrast the 'NEG' team successfully disproved the 'AFF' team's assertions.

For a Novice debate this was a good start.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	MSJ KuLo	POINTS	RANKS
	Lucas Kuo	25.9	3
	Darien Lo	28.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas/McBo	POINTS	RANKS
	Connor McGarry	26.9	2
	Lauren Bond	26.9	2

The better debating was done by the _____ from MSJ KuLo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Sassan Khojyari Leland

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Topic

Current U.S. Foreign Policy undermines our national security
 or Not

Both teams did excellent job in delivery, research and details to the information with sources.

Lucas needs to speak slower.

Darien was good listener and asked good follow up questions

Lauren was Patinate with good delivery to get attention

Connor was good speaker & voice levels, needs to look at the opponent when talking & not on the ground.

It was a very close debate
 both team did well

PT
 20-30
 25
 Rank
 ①-④ lowest
 Highest

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE ~~Starts~~ are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ MuSi	POINTS	RANKS
	Keerthana Muthukrishnan	26	3
	Ramiya Sivakumar	26	4

POS	Eastside Prep AIMA	POINTS	RANKS
	Brian Alas	27.5	1
	Ricardo Maldonado	27.4	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Eastside Eastside Prep AIMA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature] [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF :
- ① Unnecessary.
 - ② Financially
 - ③ Terrorism.
 - ④ massive destruction.

- Neg.
- ① Iran, sanction, split Iran political
 - ② nuclear threaten control.
 - ③ War damage ^{from} economically.

Cross exam.

Neg team has good contention supporting evidence and direct to the point when doing cross exam.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	James Logan StMa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Kenny Stevenson	24.9	4
1	Sahil Markanday	25.4	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation MuVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Vik Avantsa	25.2	3
2	Teja Veeramacheni	25.7	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Stephen Chow

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: ① more support at Iraq.
 ② Dron replace workers.
 ③ jobs are not too clear.

2A: ① Farmers ?? not mentioned.
 ② made statements, not enough support.

1N: ① argue about undermining.
 ② argue about points not made
 ③ only argue about us being attacked
 ④ argue about jobs.

2N: ① iran over iraq, not mentioned by AFF.
 ② economic not security -> wrong.
 ③

I vote for NEG because AFF defined the national security, but never made their points around their definitions. Their points are not related to their definitions. AFF also brought up points not mentioned earlier.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Evergreen VePo	POINTS	RANKS
	Shreya Venkatesan	27.5	5
	Anurima Polisetty	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga TrVe	POINTS	RANKS
	Oksana Trifonova	26.8	4
	Divya Veerapaneni	27.6	2

The better debating was done by the Aff from Anurima Polisetty
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 1. Good start, sets good parameters, lots of example that very good clarity, excellent time management good address and rebuttal w/ evidence, constant pressure.

Aff 2. Very clear and strong speaker, some pauses provides evidence and articulates w/ speech. need to elaborate on these middle east's major excellent turn of tide in debating military purpose

Aff. strong support and summary while making strong point.

Sometimes smother their own flames and draws opponents' attacks.
 lots of wasted time.

Neg 1: excellent facts and clarity but needs to be let stick to own facts. strong finishes, refers to the topics brought by Aff side. provides support and evidence to back up

Neg 2: Very clear information shows but handles rebuttals well. Good rebuttal as well as strengthen position on Drone strikes. poor management on data.

Neg 1: excellent excellent management of data. powerful last round and summary

Overall: A very exciting debate and very hard decision. The evidences on both sides were well prepared but time management and skill has to fall over to the ~~top~~ Aff side. Using this, they got more points in and was able to address more problems as well as keeping up the pressure.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Starts are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis AdHo	POINTS	RANKS
(13)	Sudeep Aditham	25	4
(1)	Ryan Hoque	25	3

POS	Evergreen CoKu	POINTS	RANKS
(1)	Bukre Coskun	27	1
(2)	Priyanka Kulshreshtha	26	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Evergreen &
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: -

Signed: Edg. V. Lal

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- AFF essentially read from notes and not argumentative.
- 2% - 85% argumet were a wash due to evidence conflict.
- AFF was confused between Libya / Syria.
- AFF initial reading was so fast that the contentions were not clear.

Room: Benson 1H
Start: 4:00
Novice Public Forum

3

~~KENNETH~~ Jin, Shu ^{NY}

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	Team	POINTS	RANKS	POS	Team	POINTS	RANKS
	Saratoga MaCh				Presentation DHEJ		
	Kabir Manghnani	25.8 26.0	4		Shwena Dhar	26.7	2
	Aditya Chaudhary	27.0	1		Amy Elzarka	26.3	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Presentation DHEJ
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Kabir - did not fuel / keep track of time -

Shwena - good pace - good delivery, finish on the

Aditya - relax, good pace

Amy - speak a bit fast show ~~the~~ slow down

everyone has good Body Posture and Eye Contact

Room: Casa 8
Start: 4:00
Novice Public Forum

3

Masood, Shamsa

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Milpitas LuAd	POINTS	RANKS
	Huishen Lu	27.5	2
	Amal Mulaomenvic	27.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Marys CILo	POINTS	RANKS
	Christopher Clark	28.1	1
	Ashley Longmire	25	4

The better debating was done by the Neg from Christopher
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Mallek Aallah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Chris~~ I liked the way both the teams defined the terms of the prompt. The Affirmation team ~~did~~ was good at crossfires however Christopher from the 'Neg' did better. Both the teams were very good at both speed and cross fires.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation KoMe	POINTS	RANKS
3	Rohan Kota	26.0	2
1	Rohit Menon	26.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga Indep ShGu	POINTS	RANKS
4	Roland Shen	25.00	4
4	Samuel Guo	25.5	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive Foundation KoMe
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Pi O up*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION: AFF

- 1) unnecessary deaths - citizens anger terrorists
- 2) more fair - favor Israel; donating of pro Israel; more open
- 3) f.p. safer, fair; a) non intermittent Osama bin Laden; take oil, resources tension P

#1 codependence
 different beliefs military weapons
 stealing natural resources
 show no mercy
 show more evidence
 Iran Pakistani weapons
 attacks not successful
 points: fair;
 studying was not focused
 should do more research w/

NEG

- 1) diff beliefs; ^{disrespect} security for country
- 2) codependence; natural resources
- 3) military ^{1.5m Saudi Arabia} since 9/11; no mercy; no direct attacks

#2 fair - against Israel
 #4 unnecessary deaths
 - illogical fair - attack us not give of
 - no direct attack since 9/11
 - change foreign policy invalid
 show evidence
 because of codependence not undermine
 no direct attack since 9/11
 different beliefs
 A vague and invalid
 good rebuttal on stealing but should show other countries