

Novice

Public

Forum

ROUND 3

Part I

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Young Gen MeBa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sasha Menezes	28.1	2
2	Shreya Barma	27.9	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation GoSh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Anirudh Gottiparthi	28	3
2	Anshul Shah	28.2	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Olive Foundation
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ileen Milrea

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sasha - good eye contact & clear emphasis on your key points. Good use of a civilian story. Excellent questions at crossfire. Good responses & thoughtful answers

shreya - Passionate delivery. Excellent rebuttal to the Neg. but I was looking for more proof. you are very persistent and don't back down.

Anirudh - nice delivery of information try to slow down. good proof. thoughtful answers at crossfire

Anshul: Excellent understanding of topic Great proofs & explanation. good delivery. Good ability to

Room: Benson 1F
Start: 4:00
Novice Public Forum

3

Nguyen, Joseph

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS		POINTS	RANKS
	Carlmont NiXi		
	Vincent Nip		
	James Xie		

NEGATIVE

POS		POINTS	RANKS
	Young Gen BaBh		
	Nidhi Bangari		
	Nilabh Bhat		

The better debating was done by the _____ from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NO SHOW

*hand written
ballot
by
Estes*

Room: Benson 1A
Start: 4:00
Novice Public Forum

3

McNamara, Peter MVHS
~~Nip, Kenneth~~

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation KaSa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Sahil Kapoor	27	1
2	Anish Sangari	25	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen ChKo	POINTS	RANKS
1	Divya Chandrupatla	26	3
2	Meghana Kolli	26	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Olive
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF demonstrated greater logic, reasoning, use of evidence, and clarity in presenting their case.

NEG could have benefited from citing more evidence to support how Arab Spring is helping w/US Nat'l security. Good ^{counter-}argument that drone strikes may be better than war.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	Harker BhBh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Chethan Bhateja	24	4
2	Jaydev Bhateja	24	3

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan LaPa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Jennifer Lam	25	1
2	Emily Pan	25	2

The better debating was done by the

NEG
AFF or NEG

from

James Logan LaPa
TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

Jammie Self

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION

Stand up when speaking in debate * - to all debaters

Chethan - Abrupt ending to 4 min speech.

Yahoo.com, probably not a good source to use.
Look at judge during cross fire

Jennifer - Good delivery of speech clear speaker.
Look at judge during cross fire, not opponent.

Jaydev - Choppy speaker. Did not cover neg case - dropped cont 2 + 3. Spent too much time on your own case & not enough attacking opponents case.

Emily - Good job covering AFF case.

RFD: AFF dropped Negs 2nd & 3rd contentions until FF and tried to refute which doesn't work. Drones were big issue! Neg argued they save our soldiers lives & that is priority to us. AFF did not seem to adequately argue that we were killing mass amounts of civilians in other countries with drones. If AFF had evidence & pushed mass casualties in other countries I might have voted AFF

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

BONITA VISTA

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Affirmative contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga SeMa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Vibha Seshadri	27.0	4
2	Nupur Maheshwari	27.2	3

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis SeKa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Aksheya Sekharan	28.0	1
2	Anjini Karthik	27.3	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from St Francis
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

P1 clear articulate → N1 Powerful
 P2 clear → N2 good counterpoints
 P1 speed counter-attack ← N1 weak assertions
 P2 A → N2 good final

Two good teams, Each was able to counterpoint each other assertions However Negative team was able to pull out a win due to their powerful arguments

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debaters are not present

POS	Milpitas KoSh	POINTS	RANKS
1st	Alefa Kothambawala	28	1
2nd	Karen Shyu	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga LiNg	POINTS	RANKS
1st	Alex Li	26	3
2nd	Ethan Ngai	26	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Milpitas
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: No

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff:
Contentions:
#1: Iran is close to producing nuclear weapon;
#2: Democracy movements adversely affect U.S. interests;
#3: support of Israel undermines U.S. relationships with muslim countries;
#4: attacks in Yemen & intensified anti-U.S. sentiments

Neg:
Contentions:
#1: good terms with oil producing countries; foster economic military stability;
#2: no sizable attacks in U.S. homeland since 9/11;
#3: democracy in middle east.

The Aff team was more persuasive in their arguments. They seemed to be better prepared with their contentions and crossfires. Also, they are more effective in rebuttal evidences to support.

The Neg team also did a decent job. They had a couple good points, but they need to ~~have~~ supply more evidences, to maybe a more preparatims will help.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ contestants are not present

POS	Olive Foundation AdCh	POINTS	RANKS
	Vineet Advani	26.9	1
	Robert Chen	25.1	2

NEG

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ AcJa	POINTS	RANKS
	Aditya Acharya	23.5	4
	Amit Januar	24.8	3

↓

Ⓛ

AFF
NEG

AFF.

The better debating was done by the NEG from Advani + Chen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature] Lehand

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~Comments~~
 Olive Team (Vineet) was able to ask pointed questions w/ not answer from MSJ AcJa Team. Advani + Chen had better questions and were more prepared by data. Acharya didn't leverage all of his time allowed or able to answer questions + in some cases agreed w/ other team with their argument. Both teams had good eye contact and level of voice & they had good research and information collected. Olive Team was able to remember the information & use them when needed. Advani; needs to talk slower & with less movements.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Affirmative contestants are not present

POS	Bishop O'Dowd YaVa	POINTS	RANKS
	Evin Yang	27.4	3
	Tommy Vaughan	27.5	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Mountain View MyLi	POINTS	RANKS
	Cameron Myers	27.5	2
	Kevin Li	27.3	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Bishop O'Dowd YaVa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~NEG~~ AFF
 ① Financially
 ② - attract more terrorism.

NEG
 ① - no terrorism attack since 9/11
 ② - benefit from Israel & US.

Both teams are very close, but AFF team contention is more convinced.

Novice.

Public Forum
NOVICE

ESTES TROY

AFF

CARIMONT

- 1. Vincent WIP SP: 28
- 2. James xie SP: 28

NEG

Young Genius

- SP: 28 1. Nilabh BHA
- SP: 28 2. Nidhi Bhatnagar

Team I voted for AFF FROM CARIMONT

BFD

CORAL

[Large handwritten scribble]

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Debatants are not present

POS	Saratoga RaCe	POINTS	RANKS
2	Sweeya Raj	27.8	3
1	Michelle Cen	28.3	1

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ DoVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Abhi Doddi	27.5	4
2	Anusha Venkatesan	28.1	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Saratoga RaCe.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Step Chow

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: ① Iran sanctions - not working.
 ② Iran cuts American.
 ② ~~③~~ Drone not working.
 ③ military spending too high.
 ④

2A: ① restate the points
 Euro & Japan

① economic security (oil).
 1N: ② spend too much time on domestic
 ③
 2N: ① sanctions is working!
 ② Drone is working.
 ③ Rebuild ptr - to - ptr.
 ④ say thing not true.

I vote for the AFF team because the NEG team doesn't have clear evidence to support their rebuttal. They also stated things that are not true. (The NEG team stated that the AFF team said the nuclear weapon is not working. But, the AFF team never made such statement.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Plaintiffs are not present


POS	Evergreen KaPu	POINTS	RANKS
	Harika Kalluri ₂	27.8	2
	Neha Pusarla ₁	27.2	4

NEGATIVE

POS	MSH AiSo	POINTS	RANKS
	Abitha/Aishwarya ₁	28.3	1
	Ahsas Sood ₂	27.5	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Abitha Aishwarya
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 1. Strong start and use of Definition. good clarity good stating of the parameters, good time management very clear evidence and elaboration of facts/sources - oops mess up on the crossfire questioning

Aff 2. Some studdering in speech but loud and clear. Data management - a little messy. Good address to opponent's contentions. Amplify and strengthens other claims and self contentions. - Clear questions, strong attacks and very direct

very good on the address of Q from crossfire but need to strengthen these claims. - Strong solid question with evidence and support. - Very strong use of opponent's negligence on 2nd contention bring it back up again in the end. Strong stand.

Neg 1. Very clear opening w/ definition and frame. excellent tie of arguments. provides solid Qs. Nice solid evidence, very strong. Good time management - good counter arguments!

Neg 2. a little rushed, strong speakers provides good coverage to the Neg side. strong rebuttal to opponent's claims good time management.

- Clear rebuttal/statements to address questions by the opponent.

1. Very clearly creates their position. rebrew the parameters on their terms, "purpose" again re-emphasize points, Good solid question involve around same "fact" - Some trip ups here there,

Overall: excellent and exciting debates, really a toss up between the two teams. Both sides provided excellent arguments and evidence and both sides provided excellent counter arguments. But the specifics of the Neg team and the clarity and readiness and response of Neg team won the toss. They wasted very little time in rebuttals and information gathering. And provided enough evidence on their own to make more arguments for themselves.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	MSJ ChTa	POINTS	RANKS
①	Jacinta Chang	28	1
②	Andrea Tam	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation WaQi	POINTS	RANKS
②	Angela Wang	25	4
④	Michelle Qin	26	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from MSJ
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: no

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- Lack of evidence by neg team for several question.
- All three crossfire was effectively argued by AFF team.

Targetting Killing 1/30% / 2% were a wash due to conflicting evidence.

Sanction of Iran -> not effective Nuke deterrent -> undermines security

This point was not effectively refuted by Neg.
ICBM & ABM were good argument but lack of evidence hurt.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Debatants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	James Logan NgTa		
	Ann Nguyen	23.7	4
	Shivani Talnikar	24.2	3

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	MSJ BhHu		
	Uma Bhatia	25.2	2!
	Charshu Hurria	26.	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from MSJ BhHu
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- shivani - eye contact - too much reading. did not finish. the case may have been well stated but data was not "current" and
 - charshu - eye contact - too much reading ran over! too.
 - ann - we have lots time, can give more points and facts to refute. More energy and confidence when speaking. became more comfortable during cross.
 - UMA - did not re-affirm her team's own position. became more comfortable during cross
- Both team seemed a bit tired and lacked energy.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Milpitas VyKh	POINTS	RANKS
	Rutu Vyas	24.1	4
	Ruby Khan	25.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation YuAg	POINTS	RANKS
	Albert Yu	28.1	1
	Kunal Agarwal	26.1	2

The better debating was done by the Neg from Albert Yu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Walter Bell

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- * Albert and Kunal had a good understanding of the subject.
- * Ruby & Rutu from the Affirmative team were good & persisted with arguing the point but their opponent were better.
- * I liked Albert argue about intent vs capability

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Evergreen KaRa	POINTS	RANKS
1	Inderpal Kaur	28.0	1
3	Niharika Rane	26.8	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas ShSu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Grace Shau	27.0	3
4	Misbah Surani	27.5	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Milpitas ShSu
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: BT

Signed: R. O. A.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF
 definition - good geography; Obama adm.
 1) emboldens no adversaries
 Iran - no treaty to not nuclear
 2) Israel - anti America, Dubunk Egypt & anti America; fuel to Egypt don't cause terrorism/aggression
 3) drone strikes 32% - 2% ~~less~~ inferable Iran security 2
 2013 Iran occupy
 loose anti America
 bad Israel ally; Turkey & Egypt
 drone strikes; casualties; do not count women; children;
 old students; 300 to 700 to al Qaeda
 losing more ally not gaining
 Egypt not ally; losing ally
 - Israel civilian death deaths not like US govt - gov terrorists
 - increase in membership of terrorists
 sanctions not working
 prop up dictators - Dr. Mubarak endemic
 citizens rights anti US sentiment
 increasing capabilities 300-700; capability weapons
 innocent people
 money hurting
 sanctions not working
 very good research
 Bengali

NEG
 - definition - good
 - benefit even cost benefit development
 many alliances join
 2) forward engagement - secure military forces
 3) drone attacks support - 8% ↓ weakening terror
 4) Al Qaeda on decline diminished 65% ↓ 35% 0% attacks
 * terror lowering 20% decline
 1) sanctions help slow down Iran's occupy our people first priority
 2) Israel - important info; relationship strengthen
 3) Treaty of Israel & Palestine resources & capability Egypt not enough to hurt us
 - anti American sentiment - free trade improv wealth; less war; loan borrow
 Syria - no war
 drone attacks working - definition - capability/resources - strong own on people's life
 - sanction - slowing down
 - Israel not bad ally; information
 * benefits outweigh cost
 - killing civilians not a purpose
 essentially in quality not in quantity
 very good research