

NOVICE AND
OPEN POLICY

Andrade, Nancy

Reykalin, Kyle

Room: Daly 106 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Fan & Shi			Neg: Irving-Thomas & Marquez		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Shi, Mason	28	2	Irving-Thomas, Lenard	27	1
Fan, Arthur	19	4	Marquez, Chris	21	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

RFD in round.

~~voted~~
 Voted on the ~~neg~~ T/ on FW. & handled
 correctly by Aff. No clear articulation on how Perm
 solves. Narrative mpxs outweigh.

McNulty, Brian

Room:Kenna 104 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**POL Prelim round 4**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Auro & Hampton-Bruce			Neg: Guilford & Nguyen		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Auro, Jason	29	2	Guilford, Alec	25	3
Hampton-Bruce, William	30	1	Nguyen, Brian	20	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

Negative Anthro (K) is absorbed by the Aff.
 Aff wins prefiat solvency on case.
 Aff shows that discourse is action and thus the
 "Kevin Carter" K doesn't apply.

Scheer, Chris

Room:Kenna 212 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Tso & Zhao			Neg: Tan & Wang		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Tso, Dustin	29	1	Wang, Brian	29	2
Zhao, Wilson	28.5	3	Tan, Justin	28.5	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

Aff runs whiteness

Neg splits → T/framework +

Cap k

Neg can't decide what it wants to do, doesn't commit fully to Cap k ~~is~~ is root problem

Neg had chance to win on T/f but bails on that, goes all in on undeveloped Cap k → zero education of judge on capitalism!

gave oral critiques

Aff = I. would do ~~even~~ even more

Floras, Joseph

Smith, Ennis

Room: Kenna 306 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Cai & Wang			Neg: Tuggle-Whitemore		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
1 Wang, Hanson	26	4	2 Tuggle, Emily	27.5	2
2 Cai, Bill	27	3	1 Whitemore, Cooper	28	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

1A The allocation

1W permits a test of competition
INR Prep had

2A Time allocation
C/A EV (DA → even flow)
control the I/L

2N ~~permits a test of competition~~
too much debate
Wentworths - ALL OF YOU
control the I/L

All wins plan is within budget, doesn't trigger spending DA

~~CP has risk of schery + risk of link to coal DA~~

RFD - CP has risk of schery + risk of link to coal DA

Vasquez, Mick

Room: Kenna 218 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**POL Prelim round 4**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Joshi-Kalra			Neg: Skyline - Gangaso and Xu		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kalra, Nikhil	28	3	Xu, Anna	29	2
Joshi, Varun	29	1	Gangaso, Gabe	27 27	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

Aff Wins

Aff does a good job of convincing me that @ does not link to the plan, while you can assert that improved water ways ties to "speed" and people, the Aff points out it is about food and business and general stability.

Framework: Aff offers best framework for the debate by explaining the benefits of company and weighing the @ against the benefits of plan and supporting their thing the permutation while Neg asserts the perm does not work, Aff offers multiple pieces of evidence explaining the perm and explaining why it's landing better than the H1).

Almost all of the perm argument is dropped by the 2NR → 2AR spend a significant amount of time explaining the

Green, Perry

Room:Kenna 102 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Chen & Boreckzy			Neg: Kataria & Li		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Chen, Tommie	27.5	4	Kataria, Rachit	27.9	3
Boreckzy, Chance	29.9	1	Li, Sidney	28.9	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Aff poses \otimes ~~argument~~ - has no comprehensive political movement - ~~the~~ Questions comments a concerns
 email me at perry@harvard.org

06 15 1806

1/5

Case

Mileo, Paul

Room:Kenna 216 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Turner & Moore			Neg: Wang & Pelcastre		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
2 Moore, Zakiya	24	2	2 Wang, Michael	23	3
1 Turner, Shah	22	4	1 Pelcastre, Jose	25	1

3:43
3:20
7:00

4:00
4:37
1:22
6:05

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

In this round I vote Aff. I feel there was not enough work by the Neg on the Aff case. The arguments they did put on case were weak and not very offensive. On the Cap k I don't think the Neg did enough in the IWC to win this argument. I also think there needed to be more work on an Aff. to prove that the Gov. wouldn't go on a worse rate. On the fiscal cliff D/A I agree to the Aff's "presidential lobby" argument which deconstructs the whole argument. I think on the Spending D/A the INC was so sloppy and loaded with irrelevant and unconvincing cards that it weighed it down. If you have to explain the D/A in the IWC it is too late. I like the attempt at putting them in a double bind with your Impact Calc but it is too little too late. The Neg needed to do much better on case and add more clash to the Aff answers on the Neg D/A's

Phillips, Les

Room: St. Josephs 309 Start time: 8:00 AM

FEAR THE KRAAL!!!

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

25th 12/20/12 2000 CX

Aff: Chatterjee & Merchant			Neg: Atlas & Patou		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Merchant, Riyaz	27.3	2	Patou, David	27	3
Chatterjee, Kushal	26.5	4	Atlas, Ben	27.5	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

(AC has some redundant flags/cards. Some cards (e.g. SILK) are ancient. 4' INC prep? Case is pretty standard. Spending DA - OLD cards; some of the cyber cards (IMPACT) kind of old serious trouble... Neg is in a world of the rhetorical hunt after 2NC. The CP theory shall contradict each other and also contradict the voters on (T). The CP links real hard to the K; a full-out performative contradiction.

1AR is raising screaming now on coercion + ~~drops~~ ~~interactivity~~

2NR could use utterly cold on these issues, spends too much time on things he isn't necessarily winning, but what he does on coercion is certainly sufficient.

2AR much too late to talk about the coercion impact

Soto, Edward

~~Newkirk, Todd~~

Room: Kenna 109 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Frost-Hanifan			Neg: Ziaee & Chen		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Hanifan, Tim	28	1 2	Ziaee, Taha	26	1 2
Frost, Samuel	24	3	Chen, Steven	23	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low-point-win-is-intended

Comments and reason for decision:

A1S • ~~Concrete is cheaper and environmentally friendly~~

- Concrete is cheaper and environmentally friendly
 - can be recycled
- Concrete can last up to 30 yrs
- modern hwy is needed for economy both short & long term
- global warming can be stopped
 - less CO₂ amounts
 - less oil by 6%

A2T • Excellent speaker

- Great control
- Good source method
- political gridlock
- Congress votes against president
- 2035 oil prices ↑
- solve CO₂ emissions
 - Ice bergs will eventually erode
 - HTF - 250 billion
 - 133 concrete
 - 67 billion left
- Preventing war III

N1T • Emphasized strong clear evidence

- Slowed down ~~to~~ to make clear points
- CO₂ leaders Japan & China
- highway transportation is unpopular
- gas prices allow for strong connection between S.A.
- States already working on roads either way

N2S • State education is key to keep

- we need trade in order ~~for~~ to keep economy stable
- Hwy concrete plan may take up to 10 yrs.
- This plan is faster more efficient
- Russia a nuclear threat

Negatives were able to show how global trade can prevent another war. Affirmatives are trying to prevent war but no real defense if we were to cut trade from outside forces. Concrete is an excellent idea but that may put us in danger if we stop buying oil from outside source.

Mable, Ben

Kunes, Kevin

Room: St. Josephs 109 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Adduri & Bharadwaj			Neg: Shangguan & Park		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Adduri, Abhinav	22	3/3	Park, Daniel	22	2
Bharadwaj, Tejas	27	4/3/4	Shangguan, Henry	28	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

oral

Baqai, Sania

Porges, Ellie

Room: Arts 246 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: DeGiovanni-Schilling			Neg: Peng & Xu		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Schilling, Michelle	28.0	1	Peng, Melissa	27.0	3
DeGiovanni, Trent	27.8	2	Xu, Brenda	27.6	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

8 min
transportation
Asphalt too expensive
need funding, asphalt too expensive
2 → asphalt cost amounts money
2.75 billion to 6.5 billion
poor road conditions → Americas stuck in traffic
asphalt maintenance are high
const. wages
econ. collapse worldwide
big financial crisis
military preparation where solvency →
- repair debt by asphalt
- find money for road
- normal maps
Solvency
concrete is better
most effective
concrete more durability
concrete more productive
better for environ.
concrete reusable
jacks better

states money? where
plan → oil + how asphalt lost
A states concrete settles
- people doing research
- "

AD 2
some global warming
- slowed by subsidies

Notes →
I voted for affirmative because their arguments were demonstrated better ~~was~~ understanding of the prompt, even though negative won the argument about framework. In the end, the impacts were stronger for Aff.

Economy
giving up
could not

link?
how much money?

CO₂ does not lead to more than one