

NOVICE AND

OPEN POLICY

Wang, Jack

Sarchet, Tiffany

Room: Parlor A Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Bhat & Mamtora			Neg: Singh & Tuharsky		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Bhat, Pratik	27.9	4	Singh, Ameet	28.0	2
Mamtora, Aditya	27.9	3	Tuharsky, Alex	28.5	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

- National infm. Bank ~~not~~ 2 T.# needed
- PB: 8:12 Transp. econ. since 2012, infrastr. 2000/yr
- AT: Funding source? How long the ROI?
- AS: 8:23 - NIB alone can't save econ. ^{infra} infrastructure ~~not~~ small % in econ. - china - Japan
- NIB process too slow, need time to develop ^{big} process
- NIB for econ. Recovery effect is small, not solve jobless
- P.B. why China/Japan cases can be applied to us? NIB can't create job?
- AM: NIB create thru trans. infra. #10B short currently, #600B short overall, 35K jobs in total
- Traveler time saving, (#15B gap in High Way), public asset.
- Tax Rev.
- AS: water way vs. transportation; inflation concern ↑
- AT: 36 states addressed infrastr. NIB is redundant ↑
- China/Japan ARRA ↑
- #10B / #2 TrnB % more on inflation ↑
- Status quo, DOT TIFIA program existing No NIB necessary ↑
- AM: Train R.R. monopoly evidence
- AS: - NIB not HELP econ. divert fund fr other investment (All citations are clear, but logic story line is not)
- Infrastruct. is slow
- Econ is up already, NIB is not necessary.
- PB: - US. econ is down; TIFIA is not enough; Investment; 800K jobs
- NIB
- Water way infrastr.
- Job #10B → 25K jobs
- AT: - Econ recovery slowly, fractured, agreed; NIB & state IB do conflict, 36 states > 5% nation.
- Fed. resource is already strained. NIB fund comes from printing \$
- AM: - NIB good for jobs ^{decon.} - construction - maintenance; - indirectly Biz; - Enhance transportation
- Private sector vs. NIB
- Status quo → NIB need.
- TIFIA, state IB not work → NIB

Capara, Colin

Room: OConnor 205 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**PLN Prelim round 4**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Wang & Hu			Neg: MSJ - Dayal and Jinjuwadia		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Wang, Esther	27.5	2	Jinjuwadia, Jay	27	4
Hu, Kevin	28	1	Dayal, rahul	27	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

Affirmative is the only team at the end of the round with an impact that has some sort of weight. Even then, any neg arguments are nonunique so I default aff on 1% chance to solve anything b/c SQUO solves nothing.

Paton, Emily

Room: Arts 133 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Baluyot & Nguyen			Neg: Kelbert & Desai		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Nguyen, Richard	26.5	4	Desai, Prashant	27	1
Baluyot, Darrin	26.5	3	Kelbert, James	26.5	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

1A - Darrin

- go a little more in depth with global warming advantage.
- expand on impacts: how does creation of jobs help economy?
- why is global warming bad
- be nice during cross-x!! you didn't let IN answer your questions.

2a - Nguyen

- if economy is improving in ~~sq~~ then you lose access to your econ impact. When other team says economy is improving, you need to explain that the economy is not improving now.

1N - Prashant

- good job saying econ argument is non-unique, i.e. the economy is already improving.
 - try CP or a separate disad to make your case stronger.
 - run offense on aff
 - good point that we don't solve for GW if it's not caused by man?
- 12N - James
- good idea with CP, can be permuted though, which means CP and plan can be done together.
 - I like how you mentioned extinction with global warming, expand ~~on~~ on that!! How does warming lead to extinction?

Great debate! ~~the~~ Both teams had solid arguments. Try to run offense on other teams rather than saying the other team is wrong. There were also some contradictions in both sides. Neg, if economy is on the rise already, why have CP? Don't use econ advantage if it's improving in the sq. Counterplan should relate to the plan, but CP and plan should not be able to be done together (permutation). Dropped evidence on both sides. I would like to see the aff team argue that the economy is not on the rise. Since they don't refute this, they don't get access to their econ impact.

THESA TALLA
~~Alanzai, Mujda~~

Room: Arts 232 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Huang & Zhou			Neg: Nouri & Elahi		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
2 Zhou, Annie	27.5	2	2 Nouri, Melody	27.1	3
1 Huang, Kaylan	28	1	1 Elahi, Samar	27	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Kaylan: - Good analysis on the issues just need to realize where there's offense

Samar: - Try to find more than 4 minutes to read in the IWC
 - And more than 1 minute in the IWC

Annie: - Good analysis, but you should answer all the args instead of leaving the flow blank with 2 minutes left

Melody: - Why don't you answer all her args on the flow you're taking
 - Don't need to answer everything

RFD: Terminal D on Warning, risk of ⑤ / can only offense left

Schletzbaum, Charles
 Room: Mission X Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational
 PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Varma & Varma			Neg: Zheng & Ziaee		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Varma, Gaurav	26	3	Ziaee, Sameer	27	1
Varma, Anuj	25	4	Zheng, Margaret	27	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Anuj - why did it seem like you were winging it.
 Sameer - for someone who blows their prep conduct research in a sure has a lot of case attacks, NOT
 Gaurav - need better attacks even though their is weak goal analysis on weakest part, learn Commerce class
 Margaret - Do a new Disad shell - learn Ask coach about Division of ground. read Real impacts to spending going make INR real Boring / had all the prep is repeating your stuff If it looks like I'm not flowing, its because you said same thing 3x without new stuff
 Plan - The July 12 card destroys interarchy... If you take 7:25 prep for a 5 min speech, it BETTER be awesome
 I want to start but was humbled by Aff.
 CP - Aff should be winning this ~~round~~ cold, but they have no idea how to handle. should have said Homeland security, Customs and Interstate Commerce and Intl Trade... Learn federal Law
 I wasn't really a federation DA on my favor, was humbled into CP
 Both sides use old time Road maps Please

School:
Olive

Amaranto, Andrew

~~Merchant, Adnan~~

Room:Arts 135 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Singh & Warsi			Neg: Jain & Huynh		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Singh, Chandanjeet	27	4	Huynh, Megan	28	2
Warsi, Rafay	27	3	Jain, Anika	28	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended ____

Comments and reason for decision:

CP solves case, risk of politics

Oral feedback

Latta, Jeff

Shah, Mona

Room: Arts 134 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Kim & Young			Neg: Gulati & Huang		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kim, Minki	26.8	4	Gulati, Shubhangi	27.5	2
Young, Richard	26.8	3	Huang, Michelle	27.5	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Both teams need to slow down and not read their arguments while stating their positions. There was very little eye contact. ~~I do~~ I need to be persuaded and not read to.

~~Affirmative~~
 AG: You guys spoke so fast that I was not able to understand your arguments. You need to enunciate.

I voted for Negative because they were able to show that private industry was able to handle all forms of transportation with examples. The reasoning that HSR was the only way without support

~~Affirmative~~ said that private money is subject to necessary ~~provision~~ Affirmative stating that HSR is best without stating why does not prove your point.

Omohundro-McNulty, Amy

Room:Daly 103 Start time:8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 4

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: MSJ - Lee and Shao			Neg: Pakala & Wang		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Shao, William	29	2	Pakala, Suraj	26	3
Lee, Clifford	29	1	Wang, Eric	26	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended ____

Comments and reason for decision:

- ORGANIZATION!!

- Remember to extend and impact your arguments

- Know the format of your round

RFD given verbally after round

Great attitude on Aff - you guys were really courteous.

Amy Omohundro-McNulty

Peng, Grant,

Bhat, Vivek

Room: Arts 129 Start time: 8:00 AM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**PLN Prelim round 4**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Periyakoil & Rajput			Neg: Advani & Suwala		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Periyakoil, Divya	27.3	3	Advani, Ira	27.6	1
Rajput, Sanil	27.0	2	Suwala, Maia	26.5	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

This policy debate becomes to one focus - solvency, which is the vailability of the HSR as both AC and NC agreed. The vailability is hard to project and ^{the} ways to argue about it is using examples. ~~and~~ In this debate, both examples, Japan/Euro and Austrak, are not very conclusive.

Below are the argument tree for my decision making.

- vailability - examples: inconclusive AC = NC
- future factor: increasing energy cost. AC
- green energy: AC = NC
- operation/build economics: AC = NC
- globe warming conc: AC
- job: for regular/good job, NC
- for any job, AC.

therefore. AC slightly won over the NC.

GRANT PENG, UTA MS