

Noyce Public

Forum

Flight A

ROUND I

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
 BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestant are not present	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2 nd	MSJ AcJa ③ Aditya Acharya	20	4
1 st	① Amit Jamuar	24	3

NEGATIVE

POS	TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1 st	Saratoga SeMa ② Vibha Seshadri	25	2
2 nd	④ Nupur Maheshwari	27	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Saratoga
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Clayton Bonita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

- ① Spoke clearly + concisely. Cited facts and examples to support case. Work on flow of debate as outlined. And timing of public forum
- ② Slowdown + speak clearly. Lots of "um"s. Too short use time wisely. extend your ideas out.
- ③ Engage in Q/A during Grand Crossfire.
- ④ Good Questioning of Neg.
- ⑤ Ask for evidence. Peace Negotiations.

- ① overuse of "like" + "um" - work on flow of speaking
- ② nice examples and definitions and overview of case. Know which side you are debating before you begin
- ③ outlined opponents case and their own. Used solid fact, terms and evidence to support case
- ④ answering AFF Q's was slightly shaky.
- ⑤ Q: Answered. that we should stick to resolution regarding "our Nat Sec"

I found in favor of the Neg case due to their style, precision, outline and format. They both presented a solid case using facts + evidence in support of the Neg position. They used their time wisely and effectively.

Room: Csa 8
Flight B start 8:45 or ASAP
Novice Public Forum

1

Chow, Stephen

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	Contestants are not present	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Sasha Menezes	28	2
2	Shreya Barma	20	1

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ ChYu	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Hansen Chen	27	3
1	William Yue	27	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Young Gen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~I don't consider Canada in his debate.~~

oral

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight


POS	A contestants are not present Olive Foundation KaSa	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Sahil Kapoor	27	2
2	Anish Sangari	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	James Logan StMa	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Kenny Stevenson	26	4
1	Sahil Markanday	26	3

The better debating was done by the Aff from KaSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff team presented their contentions and defended well stuck to them pretty well. Neg team had the advantage of catching the fact that Pakistan was not in middle east but could not capitalize on this advantage. Ultimately Neg should have focused on their own contentions and proving wrong those of the aff which they could not.

Neg#2: Final focus: Too dismissive - need to be effective.

Neg#2 Final focus was good. Avoid misspeaking - "civilians = terrorists" !?

Neg#1: Prepare more material to speak and cover entire time.

Aff # 2: Good, level headed arguments. Good move to drop "Pakistan".

Neg#2 Sound dismissive by using terms like "whatever", "they said something about".

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
 BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present James Logan ShGi	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2A	Kunal Sharma	28.3	2
1A	Gagandeep Gill	27.9	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Evergreen CoKu	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2N	Bukre Coskun	28.5	1
1N	Priyanka Kulshreshtha	28.1	3

The better debating was done by the NEG from EVERGREEN COKU
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Deepak Sabharwal

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A
 drone strikes - innocent people getting hurt
 - resulting in
 - Iran - bomb
 Syrian rebels - US support undermining Turkish security

1N
 leading from behind - burden sharing
 killing Taliban leaders
 - decimated terrorist leaders in drone attacks

Crossfire
 innocent people getting killed by drone strikes

2A
 - Afghanistan is not part of middle East
 - drone strikes - families at risk
 - core of Al Q still exists - terrorist recruiting has not stopped

- alliances not working spending more money than getting back

2N
 - Afghanistan is part of middle east
 - drone strikes versus war
 - war will always result in more deaths

- Libya - leading from behind was more helpful

Crossfire
 both sides had good questions & well answered

1A
 - terrorists are not bringing less

1N
 - alliances

Crossfire
 - drone strike increasing terrorism
 - Iran

2A
 - ideology still there
 - alliances not working

2N
 - Iran - bomb
 - attack the US
 - drone strike

I voted for PRO because their arguments were effectively rebutted all arguments raised by NEG.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~NEGATIVE~~ AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present Milpitas McBo	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Connor McGarry	27	2
	Lauren Bond	29	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Eastside Prep CaVe	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Raven Campbell	25	3
	Jaqueline Velazquez	24	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Milpitas
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Auh h

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~The Affirmative position had clear and concise points~~

The Affirmative position had clear and concise points. They came prepared with evidence and were able to state their points clearly and with conviction. Their argument about anti-US government clearly ~~showed~~ explained why ~~the~~ ME countries may ~~to~~ retaliate.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
 BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestants are not present MSJ Chku	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Chelton Cheung	24	4
1	Ankit Kuchhangi	28.5	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation WeSa	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Jennifer Wei	28.5	1
2	Rohan Saxena	28	3

The better debating was done by the Neg from Olive foundation WeSa
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: A. Subramaniam

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff 1: Costs 20% of total \$ → this means less money for economy. (steady speaker)
 74% of Pakistan is consider U) enemy → Drones. Strike.

Neg 1: Drones are effubim. 80% success rate. (Iran ~~is~~ Nuclear Prog. have been. (Aff).
 deluded. (Stony speaker). Pakistan had (CBM but all. (New)

Crossfire 1: Targeted killings are > 50% success rate. 98% civilian death rate. (Aff).

Neither position was successfully challenged by other.

Aff 2: Pakistan is not mafia (short speech < 4min).

Neg 2: cannot put pin on National Security. Besides Not all spent on missiles.
 Drones are successful because they eliminate threats. (Good speaker not enough media)

Crossfire 2: Neg ~~is~~ ^{is NO} threat (not addressed by our foreign policy)

~~not at all~~ Aff: why is US shooting drone @ Israel → ridiculous question
 but Aff did not know the to be false!!

POO first round: Drone failure ~~is~~ creates terrorism to increase
 Net # of terrorists.

Neg first round: Aff: ^{great argu} evidence that Al Qaeda
 in Arabian Peninsula ~~is~~ increased by more than doubled.
 during drone engine

Aff: final focus

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Eastside Prep Alma		
	Brian Alas	28	2
	Ricardo Maldonado	28	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga TrVe	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Oksana Trifonova	27	3
	Divya Veerapaneni	26	4

The better debating was done by the AFF from Eastside
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1 A. spoke clearly
asked good questions
good rebuttal.
good, clear summary

2 A. spoke clearly
made good points
asked good questions
good rebuttal.

1 N. spoke clearly,
didn't clearly rebutt.
summary needs work, not prepared.

2 N. didn't speak clearly
had good rebuttal.
didn't ask clear questions
good ending argument

I gave the win to Eastside because they were more clear and concise with their presentation and preparation. They also had better rebuttals and asked better questions.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestants are not present Leland CuHe	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Kyle Cui		
	Ali-Asghar Heera		

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ TaTh	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Pragna Tantravani		
	Abitha Thirugnana		

The better debating was done by the AFF from Leland.
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

No show on ~~MSJ~~ MSJ.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
 BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present Evergreen Chve	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Asmita Choppa	27	1
	Deepshika Vellaboyina	27	3

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga LiNg	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
	Alex Li	25	4
	Ethan Ngai	26	2

The better debating was done by the AFF from Evergreen
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Ram Haridasa

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF

Done starts - 7 innocent 7:1
 information pack 9.1% support
 terror ↑
 Counter prod.
 pullout = weak
 Anti-ame of
 Israel support. ↑ terror. attack
 better public in w/Israel

NEG.

Stabilizing in the reg.
 nuclear oil is imp. with cutoff.
 Good term to SA, Kuwait, Iraq.
 No attack in our shows
 39 foiled.
 OSM killed.

PREP

A N
 1 1

Aff.	NEG.
No preparation for anti-ame. Continued Nat Iran has N.B.	
D.S. Counter prod. Anti am ↑ Israel alliance to anti-Ext	(b) Fail to give. bar for Nat Sec. IAEA has no bomb. No info for power has not threatened.

I voted pro because they laid out points better and stated more facts. Neg side had some good points but left some AFF arguments in fact.

JIN, Shu

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestants are not present James Logan LaPa	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Jennifer Lam	26.5	3
1	Emily Pan	26	4

NEGATIVE

POS	Bishop O'Dowd YaVa	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Evin Yang	27	2
1	Tommy Vaughan	28	1

The better debating was done by the NEG from Bishop
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Shu

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The NEG did a better job in both speech and crossfire by stating their ~~arguer~~ points clearly and supporting further with strong data. They asked questions effectively and as a result made the AFF team weaker.

The AFF team needs to have more confidence in debating & speak more fluently.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestants are not present MSH AISO	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Abitha Aishwarya	28.0	3 ⁴
2	Ahsas Sood	28.0	3 ³

NEGATIVE

POS	Milpitas ShSu	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Grace Shau	29.5	1
2	Misbah Surani	28.5	4 ²

The better debating was done by the NEG from MILPITAS
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

ABITHA PRO

- + GREAT BEGINNING, INTRODUCTION
- SPEAKING TOO QUICKLY @ BEGINNING, OF ARGUMENT
- RELATIVELY LONG SENTENCES ARE BETTER WRITTEN THAN SPOKEN
- KEEP SENTENCES SHORT & PUNCHY
- + REFERENCES WERE SPECIFIC
- + ASKING QUESTIONS DURING PRESENTATION CAUSES AUDIENCE TO THINK

GRACE CON

- + EXCELLENT EYE CONTACT, SHARING ATTENTION BETWEEN JUDGES, DOCUMENTS, OPPOSITION
- + I LIKED "POINTS" AND "SUB POINTS"
- + NICE USE OF REFERENCES
- + ABILITY TO "WATCH YOUR OWN CLOCK"
- + GOOD USE OF HISTORIC REFERENCES

CROSSFIRE

- BOTH PRO & CON INTERRUPTED EXCESSIVELY
- + CON ASKED "LEADING QUESTIONS" TO CORNER PRO
- + USE OF HISTORICAL DATA BOTH SIDES
- + CON SEEMED TO HAVE MORE "CONTROL" OF DEBATE
- POOR CLOSING ON BOTH PRO & CON SIDES

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	MSJ Kulo	27.8	2
2	Darien Lo	28.2	1

NEGATIVE

POS	Saratoga Indep ShGu	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
1	Roland Shen	27.5	3
2	Samuel Guo	27.4	4

The better debating was done by the Aff. from MSJ KULO
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Shusa Vaughn

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Lucas: current definitions and clear contentions
Please slow down - it will help judges understand you easier.
excellent crossfire questions & rational

Darien: well spoken - rational
you clearly rebutted your opponents contentions in an easy to understand way.
→ you could restate your contentions, though.
you spent time arguing the neg contentions but I lost track of your contentions.

Roland: very clear & I was very impressed you could give your whole opening without notes.
you told me what you were going to tell me, you told me and you then summarize - excellent. I am voting for Aff as I don't think your contentions held up at question's

Samuel: Again - excellent delivery - you had your →

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
 BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

~~AFFIRMATIVE~~ Flight

POS	A contestants are not present Milpitas Vykh	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Rutu Vyas	28	3
1	Ruby Khan	29	2

NEGATIVE

POS	Olive Foundation KoMe	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Rohan Kota	27	4
1	Rohit Menon	29	1

The better debating was done by the AFF from Milpitas Vykh
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Shun Yu

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1A : good speaking
 good evidence.
~~interesting angle~~
 good rebuttal.

2A : you have some good points.
 try to avoid to use "stuff"
 in your speech

2A : Good speaking
 good arguments.
 work on

AFF: ~~try to~~ find evidences to support. ~~attention~~ in middle
 east doesn't impact our national security

NEG: work on ~~looking at~~ ~~judging~~ broaden your views. It's a little
 bit hard to convince just by "no intention/no capability"

I vote for AFF because NEG doesn't convince me that
~~with~~ no intention/no capability from other countries doesn't
 undermine US national security.

SCU DEMPSEY-CRONIN INVITATIONAL

11/16/2012 through 11/19/2012

ROUNDS ARE DOUBLE FLIGHTED
BEFORE FORFEIT TEAM CALL 510-289-7467

AFFIRMATIVE Flight

POS	A contestants are not present St. Marys CLo	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Christopher Clark	28	2
1	Ashley Longmire	27.5	3

NEGATIVE

POS	MSJ DoVe	POINTS Max = 30	RANKS
2	Abhi Doddi	27	4
1	Anusha Venkatesan	28	1

The better debating was done by the Aff from St. Marys
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature] (St. Marys, Leland)

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was a very tight debate. Thanks to both teams
→ While the opening arguments from MSJ were more convincing, St Marys turned it in particular during the Grand Crossfire (Christopher took control)

Additional Feedback:

- o Ashley and Abhi: I suggest to prepare for the crossfire. Think about a question to challenge your opponents. It looks weird if there is nothing to talk about
- o Anusha: Great opening section. Easy to understand, good logic. I liked your spadeology
- o Christopher: very good job with Grand crossfire
- o Ashley: Good prep in your opening section - it was easy to understand