

Room: A217
Flight 2 - Start: 3:30 PM
NLD 10
Round: 1

1

Herrscher, Rachael
Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
Logan DG	
Diya Garg	29

CONCEPT MATCH = SICK

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Debater:	
Name: Josh Ronlance	?

Winner: Diya Garg School/Team _____ debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) _____ Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Slow down just a little so I can catch the info
Great arguments, well thought out and organized

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: A217
Flight 1 - Start: 3:30 PM
NLD 6
Round: 1

1

Herrscher, Rachael
Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alta MS	
Morgan Stanfield	28

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
SkyVie JF	
Jenni Funk	27

Winner: Morgan Stanfield debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

- Stronger argument, more examples of studies and more reasons argued
- Both needed to look up more

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: D310
Flight 1 - Start: 5:45 PM
NLD 6
Round: 2

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF ^R

Olympu KN	POINTS (24 - 30)
katie nelson	29

NEG ^L

SLCWes IS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Imani Stott	27

Winner: Imani Stott School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: contradicted fairness point with discrimination
betwe clear with the constructive speech

NEG: put forward evidence into case

neg won because aff contradicted
their value of fairness with discrimination
in the rebuttal

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: D310
 Flight 2 - Start: 5:45 PM
 NLD 7
 Round: 2

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
RivHS GW	POINTS (24 - 30)
Gage White	29

NEG	
Logan DG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Diya Garg	28

Winner: A/ta: Simone Wiatrak debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*Neg: mentioned something about antiety any
 your personal life (try to make it as formal as possible)
 - psychology
 try not to look at opponent speech asking judge something
 try not to*

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

*Aff: create more of a stage presence, be more energetic (it wasn't hard)
 work on rebuttal organization*

*Aff won because it's value and valuekritika
 stone through better and the polt + swse argued
 just a little better. Really close round good job.*

3906895

AFF/Alta: Simone Wiatrak
 Neg: Skyview & Josh Sipe

Room: D309
Flight 1 - Start: 5:45 PM
NLD 5
Round: 2

2

Herrscher, Rachael
Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
Logan GM	
Gideon Martin	29

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alta AW	
Austin Woodley	28

Winner: Gideon Martin School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Stronger arguments
Neg did not counter a few
important points raised by
AFF

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: D309
Flight 2 - Start: 5:45 PM
NLD 13
Round: 2

2

Herrscher, Rachael
Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF

SkyVie TU	POINTS (24 - 30)
Tanner Unsworth	28.5

NEG

Layton AS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alexander Schott	29

Winner: Alexander Schott School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Stronger arguments on NEG
But were great
good back & forth

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L. D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Stay 3rd round

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
SLCWes AR	POINTS (24 - 30)
Amaya Ryujin-Sung	27

NEG	
RivHS KC	POINTS (24 - 30)
Kathryn Clemens	25.5

Winner: SLCWes AR School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? ND

Comments & Reason for Decision: Neg gave up most of their points during CX, and didn't attack the AFF case.

- AFF
- take your case out of the binder (while reading it at least)
 - you both have equality as your value. your value isn't ^{just} better, you just have a criterion, which is why yours is better
 - good emphatic speaking

- NEG
- practice flowing
 - great ?'s!! keep going!!
 - print out your case
 - don't just concede all your points! You were doing great, if a little shaky, but you def. could have put up a fight if you had wanted to
 - you had good points, if few of them, try to expand upon the ones you have
 - keep going!! don't just give up during CX

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3906889

You were both great, but keep pushing and looking for new arguments so you're not just re-hashing the same 3 points over and over again. Variety!

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
SLCWes ML	POINTS (24-30)
Marianne Liu	29

NEG	
AmePre AC	POINTS (24-30)
Ainslee Cottam	27

Winner: SLC wes ml School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Comments & Reason for Decision: Neg only argued 1-2 of AFF's points, and failed to defend her own after rebuttal.

AFF

- good job with confidence
 (it seems like you're very prepared)
 you're very prepared, which is great, but that also gives you an opportunity to do more. try coming up with different arguments that are less common, or find more evidence. This is LD, so look for philosophical arguments.
 Also, make sure that you're flowing through your main arguments that weren't argued. Points A-C weren't contested but you can support them anyway. Don't just wait until voters to say anything.

NEG

- don't stop CX if you don't have a specific? Ask them to explain something again to stall for time to think of another?
 - (I know what a ST is, get rid of your definitions)
 - get more cards for your contentions. you have a lot of time, so fill it up!
 - (your VC is objectivity)
 - way to stick to your points! good job
 - equality isn't equity! don't let her get away with that! she did but you didn't argue it

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
 L.D. DEBATE TIMES
 Affirmative 6 min
 Cross Ex 3 min
 Negative 7 min
 Cross Ex 3 min
 Affirmative 4 min
 Negative 6 min
 Affirmative 3 min
 Prep Time 4 min

Both
 great job! you were both strong speakers with good things to say.
 make sure to remember all your arguments, so the last few speeches aren't just on 1 topic

3906896

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF

SkyVie TU	POINTS (24 - 30)
Tanner Unsworth	28

NEG

Logan GM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Gideon Martin	29

Winner: LOGAN GM School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

TANNER LINKED CLAIM, WARRANT & IMPACT VERY CLEARLY
 - GOOD EYE CONTACT
 - DONT CEDE TO TOO MANY POINTS ON NEG
 - ENGAGED NEG WELL
 - ENDED WITH A NUMBER OF ATTACKS ASOT WHAT GIDEON SAID TANNER SAID - LOST INFORMATION

GIDEON - GREAT LOGIC, HIGHLIGHT ARGUMENTS WHEN REPEATING (eg, dont say "I proved it")
 KEEP YOUR MATERIALS ORGANIZED
 KEEP THE EYE CONTACT
 GOOD ENERGY/PASSION

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: A208A
Flight 2 - Start: 7:30 PM
NLD 7
Round: 3

3

Baker, Maria
Layton Layton HS

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF

Olympu KN	POINTS (24 - 30)
katie nelson	FT

NEG

RivHS GW	POINTS (24 - 30)
Gage White	bYE

Winner: RivHS GW School/Team debating on the Forfeit Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Gage White informed the team from flight one that their opponent would not be debating and then walked in and explained that the other team would not be debating.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3908084

17:00
- 2:00
20:00
8

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
SLCWes JH	POINTS (24 - 30)
James Hwu	27

NEG	
Logan DG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Diya Garg	28

Winner: Logan DG debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

SLCWes JH: try to atleast extend your points instead of admitting defeat. Tell me why I should prefer your case

Logan DG: Go into voters instead of attacking your opponents case when they conceded

RFD: Neg attacked opponents case & extended their own giving me reason to vote

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: D310
Flight 2 - Start: 8:00 AM
NLD 13
Round: 4

4

Thomas, Jon
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF		NEG	
Hunter LA	POINTS (24 - 30)	Alta SW	POINTS (24 - 30)
Lazayda Afemata	28	Simone Wiatrak	28

Winner: Hunter School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

*This was a hard one. Both did very well!
In the end, affirmative did a better job
at making their case. Neg struggled to
capitalize on weak points made by Aff.
Whereas Aff made specifically addressed
the points made by Neg.*

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3909586

Room: D309
 Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
 NLD 11
 Round: 4

4

Yo, Josh

AmePre American Preparatory

Katie Wilkinson
Alta HS

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

2P
05
57

AFF	POINTS (24-30)
SkyVie GP	
Gabriela Pacheco-Martinez	<i>28</i>

NEG	POINTS (24-30)
Olympu KN	
katie nelson	<i>28</i>

7:48
0:42
0:28

Winner: *Katie Nelson* debating on the *Neg* Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? *NO*
 School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

value - social welfare - uphold equality

- 1. discrimination*
 - *mental illness?*
 - *non-native students - reading & math*
- 2. true potential - interesting angle*
 - *killng creativity & education*
- 3. cramming - hit this point too hard. There are much more important issues.*
 - *fake persona*

Aff 4m in
 - *hit all of opponents contention*
 - *hit cramming hard*

value - justice / fairness

- 1. no bias - independent org*
 - *objective measures*
 - *teacher grading subjective*
 - *academic mastery*
- 2. areas of improvement*
 - *eval. effectiveness of ed. program*
 - *improve educational curriculum*
 - *state accountability for schools*
- 3. prepare for college exams*
 - *focus & study habits*

Neg 6m in - used 1:47!
 - *focused on anxiety & stress*

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min ✓
Cross Ex 3 min ✓
Negative 7 min ✓
Cross Ex 3 min ✓
Affirmative 4 min ✓
Negative 6 min ✓
Affirmative 3 min ✓
Prep Time 4 min

** BFD Argument and presentation was stronger in general. You were both good speakers. Be sure to fill out your time. Slow down and breathe when you speak. You have time, just relax!*

3909584

Room: A208A
Flight 1 – Start: 8:00 AM
NLD 9
Round: 4

4

Weeks, Grace
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF

RivHS GW	POINTS (24 - 30)
Gage White	

NEG

SLCWes AK	POINTS (24 - 30)
Amrita Krishna	

Winner: _____ debating on the _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3909582

Room: D310
Flight 1 - Start: 8:00 AM
NLD 6
Round: 4

4

Thomas, Jon
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
AmePre JZ	POINTS (24 - 30)
Julissa Zuniga	27

NEG	
SLCWes IS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Imani Stott	28

Winner: SLCWes School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

debate came down to discussion of fairness vs. equal opportunity. Aff struggled to identify how fairness could be achieved using alternative methods whereas neg effectively identified consequences to our ability as a society to be fair

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Room: A315
Flight ~~12~~ Start: 10:00 AM
NLD 8
Round: 5

5

Thomas, Jon
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF

Logan DG	Skyview	POINTS (24 - 30)
Diya Garg	Tanner Unsworth	27

NEG

Layton AS	Cyprus	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alexander Schott	Madison Warden	28

Winner: Cyprus School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Tanner

- Excellent start, wanted more evidence for tests being unnecessary. Did well in cross-examination but focused on points that were not central to debate.

Madison

- Would have liked more backing of "competence is more important than ethicality". It's a strong statement and requires stronger support. However, I think holding your ground on pragmatism was well done.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3915908

Room: A315
Flight 1 - Start: 10:00 AM
NLD 8
Round: 5

5

Thomas, Jon
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
Logan DG	
Diya Garg	29

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Layton AS	
Alexander Schott	28

Winner: Logan School/Team debating on the Aff Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Debate question came down to a question of fairness. Aff had the burden of proof and did very well at articulating how fairness is a value of proceeds out of our a conception of fairness. Neg's contention that "Standardized Tests are Awesome" is problematic in that it's so difficult to contend for that point. In the end Aff's appeal to fairness was more convincing and won the debate.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L. D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
SkyVie GP	
Gabriela Pacheco-Martinez	29

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alta AW	
Austin Woodley	29

Winner: Austin Alta AW debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD - Neg won because AFF dropped points - value clash was good - Both good speakers - Both need to roadmap better - I was lost during the final speech

Overall good debate

Gabriela's time could have been spent better in final speech than comparing sources. He agreed with you on the language argument by bringing up US diversity - but you didn't mention it -

Austin watch your assessments - Fidgeted a lot with your tie - Pick up your flow so you are not looking at the table

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L. D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
SLCWes AR	POINTS (24 - 30)
Amaya Ryujin-Sung	27

NEG	
Skylin JP	POINTS (24 - 30)
Joshi Purvi	29

Winner: Skylin JP School/Team debating on the ~~Aff~~^{Neg} Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? N

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RFD: Neg won because AFF Dropped 2 points -
 and neg won value debate -

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Amaya - please roadmap - I had a hard time following arguments -
 Also - don't make 1st Contentions so long -
 throw in some statistics - Don't know how big of a problem it is -

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Joshi - very confident - Aff doesn't need to provide a solution - And I didn't like the Japan example - they still test - the resolution is no test, not one

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF		NEG	
SLCWes IS	POINTS (24 - 30)	Alta AB	POINTS (24 - 30)
Imani Stott	26	Alex Brown	27

Winner: ~~Imani Stott~~ Alex Brown School/Team debating on the ~~Aff~~ Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Aff: Avoid contradictions with value and contentions

Neg: make sure to add solid evidence in the case - avoid personal experiences

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

~~Handwritten scribbles and crossed-out text.~~

Neg won the round by exploiting the contradiction made by the aff case suffering the flow

3915911

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

AFF	
Alta SW	POINTS (24 - 30)
Simone Wiatrak	29

NEG	
SkyVie JS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Josh Sipe	27

Winner: Simone Wiatrak debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Neg: avoid using personal examples
 keep the debate as formal as possible
 avoid looking at opponent

Aff: create a larger stage presence
 though more emotion and charisma

Aff won because the value-value criterion
 stated through better with the contentions, more
 contentions flowed through
 he did a good job reaching the opposing
 contentions

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
 L.D. DEBATE TIMES
 Affirmative 6 min
 Cross Ex 3 min
 Negative 7 min
 Cross Ex 3 min
 Affirmative 4 min
 Negative 6 min
 Affirmative 3 min
 Prep Time 4 min

3915905

Room: A208A
Start: 1:00 PM
NLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Weeks, Grace
SkyView Sky View

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Wilkinson, Katie, Hansen, Robert

Skylin MT (Mallory Thomas) vs Layton TF (Todd Farnsworth)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Skyline MT 30pts AFF Layton TF 29.8pts NEG
Winner: Skyline MT School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

Skyline MT

You had a very good presentation as well as case. I was very impressed. Also you defended yourself against your opponents attack. Very good job

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Layton TF

First of in your last speech I was very impressed you were able to use all of your time. You reciprocated your points which is a very smart way of keeping time. Something to work on is your eye contact but other than that you did a terrific job.

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

RFD:

3920358

The affirmation was future able to wrap her case in their contention. They also provided a objective.

Room: A208A
Start: 1:00 PM
NLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

JENNIFER CHAN
Hansen, Robert
Davis-Davis High
OHS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Wilkinson, Katie, Weeks, Grace

Layton TF(Todd Farnsworth) vs Skylin MT(Mallory Thomas)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

SKYLINE

AFF

LAYTON

NEG

Winner:

SKYLINE

School/Team

debating on the

AFF

Side (Aff or Neg)

Both Mallory & Todd had well developed and structured argumentations.

Mallory had good eye contact, parried back well in negative cross examination, addressed each of her opponents well in rebuttal and had a stronger affirmative rebuttal

Todd was ^{personally} strongest in cross examination than his other categories. Questions were not as well developed as Mallory's. Todd was able to cite his sources VERY WELL.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3920358

Room: A217
Start: 1:00 PM
NLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Clark, Tatelyn
SkyVie Sky View

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Thomas, Jon , Lexis, Edward

Skylin JP(Joshi Purvi) vs Alta AB(Alex Brown)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Alex Brown

AFF

Joshi Purvi

NEG

Winner: Skyline
School/Team

debating on the Neg
Side (Aff or Neg)

- original arguments
- AFF didn't mention their burden (or lack of)
- attacked all of their opponents points
- flowed through 3rd contention
- doesn't make sense that people should choose what to tell colleges, they could lie or omit important info

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

3920361

Room: A217
Start: 1:00 PM
NLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

~~Peter, Aidan~~
~~Lexis, Edward~~
Cyprus Cyprus High School
East High

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Clark, Tatelyn, Thomas, Jon

Alta AB(Alex Brown) vs Skylin JP(Joshi Purvi)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Alta AB (28) AFF SKYlin JP (27.5) NEG
Winner: Alta AB School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg)

3FD:
vote aff on fw, the impacts of the aff I weighed more + time constraints + productivity doesn't matter when people in the suvo are being discriminated against by race/class whatever, so the most harm is to rid of tests which cause this harm

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Alta AB
extend your evidence + add dates to your tags. don't just extend a whole contention.
make sure to attack your opponents case ~~also~~ also, not just your rebuttals

Skylin JP
please make sure to attack their fw on how they don't uphold their fw, not how their case doesn't have a measurement
try to go down the flow more to be organized
Alan NOTORAS AS

3920361

Room: A217
Start: 1:00 PM
NLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Thomas, Jon
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Clark, Tatelyn, Lexis, Edward

Alta AB(Alex Brown) vs Skylin JP(Joshi Purvi)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Alta

AFF

Skyline

NEG

Winner:

Skyline

School/Team

debating on the

Neg.

Side (Aff or Neg)

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Alta

Presentation

- + good eye contact
- + smooth transitioning
- + good framework/explanation
- could be better without as much reading from paper

Rebuttal

- + good speaking, responded well on cross, hit most points

Skyline

Presentation

- + good eye contact
- + confident speech/passionate
- + good framework/explanation
- avoid filler words

Rebuttal

- + good questioning on cross
- didn't understand Aff's cross (work on a way to formulate why Aff's questions didn't make sense)

Both made good arguments for use of their framework

very good arguments & presentation

Room: A213
Start: 4:30 PM
NLD 1
Round: Final

Final

Chan, Jennifer
Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own points of reason. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and present clear ideas using professional decorum. No new arguments may be introduced in the final speeches. Judges are encouraged to take notes to help them remember what was presented.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Ewell, Katelynn, Wilkinson, Katie

Skylin JP(Joshi Purvi) vs SLCWes AK(Amrta Krishna)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

WEST AFF SKYLINE NEG

Winner: SKYLINE School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg)

Speech Times:
PLEASE GIVE TIME SIGNALS
L.D. DEBATE TIMES
Affirmative 6 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 7 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Affirmative 4 min
Cross Ex 3 min
Negative 6 min
Affirmative 3 min
Prep Time 4 min

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

~~Skyline EXCELLENT eye contact, summarized well, perfect balance of content & balance when delivering philosophy. Cited excellent resources. Summarized conclusions well. Overall had a strong impact.~~
~~West had excellent cross examination. Overall responded to opponent's claims more effectively. Cited excellent resources.~~
~~Both performed really effectively; I feel Skyline was just slightly stronger.~~

3921242