

NOVICE AND

OPEN POLICY

Green, Perry

Room: Sobrato 19 Start time: 6:59 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Shangguan & Park			Neg: Cai & Wang		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Park, Daniel	29	2	Wang, Hanson	28	3
Shangguan, Henry	30	1	Cai, Bill	26	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Daniel - good pace, little slow but good for my tired Brady. Excellent squirrel plan.

Bill - Do sum per in mouth drills, you need enunciation where B impact of dead E you imply hurt Nat Sec. But Nat is Policy - you need as many Nike Wars, extractions or CBW attacks as possible.

Henry - excellent coverage but you spent too much time on D covering 5 of 5 bases when you only needed 2... got boring

Hanson - They gave Dillon impacts in IAC need real impact to OA. you say affect global, but give a complete impact story

IAC Ink - need money, still flooded, states need help

Hanson - granted decent EU on Hurricanes more frequent 55 Billion a month loss

D Neg def even says Roads/Bridges and FEMA solve restricted to infrastructure in plan

States CP - Beijing analysis that states can't afford, that's why Christie begged for help. Different than STD maintenance

Pending - Hansen R says trust fund runs out, but this isn't trust fund they save 55 Billion a month in lost labor I don't see. The losses if they get the tunnel backup.

Capara, Colin

Room: St. Josephs 309 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Tuggle-Whitemore			Neg: Chen & Boreckzy		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Tuggle, Emily	27	3	Chen, Tommie	27.5	1
Whitemore, Cooper	26	4	Boreckzy, Chance	27.5	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Brendan, Vogl

Room: St. Josephs 109 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40
SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Ziaee & Chen			Neg: Turner & Moore		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Ziaee, Taha	29	1	Moore, Zakiya	24.5	4
Chen, Steven	26	3	Turner, Shah	27	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

aff.

- ~~reallocate funds~~
- reallocate funds not spending
- without plan cannot trade
- US needs ports to support itself
- always will consume
- psychoanalysis
 - you cannot change someones views
- we need oil
- cannot have meaningful life without consumption
- consume as long as we live
- port dredging

neg:

- Keynesian spending
- cannot manage greed
- change thinking as a whole
- cannot understand full psychological impact
- psychoanalysis
- decrease consumption
- over consumption makes earth uninhabitable
- less consumption, less oil, less oil spills
- no value to life
- over consuming, psychoanalysis is answer

I voted aff because neg failed to present a change. They presented psychoanalysis but never said how they would change after they received the information. Neg also failed to discuss port dredging, also the consume when they say consumption is wrong.

ZAR is not very responsive, but ZNR hasn't won any issues. on-point neg response.

ISSUES:

Phillips, Les

Room: Kennedy 109 Start time: 6:59 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

VIRILIO - neg says they're topical therefore they link - wait, all conceder (T).

(T) -> INR is entirely new, IAR drops a key argument (social contract); ZNR does not extend this, when aff. returns original voters neg keep generating new ones.

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Irving-Thomas & Marquez			Neg: Frost-Hanifan		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Irving-Thomas, Lenard	27	1	Hanifan, Tim	25	3
Marquez, Chris	25	2	Frost, Samuel	20	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

I think the IAC ought to give me some indication of framework. ~~... I think the IAC ought to give me some indication of framework...~~

oh, wait there it is - thank you. CX makes claims about transportation that I didn't hear in IAC. Neg debaters who ask why white poverty is different from African-American poverty need ... a different perspective, white debaters who ask African-American debaters to prove that racism still exists... I'm not sure what to say. -> 2N accuses of

Neg should not concede aff. framework. The 2N args are interesting but I'm not sure how they're reasons to vote negative... some of them are unwarranted deductions -

of making the round racist; the bounds of civil discourse are being transgressed, at this point

Find much of what the 2N says deeply offensive - either he is oblivious to my nonverbal cues or he doesn't care. My reaction ~~and~~ the spectacle of a white person telling a black person how he should rehabilitate himself is pretty unpleasant, and there is much more. And those observations/arguments aren't even strategically useful.

2A says minorities can't be racist - I don't agree with that either.

Kunes, Kevin

Room: Sobrato 32 Start time: 6:59 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**POL Prelim round 3**

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

NEG Aff: A & A			AFF Neg: Tso & Zhao		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Contreas-Ramazzini, Hector	23	4	Tso, Dustin	28	1
Garcia, Diego	26	2	Zhao, Wilson	25	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

Racist Whiteboys Framework might have worked but Aff proved that governance was compatible with Policy advocacy.

Affirmative Did Affirm resolution, (Contrary to Neg Argument), but "Education and Finance was better with subject" was unconvincing

Paton, Emily

Room: St. Clares 1 Start time: 6:59 PM - 7:40

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Atlas & Patou			Neg: DeGiovanni-Schilling		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Patou, David	3	27.8	Patou, David	27.7	4
Atlas, Ben	2	27.9	DeGiovanni	28	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:



1 A Atlas

1N

- excellent links to impacts
- work on spreading, I could still understand you though.
- good explanation of inherency + solvency.
- make sure to mention time frame in IAC.
- in final speech, good job weighing net ^{weighing net} ~~weighing net~~ ^{bens and} ~~bens~~ ^{keeping your impacts} ~~keeping your impacts~~

- 2nd off was unclear-evidence a bit vague
- work on time- did not respond to econ advantage. This means aff is winning because they have their nuke war scenario.
- in 1NR you mentioned that people in SQuo aren't dying from drinking water. Then why have CP?
- Don't use new evidence!!

2A

2N

- Good perm
- Great job weighing net bens in 2AC!!
- speech was clear. Good flow.

- good job killing perm.
- Nice job bringing up aff's lack of evidence for why roads cause H2O pollution.
- Excellent final speech. You took away Aff's access to impacts and you were winning the round after your final speech.

Neg: Dropping econ advantage in 2NC hurt you. 2N did a good job taking away access to ~~the~~ impacts. However, Aff did a good job taking impacts back and saying that you did not run offense on links or impacts.

Aff - I agree that CP can be done with plan. I give you access to Dehum scenario, I believe it outweighs Neg's impacts, so I vote Aff.

Estes, Troy
Sarchet, Tiffany

Room: St. Clares 2 Start time: 6:59 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Guilford & Nguyen			Neg: Fan & Shi		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Guilford, Alec	26	3	Shi, Mason	20	1
Nguyen, Brian	25	4	Fan, Arthur	27	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

ORAC

Sheer, Chris

Room: St. Clares 6 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40
SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

watch pacing
IAE
R

Aff: Kataria & Li			Neg: Chatterjee & Merchant		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kataria, Rachit	27.5	4	Merchant, Riyaz	28	3
Li, Sidney	29	1	Chatterjee, Kushal	28	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended _____

Comments and reason for decision:

Neg gives us no way
to vote them by doing
no case in last speech

Neg drops many Aff args

While it wasn't a winning
strategy, I did appreciate
the structure/thinking in
ZNR

Less speed, more structured narrative
in rebuttals
(model: ZAR)

Porges, Ellie

Room: Kennedy 108 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40
SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Skyline - Gangaso and Xu			Neg: Auro & Hampton-Bruce		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Xu, Anna	26.8	3	Auro, Jason	26.5	4
Gangaso, Gabe	27.1	2	Hampton-Bruce, William	27.3	1

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

I vote for negative because they refuted the aff's impacts and how nature is not disposable. The aff tried to argue satellites and gps are ~~aff's~~ transportation infrastructure and global warming would be solved. But the neg showed that Adextgen wouldn't manipulate nature.

Smith, Ennis

Room: St. Clares 4 Start time: 6:59 PM 7:40

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

POL Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff wins

Aff: Wang & Pelcastre			Neg: Adduri & Bharadwaj		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Wang, Michael	20.00 30	1	Adduri, Abhinav	9	27.5
Pelcastre, Jose	28	3	Bharadwaj, Tejas	2	29

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

