

NOVICE AND

OPEN POLICY

Eisenbraun, Kelly

Bhat, Vivek

Room: Daly 103 Start time: 4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Kim & Young			Neg: MSJ - Dayal and Jinjuwadia		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kim, Minki	27.9	1	Jinjuwadia, Jay	23.3	3
Young, Richard	24.6	2	Dayal, rahul	20.6	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

- Minki Kim could use more review of evidence so as to defend against conflicts of information
- Jay mumbles quite a bit -- makes it difficult to clearly hear each point
- Richard was extremely concise, clear, and well-linked to benefits/Arms
- Rahul did not address the terrorism arguments what-so-ever. = Dropped
- Minki's cross ex. were the most effective → found notes in existing arguments, effectively negating many → helped by strong, unwavering tone quality
- Richard most systematically addressed both sets of contentions
- Rahul lacked reference to policy plan almost completely → only contentions, No reference to ultimate impact → lack of overall coherence, weight.
- Minki's structural approach was crystal-clear - excellent rebuttal, very well-linked
- Jay is much clearer when asking questions. Very fuzzy / mumbly during speeches
- Rahul needs to address all contentions / counter contentions systematically + link to harms/benefits.
- Neg. Dropped Econ. Biggest Concern / Argument.
- Richard was increasingly rushed / unclear by his second speech. Maintain speaker quality. → Needs to look up more.
- Rahul Dropped Nuclear Holocaust Contention
- Ultimately Aff was more organized, which allowed them to address every point in turn. Minki was by far the most ~~consistent~~ consistent and clear in his speeches, as well as the most systematic. His partner's opening speech was likely the best in the round for clarity and analytical quality, however, Richard's quality decreased w/ nervousness during his last speech.

Vogel

~~Amaranto, Andrew~~
 Room: Arts 135 Start time: 4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational
PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Baluyot & Nguyen			Neg: Singh & Tuharsky		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Nguyen, Richard	26.2	2	Singh, Aneek	25.5	3
Baluyot, Darrin	26.8	1	Tuharsky, Alex	25	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

aff: port dredging

- congested with silt

- important for international trade

- increase economy

- creates jobs

- trade deficit lessened

cut down CO₂ emissions

- ships will have less time waiting

- cars or land bridge produce more CO₂, which will be lessened from plan

- deficit spending: no cuts from anywhere

- if plan does not happen, us loses revenue & competitiveness

- habitat restoration with silt

- has been happening already

neg:

- many ports already doing this

- CO₂ will increase

- less money to drinking water systems

- spread of chemicals

- GOP will not allow deficit spending without cuts

I voted affirmative because neg's arguments are covered for or enacted in a different way. In environment neg says CO₂ emissions will increase however aff has reasons why it will not. In economy the U.S. will lose revenue if the plan is not enacted. Aff's plan uses deficit spending which does not lessen money from drinking water systems.

Latta, Jeff

Room:Arts 232 Start time:4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: MSJ - Lee and Shao			Neg: Jain & Huynh		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Shao, William	27.8	2	Huynh, Megan	26.7	4 4
Lee, Clifford	28	1	Jain, Anika	21.0 26.0	3 3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

BOTH TEAMS NEED TO HAVE MORE EYE CONTACT. PERSUADE THE AUDIENCE, DO NOT READ FROM YOUR NOTES. SLOW DOWN TOO. A NEG. WAS UNDERSTANDABLE, BUT AFF. TENDED TO NOT ENUNCIATE.

AFF. VOICE WAS STRONG.

NEG, BE CAREFUL OF THE SOURCES YOU USE. AFF. WAS ABLE TO CAST DOUBT ON ONE OF YOUR MAIN SOURCES. ALSO, YOU CONTRADICTED YOURSELF ON THE TOPIC OF GLOBAL WARMING.

ANIKA - YOU LOST SPEAKER POINTS FOR COACHING YOUR PARTNER DURING REBUTTALS.

Turner, Ashlyn

Room: Arts 133 Start time: 4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Wang & Hu			Neg: Zheng & Ziaee		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Wang, Esther	27	2	Ziaee, Sameer / N	27.5	1
Hu, Kevin / A	26.5	3	Zheng, Margaret	20	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

I vote on the CP and net benefits. There isn't really a reason why the states wouldn't solve better and avoid the disad. There needs to be more perm explanation coming from the aff. Come up with some examples of things that the feds have done that goes beyond the 10th amendment. The constitution doesn't state ~~is~~ anything about HSR maybe, but there has to be something that would include public works projects. Heck, "to promote the general well-being" could be something HSR does.

Margaret, you ~~need~~ need to figure out how to add a lot of professional siness to ~~your~~ how you treat your opponents. I docked one speaker point for each time you laughed at your opponent or what they said.

Eiserloh, A.J (Harker)
 Room: Arts 134 Start time: 4:00 PM

US should ^{substantially increase} transportation infrastructure

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational
PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Bhat & Mamtara			Neg: Kelbert & Desai		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Bhat, Pratik	25	4	Desai, Prashant	26	2
Mamtara, Aditya	25.5	3	Kelbert, James	27	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Pratik - pink shirt
 Aditya - vest

Prashant - Green tie

James - light blue shirt

Plan: create natl. infrastructure bank

NIB 10 Billion

help economy "inherency"
 * currently economy is in a nosedive
 collapsing infrastructure

AFF

NEG

US Govt already has TIFIA program that does this

NIB would duplicate POT's

NIB would only add to deficit
 should be run by special interest groups first

NIB costs 10 Billion dollars

How are these jobs sustainable?

~~TIFIA is more~~

It is a problem that doesn't exist

~~should create~~
 natl. infrastructure bank

NIB board are not politicians they are elected

"Solvency"

will increase money for infrastructure
 create jobs
 increase competitiveness

TIFIA is overburdened

Mabie, Ben

Room:Parlor A Start time:4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Varma & Varma			Neg: Gulati & Huang		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Varma, Gaurav	27.5	3	Gulati, Shubhangi	27.5	2
Varma, Anuj	27.5	1	Huang, Michelle	27.5	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

NC needs to be more understand advocacy of private business.

aff show more (S)

Joint Perm - answer privatization

NC just repeats INC - no additional arguments or clarity

advocacy of JN goes beyond scope of debate - talk's restructure.

Focus of debate should ONLY be on port security

You're allowed to bring up examples from other teams - the job is on the other team to show how conditions are different.

FDI

I suppose I vote for permutation of req args on privatization 2002?

Even though the req doesn't offer a CP, and that the Perm violates conditions of plan, and because the req args for privatization aren't relevant to port security, and legal because the aff had other arguments

Rahman, Amreen

Room:Arts 129 Start time:4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Periyakoll & Rajput			Neg: Singh & Warsi		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Periyakoll, Divya	28	4	Singh, Chandanjeet	29	3
Rajput, Sanil	30	1	Warsi, Rafay	29	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

oval

Alamzai, Mujda

Room: OConnor 205 Start time: 4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Huang & Zhou			Neg: Advani & Suwala		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Zhou, Annie	1 ← →	27	Advani, Ira	3 ← →	25
Huang, Kaylan	2 ← →	26	Suwala, Maia	4 ← →	24

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Annie

- you really need to reevaluate your definition of "reliable"
- need more responses than just "evidence is bad"
- stop saying "you were talking about" you are supposed to convince ME

Kaylan

- your IAC was pretty standard you have to be ready for lots of evidence being read against you

Ira

- their IAC was very standard, I expected more offense and LOTS more impact analysis

Maia

- You really needed to have more impact calculus. or at least set it up for your partner.

EVERYBODY! DO NOT FIDGET. DO NOT LOOK AT EACH OTHER, LOOK AT THE JUDGE. YOU ARE TRYING TO CONVINC ME, NOT EACH OTHER.

- RFD:
- ① AC only team that made any discernable impacts whatsoever
 - ② NC had no offense
 - ③ Entire Neg position came from ridership, which Aff responded to and Aff response was dropped

Orozco, Robert

Room:Kenna 310 Start time:4:00 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 6

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Kuppahally & Bhoja			Neg: Nouri & Elahi		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kuppahally, Anusha	28	2	Nouri, Melody	27	3
Bhoja, Arushee	29	1	Elahi, Samar	26	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

The Neg was able to refute the Aff on their contentions, ~~also being great~~ great cross multiple times.