

NOVICE AND

OPEN POLICY

Adueni

~~Bhat, Vivek~~

Room: Arts 134 Start time: 12:34 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: MSJ <u>Dayal and Jinjuwadia</u>			Neg: Kuppahally & Bhoja		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Jinjuwadia, Jay <sup>h</sup>	24	3	Kuppahally, Anusha <sup>h</sup>	25	2
Dayal, rahul <sup>l</sup>	23	4	Bhoja, Arushee <sup>l</sup>	26	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

- Negative read some good arguments about

  - using fuel efficient cars
  - using a diesel tax
  - lack of political will
  - less impact of gas tax

but were not able to defend in Cross X. In fact they agreed with Aff in Cross X about gas tax increasing use of fuel efficient cars, and could not defend the diesel tax based on car to truck ratio issue.
- Aff should do a better job in their speeches. They were good in Cross X but disorganized in the other speeches and also trying to

Vogel

Singh, Mr.

Room: Arts 133 Start time: 12:34 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Jain & Huynh			Neg: Kim & Young		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Huynh, Megan	27	1	Kim, Minki	25.5	4
Jain, Anika	26	3	Young, Richard	24.5	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

Aff:

- National infrastructure bank will stimulate steel industries which will create growth.
- help unemployment rate (card)
- repair bridges & roads
- Tifra
  - understates
  - only creates jobs for 6 weeks

ViB

- only funds infrastructure bank
- steel industry will help
- state budgets already failing
- feds will reduce competitiveness
- private sector has no guaranteed investment, & costs more

Neg:

- Tifra will ~~not~~ be modified to not need ViB.
- will do little to create jobs
- both Tifra and SiB are in place
- SiB knows states better
- more efficient
- competitiveness is key
- built in project evaluation

I voted aff because the negative side stated Tifra and SiB would be better, and the aff side came up with evidence that proved otherwise. State budgets are already failing and Tifra cannot solve the problem because it only works 6 weeks at a time. Private investors also cannot work because there is no guarantee they will continue to invest.

Soto, Edward

~~Shah, Mona~~

Room: Arts 232 Start time: 12:34 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Gulati & Huang			Neg: Bhat & Mamtara		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
1st 2nd Gulati, Shubhangi	29	1	Bhat, Pratik	25	4
Huang, Michelle	28	2	Mamtara, Aditya	27	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

A1 Shubhangi

- human suffering
- global violence
- gain control to prevent capitalism  
create a social world
- ~~capitalism~~ capitalism is violent
  - root of racism
  - poverty
  - slave trade
- dynamics keeps large number in poverty
- rich have control in capitalism

A2 Michelle

- ° capitalism = private state/company ownership
- ° federal stops capitalism = equal for people
- ° global violence
- people have different standards of living
- companies only want profit
- federal can increase # of jobs ~~in states~~  
rather than an individual state
- capitalism causes war

N1 Aditya · brought up good points

- Racism will always be around
- Minorities are always in the eyes of  
justice/law enforcement = not equal
- transportation can change racial equality
- local projects = ecological preservation
- when fed thinks big = big mistakes
- Amtrak; should of extended on this  
issue
- 33 SIBS

N2 Pratik

- states responsible for own economy
- states can solve transit
- capitalism ~~is~~ definition not sourced either.
- ° public wants states to take care of transit
- ° invest in rail transit

Affirmatives had far more examples and were able to serve more information.  
Opponent claimed that aff. did not source capitalism def. but they failed to do so as well.  
In order to boost or fix the economy the U.S. needs to work as a whole  
rather than just having one state fixing all problems. How can California guarantee  
jobs in Virginia?

McMahon, Bridget

~~Latta, Jeff~~

Room: Arts 135 Start time: 12:34 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Nouri & Elahi			Neg: Wang & Hu		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Nouri, Melody	28	3	Wang, Esther	29	1
Elahi, Samar	25	4	Hu, Kevin	29	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

neg: your rebuttal speeches were excellent - exceed aff's dropped points and restated impacts with evidentiary clout. ~~in the earlier parts of your case~~ the balance between the rest of your case was really well-run and solid, but those speeches are what won you the round.

~~The negative team had strong evidence regarding specific the reputation of HSR or energy sources that was not very well~~

aff: the point you made about o'boole's evidence being weak could have been very effective - if the source had been cited, and the specific o'boole evidence used by the neg. had been pointed out.

Teamwork on your rebuttal speech (particularly the first) was evident and clearly strong - for future rounds, research: reputation, general public approval of HSR, energy sources.

*Adrey*

**Amaranto, Andrew**

Room: OConnor 205 Start time: 12:34 PM

**SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**

**PLN Prelim round 5**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Kelbert & Desai			Neg: Huang & Zhou		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Desai, Prashant	20	3	Zhou, Annie	25	4
Kelbert, James	29	1	Huang, Kaylan	28	2

2AC  
1AC

INC  
2NC

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

AFFIRMATIVE PRESENTED A VERY STRONG PLAN WELL SUPPORTED BY EVIDENCE

NEGATIVE HAD VERY GOOD EVIDENCE REFUTING THE ~~NEGATIVE~~ AFFIRMATIVE ADVANTAGE REGARDING THE EFFICIENCY OF SCANNING FOR NUCLEAR MATERIAL.

NEGATIVE COUNTER PLAN GAVE OPENING TO AFFIRMATIVE TO MODIFY THEIR PLAN, COULD HAVE WON HAD THEY SIMPLY STUCK TO V.A.

Shao, Steve

~~Poling~~~~Sarchet, Tiffany~~

Room: Parlor A Start time: 12:34 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Pakala & Wang			Neg: Baluyot & Nguyen		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Pakala, Suraj	26.5	3.0	Nguyen, Richard	26.5	<del>2.5</del> 2.0
Wang, Eric	26	<del>1.5</del> 1.0	Baluyot, Darrin	27.0	<del>4.0</del> 4.0

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

Aff: need response more details, not just make a statement  
 a bit confuse on subject.  
 why save oil? → so save environment?  
 fpp. need more evidence.  
 appeared not prepared well.

Neg: more detailed evidence needed for his statement of "HRT damages more to environment."  
 ✓ good argument to aff. in terms of China example.  
 why no one will ride? too high price!

Wang, Jack

Andrade, Nancy

Room: Daly 103 Start time: 12:34 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Zheng & Ziaee			Neg: Periyakoil & Rajput		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Ziaee, Sameer	29.2	4	Periyakoil, Divya	29.1	3
Zheng, Margaret	29.5	1	Rajput, Sanil	29.3	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

- MZ: 12:53pm #1.67, HSR: terrorism, nation security, oil dependence, electric car not massing available, Econ growth, job, global warming, 6 M lbs CO<sub>2</sub> 2050
- DP: # country fr? Time Frame? (10yr) power source? (electricity) Impact on Environment? (6 M lbs CO<sub>2</sub>)
- SR: 11:09pm
  - Rideship
  - Not massive, corridor
  - Long time to construction
  - new CO<sub>2</sub> Emission, more than Train
  - Not solve Air travel congestion
  - Transportation infrastructure take # from water way infra. Trade off is wrong
- MZ: Fund source Not from Tax; CO<sub>2</sub> #? NO Tradeoff observed. ↑
- SZ: 11:26pm
  - # not reduce fund in water way; Econ: HSR connect major cities; 19M passengers in CA
  - Green gas effect, 1/3 of Automobile 600M profit / 5yr. (France)
- SR:
  - France HSR Model not work. Rideship is challenge; Net job creation minimal in long run.
  - HSR is water
- DP 1:32
  - Green House gas created during construction of HSR; Fund source for US treasury.
  - Rideship Not CA X 50 for USA;
- SZ:
  - why invest in water way? CO<sub>2</sub> emission #? (No # provided)
- SR 1:43
  - Rideship over estimated; Fund from waterway (Obama 2013 budget)
  - water had Academic disease
- MZ 1:49
  - Rideship ↔
  - Green House gas reduction ↑ - water way fund ↓
  - oil argument ↑; - job; - international complicating
- DP 2:00pm
  - water way ↑; Rideship ↑ Global warming ↔; HSR not help environment;
- SZ 2:04
  - oil dependence; - CO<sub>2</sub> emission; ---

Drozco, Robert

~~Merchant, Adnan~~

Room:Kenna 310 Start time:12:34 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Singh & Tuharsky			Neg: Varma & Varma		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Singh, Ameek	26	4	Varma, Gaurav	29	1
Tuharsky, Alex	27	3	Varma, Anuj	28	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

The Aff was able to prove that they solved for  
 Gmission Reductions and the rest of their contentions.  
 The Neg should have focused more on the currently  
 existing Project as well as pushed the Aff  
 more on their "Deficit Crowd" stance.

Vasquez

ACF

Neg  
7:11

Alamzai, Mujda

Room: Arts 129 Start time: 12:34 PM

### SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

### PLN Prelim round 5

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Advani & Suwala			Neg: MSJ - Lee and Shao		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Advani, Ira	28	1	Shao, William	27	2
Suwala, Maia	25	4	Lee, Clifford	26	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

You do not need to re-read cards to extend them. Both teams could benefit from a bit of extra time in each speech and reading new cards or explaining arguments with more depth would add more depth to the round.

Very well organized round. I really appreciate the roadmaps and clear tags/cites.

Great explanation of N/Bs to C/P and how C/P captures benefits of plan.

RFD: Aff team mishandles D/As and does not address them as N/Bs to the C/P. The Federal spending D/A is a net benefit to the States C/P.

Aff does not read any reasons why the C/P is bad or would not work but only makes a vague assertion that states could not afford. Neg reads evidence that, while generic, says states have the money to spend on infrastructure.