

NOVICE AND

OPEN POLICY

Suresh

~~Schletzbaum, Charles~~

Room: Loyola 138 Start time: 6:59 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Pakala & Wang			Neg: Kim & Young		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Pakala, Suraj	28.6	2	Kim, Minki	28.8	1
Wang, Eric	28.2	4	Young, Richard	28.4	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

I vote for Negative Team because their arguments and cross-examination arguments were more effective than the Affirmative team.

The Affirmative team did not adequately address the inherent arguments posed by the Negative team.

Overall the Negative Team did a better job presenting their case in terms of the arguments, counter-arguments and rebuttal.

Advani, Ashok

Room: Loyola 137 Start time: 6:59 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Gulati & Huang			Neg: Huang & Zhou		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Gulati, Shubhangl	<del>24</del> 24	<del>2</del> 2	Zhou, Annie	22	4
Huang, Michelle	<del>25</del> 25	<del>1</del> 1	Huang, Kaylan	23	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

I used stock issues in my judgement. The only stock issue debated was topicality. While the Fed Gov taking over transportation infrastructure is borderline topical (a lot of it is already under the government) & it was not clearly spelled out, the Neg did not effectively counter it. The link to it solving Capitalism & its evils is weak, but was not challenged effectively.

The Negative had a counterplan, but its not required in a policy debate, so I did not consider it in my judgement.

Andrey, Doug

Room: Loyola 132 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40  
**SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational**  
**PLN Prelim round 3**

**Instructions:** For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

1 AC  
2 AC

Aff: Kuppahally & Bhoja			Neg: Varma & Varma		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Kuppahally, Anusha	3	27	Varma, Gaurav	29	1
Bhoja, Arushee	4	24	Varma, Anuj	28	2

1st  
2nd

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

AFFIRMATIVE DID NOT HAVE NOT SUFFICIENTLY  
 EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT PLAN  
 EXAMPLE: NO EVIDENCE TO REFUTE CA  
 HS CLAIM  
 NO REASONABLE COST EVIDENCE  
 NEGATIVE TEAM WAS EXTREMELY  
 WELL PREPARED TO ANSWER PLAN...



~~Schletzbaum~~ Amy Omohundro - Mc-Nulty

Merchant, Adnan

Room: Benson 21 Start time: 6:59 PM 7:40

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Advani & Suwala			Neg: Baluyot & Nguyen		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Advani, Ira	28	4	Nguyen, Richard	29	1
Suwala, Maia	28	3	Baluyot, Darrin	29	2

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

AFF: careful with in-round e

8:00  
 -----  
 7:10  
 - 2:30  
 -----  
 4:40

8:00  
 -----  
 6:00  
 - 4:10  
 -----  
 1:50  
 -----  
 0

Amy Omohundro - Mc-Nulty

Soto, Edward

Room: Parlor B Start time: 6:59 PM

## SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

## PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Nouri & Elahi			Neg: Singh & Warsi		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Nouri, Melody	26	2	Singh, Chandanjeet	27	1
Elahi, Samar	25	3	Warsi, Rafay	24	4

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

A1 M - Presented clearly

- Japan + China have been successful
- economy must expand to ↓ unemployment
- lowering taxes will not work alone
- leads to recovery

A2 S - Great summary

- Argued that state HSR will only harm environment the same
- Amtrak is popular and in demand
- Air flight is decreasing in demand

- E2 N1 C
- had lots of confidence
  - any major investment can cause recession
  - runs down economy
    - waste, abuse, & fraud
  - more expensive
  - 50 states privately funded work better

N2 R

- mentioned benefits in long term, but hurts economy in short term
- States tend to fund better programs
- Fed programs aren't too successful

- Negative arguments repeated that the HSR will damage the environment, it's true, but the state funded will cause the exact amount of damage. Our population is growing so it will most likely to be used in the future, which brings in more profit. State HSR will only help state budget, while gov. will help out more issues.

Eiserloh, A.J

Room: Loyola 136 Start time: 6:59 PM

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Zheng & Ziaee			Neg: MSJ - Lee and Shao		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Ziaee, Sameer	26	2	Shao, William	21	4
Zheng, Margaret	27	1	Lee, Clifford	22	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

HSR

- 1) Margaret - Spoke very swiftly. I stumble in very aggressive in cross ex
- 1) economy - prevent depression
- 2) improve economy create jobs
- 2) expand job market
- 2) global warming
  - reduce carbon emissions
  - 6 x 10<sup>9</sup> lb of CO<sub>2</sub>/year
  - prevent species extinction
- 3) ~~prevent terrorism~~
  - reduce oil dependence
  - get away from dependence on Middle East oil dependence

Clifford - Black tie - 1 stumble in beg. ran out of time  
 William - red tie → seemed disorganized in cross ex responses

- (1) U.S. status quo is fine slow when compared to other means
  - save parking fees + tolls drivers prefer to drive
  - more jobs - doesn't create jobs
- (2) ~~to much spending~~ most CO<sub>2</sub> gh gasses come from stationary sources
  - eg) China 70 yrs for rail system to negate its construction cost lead to oil conflicts
- (3) ~~spending will~~ is not sustainable
  - spending → revenue
  - US will overtake oil supply in shale fracking
- ~~1.5 trillion~~
  - increase in taxes
  - more spending + debt
  - will take away from other spending sources
  - govt will put other transp. companies out of business + then govt will control
  - cars are improving w/ greener technologies
  - US is struggling to come out of debt

I thought that both arguments were ~~made~~ <sup>are</sup> strong but the deliveries and the cross ex's were much diff.

I thought that the AFF team gave much more confident deliveries, had better time management, and performed much better on the cross-examinations + rebuttals.

Glenn, Kelsey

Room: Arts 128 Start time: 6:59 PM

7:40  
 SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Kelbert & Desai			Neg: Wang & Hu		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Desai, Prashant	27	28.5	Wang, Esther	27	28
Kelbert, James	24	28	Hu, Kevin	3	28

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended \_\_\_\_\_

Comments and reason for decision:

no link to DA, only defense (of terminal)  
 on the AC so some offense carries  
 through

Desai, Paramesh

Room: Loyola 134 Start time: 6:50 PM

7:40

SCU Dempsey-Cronin Invitational

PLN Prelim round 3

Instructions: For each speaker enter a Speaker Points score between 1 and 30. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Points. For each speaker enter a Speaker Rank score between 1 and 4. Do not enter duplicate scores for Speaker Rank.

Aff: Jain & Huynh			Neg: Bhat & Mamtara		
Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank	Speaker	Speaker Points	Speaker Rank
Huynh, Megan	24	4	Bhat, Pratik	26.6	2
Jain, Anika	27	1	Mamtora, Aditya	26.1	3

Please circle the team that you intend to vote for. Check here to indicate a low point win is intended

Comments and reason for decision:

AFF

1 Ae

- It sounded more like an essay of <sup>flow</sup> WRB will help
- speaker didn't directly talk to the judge, more like reading it

Cx - what make it short term?

2 Ae

Very well explained why AFF plan is better suited & not the counter plan. has limited resource & expense.

or y

X question bio-terrorism  
 counter plan  
 leave it to state to fund infra structure development.  
 - workers are fired after WRB.

There are already 39 SIB, X Already over SIB so the question of <sup>not being</sup> able to fund it 11/16/2012