

Novice

Public

Forum

Rd 2

~~Flight B~~

Part 2

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE debaters are not present

POS	Eastside Prep AcAF	POINTS	RANKS
	Alex Aceves	28	2
	Jamie Ayon-Facundo	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan LaPa	POINTS	RANKS
	Jennifer Lam	27	3
	Emily Pan	26	4

The better debating was done by the Aff from Eastside Prep
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ad Kelly

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A & 2A - Excellent Communication Skills.

All the contentions were presented well and supported by evidence

Answered all questions posed by Neg.

1N - Good Communication & Speaking Skill

2N - Need to speak louder

Your arguments were well supported.

Your counter arguments were weak. Contention John Strike was not well defended.

RFD: I voted affirmative because they had all the evidence to support their contentions. They counter argued about ~~the~~ negative contention and presented evidence. They answered all the questions posed by Negative. They supported NATO policy and effects it has in middle east.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Evergreen CoKu	POINTS	RANKS
	Bukre Coskun	28	2
	Priyanka Kulshreshtha	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas KoSh	POINTS	RANKS
	Alefa Kothambawala	29	1
	Karen Shyu	27	4

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Milpitas KoSh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff.
 (B) Net Benefits - 'leading from behind' - good start.
 connect the pt. of Obama being / not being nilder to your convention.

(P) Good connection of democracy & not being interdependant.
 Good rebuttal responses.

Neg.
 (A) Defn - not incl. Libya - good start.
 Good facts.
 Confident speaking style.
 Good eye contact.
 Good pt on bringing it back to 'terrorism in USA'.
 It has been testified by Petraeus that attack in Beng. was terrorist act & not able to a video alone.

(K) Democracies never gone to war???
 When you call CNN's graph 'controversial', then you weaken your own point. Good point on no, new wars in Iran & Syria.
 Good response to rebuttal (national security)

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga Indep ShGu	POINTS	RANKS
	Roland Shen	24	3
	Samuel Guo	23.5	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation WaQi	POINTS	RANKS
	Angela Wang	27	2
	Michelle Qin	27.2	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Michelle Qin
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff: 1A Weakened foreign policy, many enemies in past, ammunition

Defense spending spread thin

-repetitive, nervous

NY time - ambassador killed. (incapable of protect) nuclear weapon. - Iran

- 2A Feelings are not facts, Good summary/wrap
Poor management of data/rebuttal

Did not tie back with first speaker.

No detail evidence to back up.

Find Definition of key terms (war, us-soil, etc.)

Overall: I feel and support the negative side after hearing heard the debate. They have strong solid evidence and numbers that support their claims. The affirmative side however were not as prepared on that subject and continued to go around on their points with no real support. However the two teams both did a good and interesting debate, just one team showed more preparation than the other.

IN
Coh: Strong start with rebuttal
take out leaders, success rate 80% +
Strong defense, detailed information.
Strong linepower.
Strong detail definition of threat
Clear intentions

2N- Clear speaking, strong start
Strong solid facts that even their opponents did not state.
Strong link back to first speaker.
Caught boners in the past
Strong solid starts.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Saratoga MaCh	POINTS	RANKS
	Kabir Manghnani	24	3
	Aditya Chaudhary	23	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Eastside Prep AlMa	POINTS	RANKS
	Brian Alas	25	2
	Ricardo Maldonado	28	1

The better debating was done by the Neg from Ricardo Maldonado
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: William Lullie

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Team 'Negative' was very good during cross-fire times & quick to give responses to the questions from the Affirmative team.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	MSJ DoVe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Abhi Doddi	26.7	3
2	Anusha Venkatesan	27.8	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen KaPu	POINTS	RANKS
2	Harika Kalluri	27.3	2
1	Neha Pusarla	26.5	4

The better debating was done by the ASJ from MSJ

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

P₁ forceful
 32% Partl. Rate >

P₂ clear, well doc.

P_{1s}

P₂ very forceful

x fine →

x fine →

x fine →

N₁ well documented, clear

N₂ clear, hesitant forceful

N_{1s} Great documentation! but hesitant, unsure

N_{2F} positive

27

Both teams were very persuasive
 Neg team had better documentation
 Pos team had better arguments & presentation
 Pos team gets the nod

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Young Gen BaBh	POINTS	RANKS
1	Nidhi Bangari	27.5	1
2	Nilabh Bhat	27.1	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation AdCh	POINTS	RANKS
2	Vineet Advani	26.9	4
1	Robert Chen	27.4	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Young Gen BaBh
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Steph [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A: Defini. Mid-east, Drone, attack +
 B away from ally, C
 D
 E Time - over time

2A: 1 leg. president ptr -

1N: 1 Defini. tu, 1 Drone +.
 2 economic policy / freedom.
 Reconstr: +
 3 more overtur.
 New argument, more ↑ terrorist.

2N:

I vote for AFF because NEG didn't counter all the points made by AFF. NEG mostly focused on countering the drone attack. It missed other points of economic policy and US allies in the region pointed out by AFF team.

Time Middle East

68% 3/4

Summary

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	James Logan StMa		
	Kenny Stevenson	26.6	3
	Sahil Markanday	22	4

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Evergreen ChKo		
	Divya Chandrupatla	26.8	2
	Meghana Kolli	27.2	1

The better debating was done by the Neg. from Evergreen ChKo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: K. A. H.

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Sahil - stop playing with ~~pen~~. It was a distraction and shown disinterest in debate. Give up too easily on defense of your points on drone

Divya - speak too fast. opponent and judge had difficulty hearing

Kenny - good ~~at~~ speed, speak loudly and clearly. but best to slow down a bit to formulate sentence or thoughts before speaking. too many um...ah.

Meghana - speak loudly, good eye contact. maybe speak a bit slower.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Affirmative contestants are not present

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Leland GoMu		
1	Sathvik Gowda	28	1
3	Madhukar Muralidhara	27	2

NEGATIVE

POS	NAME	POINTS	RANKS
	Olive Foundation JiDa		
4	Eric Jiang	25	4
2	Anirban Datta	26	3

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Christina

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~scribbled out text~~

Sathvik: good speaker! your contentions were somewhat vague, give more evidence

Anirban: you need to slow down and get your points out clearly. refute your opponents contentions!

Eric: work on your speech delivery and work on strengthening your contentions. do not look @ opp. during crossfire.

Madhukar: you are a good speaker. Great eye contact. Good job refuting and strengthening contentions.

Reason for decision: AFF was able to give clear evidence to their contentions as well as refuting opponents contentions effectively. NEG dropped opponents contentions, therefore losing this round.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE Affirmatives are not present

POS	MSJ ChYu	POINTS	RANKS
	Hansen Chen	25.8	3
	William Yue	25.8	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen KaRa	POINTS	RANKS
	Inderpal Kaur	26.1	1
	Niharika Rane	26.1	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Evergreen Kara.

AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF team has very good point to support their argument, but not enough evidence.
NEG. team has good presentation, and evidence, even though some of evidence may not direct support their own arguments.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Starts are not present

POS	Bishop O'Dowd YaVa	POINTS	RANKS
2	Evin Yang	27	4
1	Tommy Vaughan	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Leland CuHe	POINTS	RANKS
1	Kyle Cui	27.5	1
2	Ali-Asghar Heera	27	2

The better debating was done by the NEG from Leland
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Rajiv Januar

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A. presented well
asked good questions
summary okay

2A. Good rebuttal.
asked good question

1N. presentation clear and concise.
defended well
summarized well

2N. rebuttal presented well with facts & fig.
didn't support answers with facts
strong and confident final focus.

This was really close. They were both strong, but the ~~NEG~~ NEGATIVE team had a stronger final focus.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

Marker

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

POS	Presentation DHEI	POINTS	RANKS
1	Shwena Dhar	27	4
2	Amy Elzarka	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas McBo	POINTS	RANKS
3	Connor McGarry	27	2
4	Lauren Bond	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Milpitas McBo
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: L. Zhang

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- 4. Great points & good logical reasoning; ~~don't repeat the same~~
- during cross fire, ~~spend~~ Spend ^{more} ~~some~~ time on opponent's points.
- 3. Good summary; prepare more ~~one~~ for cross firing Qs.
- 2. Good points, fire
- 1. } great debate skills;
- 2. don't have to repeat the opinions;

I vote for NEG as they provide more logic-reasoning for their ideas.

Aff team could improve by looking into the broad picture, rather than ~~narrow~~ focus on one area.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Starts are not present

POS	Evergreen SuSh	POINTS	RANKS
	Chandra Suvarna		
	Preska Sharma		

no show

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation KaSa	POINTS	RANKS
	Sahil Kapoor		
	Anish Sangari		

The better debating was done by the Way from Ome
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: SM Stoddard-Phillips

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Evergreen was a no-show

BYE

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

Start Flight B if Flight

AFFIRMATIVE contestants are not present

NEGATIVE

POS	St. Francis AdHo	POINTS	RANKS
	Sudeep Aditham	25	1-2
	Ryan Hoque	24	3-4

POS	MSH AiSo	POINTS	RANKS
	Abitha Aishwarya	26	7
	Ahsas Sood	24	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from MSH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

#1A - Eloquent, easy to follow spread

#2N - Definitive ^{points} ~~and~~ but slightly slow spoken

Crossfire - Neg. was unable to answer effectively

#3A - Did not explain arguments against Neg. stated own points again

#4N - Brought ~~others~~ stats that generally disprove Aff's contention

Crossfire - Aff believed asking the same question would yield different results which is the definition of insanity.

#1 Summary - Did not address many of Neg's arguments

#2 summary - had strong case for argument but lacked in execution

Grand X - Aff did not explain their own points very well.

1.10. #3 Final - Finally explained briefly, main contentions and effects

#4 Final - clearly strongest debator in round.