

Room: C310
 Flight 1 – Start: 5:45 PM
 NPF 2
 Round: 2

2

Brown, Becky
 Olympu Olympus High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Logan CW	POINTS (24 - 30)
1st	Elise Carter	29
2nd	Belle Weed	30

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	RowHal HW	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Anna Hull	28
2	Angel Wang	25

Winner: Logan CW School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both teams came very prepared with important facts, references, and formulated arguments for their case. Nevertheless, the AFF team displayed solid logic and reasoning in their position. They were prepared not only to debate their point thoroughly, they were able to find flaws in their opponents argument. They utilized evidence to clearly outline their position in this issue. Well done!

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

3906913

Room: C310
 Flight 2 - Start: 5:45 PM
 NPF 6
 Round: 2

2

Katelynn Ewell
 Hunter
 Brown, Becky
 Olympu Olympus High School
 3 min prep

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Logan SW	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Sara Shaw	27
1	Lyti Weed	28

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Davis MF	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Jade Meyer	30
1	Ereka Faasavalu	30

Winner: NEGATION : DAVIS debating on the NEG Low point win? no.
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

RDF: Both speakers were involved in the negative. Clear linkage. Affirmed cost benefit analysis framework throughout case. Established clash and addressed affirmative contention's without losing primary focus of the negative's case.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

OVERALL: Great cases! Good job for novices at such a prestigious tourney. I suggest to clarify taglines + authors / dates and not to look at each other during cross. ALSO! Try to address what your opponents "dropped" during summaries and voters. Makes for stronger speech!!!

AFF:

- hardly addressed Framework
- too focused on your first impact (environment). It switched the focus of your case.
- awesome use of off time roadmap and other "debate jargon"
- somewhat dominant in cr, never allowed 2nd speaker to address

NEG:

- dropped AFF's Impact 2 card (Poverty)
- thoroughly connected to Framework
- very composed and eloquent (clear and focused)
- both involved in cross

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

3906917

INTERESTING ROUND TO JUDGE :D !!

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF	NEG (Circle Side)	Speakers	1st	2nd	POINTS (24 - 30)
			Skylin BM		
		1	Trevor McMillan		24
		2	John Billick		26

AFF	NEG (Circle Side)	Speakers	1st	2nd	POINTS (24 - 30)
			Davis HM		
		2	Eileen Magana		28
		1	Mitchell Hoffman		26

Winner: Davis School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Davis 3; Skylin didnt give ~~solid~~ evidence that could be proven. Both of the teams went on a endless cycle of they said this and that but I prob recall that the NEG had solid points that they could back up with more sufficient evidence.

Skylin: work on using words that dont involve "odds." That leaves other teams open to say something like "how are odds sufficient evidence"? and that can get you in a lot of trouble

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** 2nd

Spkr	Olympu BH	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Madeline Brown	24
3	Addie Herrscher	24

~~AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st **2nd**~~

Spkr	RowHal HL	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Jaiden Handlon	30
4	Jack Lange	30

Winner: RowHal debating on the AFF Low point win?
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

NEG Olympu

AFF RowHal

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

- * great sources
- * great facts
- * great eyecontact
- * Be more prepared next time
- * short speech/debate
- * Weak answers/question
- * Didn't have any answers
- * Weak summary

- * Great speaking notes
- * Great facts/statistics
- * Great questions
- * Great points
- * Great eye contact
- * Great crossfire answers
- * short speech (over 3 min)

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** **2nd**

Spkr	SLCWes AY	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Brendon Young	30
4	Ronak Agarwal	30

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** **2nd**

Spkr	Logan SW	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Sara Shaw	28
3	Lyti Weed	29

Winner: SLC West School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

<p><u>Logan High (AFF)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ spoke clearly ★ Great statistics/Facts ★ Used various policies ★ Go green ★ Weak answers ★ Great note taking 	<p><u>SLC West (Neg)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ spoke clearly ★ Great facts/statistics ★ Great eye contact ★ Great questions ★ Great note taking ★ Great cards/evidence to back up answers
--	--

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

NEG (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Skylin BM	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Trevor McMillan	29
1	John Billick	28

Spkr	Logan AB	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Emma Adams	28
1	Zoie Buckner	27

Winner: Neg Skylin debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Comments & Reason for Decision: Neg clearly stated & supported arguments; refuted each opponent's arguments well.

Zoie - great job! Emphasize key arguments more distinctly. You offered good support & research citations.

John - Your arguments & support were very clear. Nicely supported arguments

Emma - Good argument & support for renewable energy argument.

Trevor - Great crossfire - good questions. You clearly

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF	NEG	(Circle Side)	Speakers	1st	2nd	POINTS
						(24 - 30)
	RowHal HW					
	34 Anna Hull					29
	28 Angel Wang					28

AFF	NEG	(Circle Side)	Speakers	1st	2nd	POINTS
						(24 - 30)
	Davis MF					
	43 Jade Meyer					29
	12 Ereka Faasavalu					29

Winner: Davis School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? no

Comments & Reason for Decision: Aff's arguments not as clear & didn't refute Neg. Neg strongly stated case & had good support.

Angel - Great support & citations for your arguments. I didn't catch arguments 2 & 3 in your opening speech. Please emphasize them clearly.

Ereka - Outstanding! You were extremely clear in your main points & everything was well laid out & supported. You are easy to follow & your points are well articulated. Please clarify which of your opponents' arguments you are refuting.

Anna - Very good! You were solid on refuting opponents' main points. Strong responses to questions. You are well prepared.

Jade - Excellent arguments! Well supported. Help the judge clearly know which of the opponents' main points you're refuting. Great questions in cross.

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

- speaker 1 4 min.
- speaker 2 4 min.
- Crossfire 3 min.
- speaker 3 4 min.
- speaker 4 4 min.
- Crossfire 3 min.
- Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
- Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
- Grand Crossfire 3 min.
- Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
- Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Logan SW	POINTS (24 - 30)
34	Sara Shaw	27
28	Lyti Weed	29

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st 2nd

Spkr	Skylin BM	POINTS (24 - 30)
33	Trevor McMillan	25
31	John Billick	27

Winner: Skylin BM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win?

Comments & Reason for Decision: ^{30 used} _{71-20 S.}

Aff comments:
 Try and use all of your speech time! Walk down your opponents flow and say why each individual point is wrong.
 • good job Lyti trying to answer a question without them bringing you into an answer
 • good final focus!!!
 • get specific in rebuttal! Instead of citing your card, dissect why theirs is wrong.

Neg comments: ^{all prep used}
 • great rebuttal
 • commanding in CX but a little mean
 good rebuttals:
 Note: "we live in USA so why would we hurt USA isn't a great argument"
 your final focus was great but you're aggressive in cross (can be good but felt overbearing)

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Both: make sure you're consistent in arguments of who trades with whom and how powerful china is! You did good but I've seen it as an issue in many rounds.

RFD: so I agree that if leverage existed, aff gets impacts. Since aff didn't sufficiently refute that China doesn't need EU, I couldn't believe leverage! Since aff didn't refute neg's trade relationship (3, I had to see that as a net loss to joining BRI. Couldn't believe climate change linked in aff world enough.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers 1st **2nd**

Spkr	Davis MF	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Jade Meyer ^{2nd}	28
1	Ereka Faasavalu ^{1st}	29

AFF NEG (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** 2nd

Spkr	Logan AB	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Emma Adams ^{2nd}	28
1	Zoie Buckner ^{1st}	27

Winner: Davis MF School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

neg focused more on the end on their framework. They wrapped it up nicely by showing that they used cost benefit analysis better.

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

~~great~~ great debate!

can't spell...



YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** **2nd**

Spkr	Logan CW	POINTS (24-30)
2	Elise Carter	29
4	Belle Weed	27

AFF **NEG** (Circle Side) Speakers **1st** **2nd**

Spkr	GreCan RW	POINTS (24-30)
1	Giuliette Reese	29
3	Will Wright	28

Winner: Logan CW School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: Feedback

Logan (AFF)	GreCan (NEG)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Great note taking ★ Great eye contact ★ Spoke clearly ★ Great facts/statistics ★ Went into great depth ★ mentioned green energy ★ Great questions ★ Had evidence to backup answers ★ Great plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Great eyecontact ★ Dressed preffessionally ★ Great facts/statistics ★ Speeches went into great depth ★ spoke clearly ★ Great wording ★ Great evidence ★ Went into depth with answers ★ Yan currency

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

- speaker 1 4 min. —
- speaker 2 4 min. —
- Crossfire 3 min. —
- speaker 3 4 min. —
- speaker 4 4 min. —
- Crossfire 3 min. —
- Sp. 1 summary 3 min. —
- Sp. 2 summary 3 min. —
- Grand Crossfire 3 min. —
- Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min. —
- Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min. —

3916482

Room: C410
Flight 1 - Start: 1:00 PM
NPF 1
Round: Semi Final

Semi Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Leavitt, Casey
Olympu Olympus High School

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Brown, Becky, Howell, Marie

Davis CB(Cottrell & Blood) vs GreCan RW(Reese & Wright)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Cottrell & Blood

AFF

Reese & Wright

NEG

Winner:

GreCan

School/Team

debating on the

Neg

Side (Aff or Neg)

Blood - clear organization & delivery of case.

Reese - I like your presentation style - analytical, with a little persuasion thrown in.

Cottrell - You speak clearly & effectively. Work on holding still & avoiding "um's" & "uh's".

Wright - Great job answering questions. Cross is a strength for you.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

3919446

Semi Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Leavitt, Casey, Howell, Marie

Davis CB(Cottrell & Blood) vs GreCan RW(Reese & Wright)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

DAVIS CB

AFF

GRECAN RW

NEG

Winner: GRECAN RW debating on the NEG
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

All extremely professional + courteous of each other.

BLOOD

enunciated well.

Never took eyes off computer.

Great eye contact during summary.

Impressive grasp of the topic + facts.

REESE

great eye contact

Thoughtful questions.

Powerful presence - great use of body movement when speaking.

COTTRELL

Very strong + clear speech.

Nervous sway.

Finished strong w/ "If we didn't join BRI, what would we do?" Powerful.

WRIGHT

great presence.

Very knowledgeable + confident.

Amazing speaker!

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

Room: C410
Flight 2 - Start: 1:00 PM
NPF 1
Round: Semi Final

Semi Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Leavitt, Casey
Olympu Olympus High School

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Howell, Marie, Brown, Becky

SLCWes AY (Agarwal & Young) vs Logan CW (Carter & Weed)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Logan - Carter
Weed
AFF

West - Agarwal
Young
NEG

Winner: SLCWes AY debating on the Neg
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Young - Constructive speech was well laid out. Summary did not seem as organized. I felt like the arguments got lost at times in the recitation of facts & data.

Carter - Great delivery. Clear, organized, compelling case. Strong points with excellent evidence. Delivered perfectly.

Agarwal - A little fast & hard to follow. Really nice job on cross. You are solid on your citations, even having some memorized.

Weed - Nice job on cross. I think you would be more effective speaking a little slower & staying calm.

3919445

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Semi Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Howell, Marie, Leavitt, Casey

SLCWes AY (Agarwal & Young) vs Logan CW (Carter & Weed)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

LOGAN CW AFF SLCWes AY NEG

Winner: SLCWes AY School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg)

CARTER
~~YOUNG~~

Strong + Confident voice.
 Very clear when speaking.
 Very good use of evidence.
 Calm + powerful presence.

YOUNG
~~CARTER~~

Powerful speaker.
 Knowledge of what you're saying, impressive.
 GREAT cross.
 Careful when you're reading.
 Go too fast.
 Good use of emphasis on certain points.

WEED
~~AGARWAL~~

Amazing eye contact + body language.
 Passionate speaker but sometimes brain was working faster than mouth could speak.

AGARWAL
~~WEED~~

Amazing grasp of the material.
 Strong speaking voice + great eye contact!
 Recall of evidence was impressive.
 Conversational speaking very effective

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

3919445

Room: C410
Start: 4:30 PM
NPF 1
Round: Final

Final

Michaela Bevan
~~Shackelford, Mike~~

RowHal Rowland Hall

Michaela Bevan

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges o see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Torres, Andres , Webb, Colton

SLCWes AY(Agarwal & Young) vs GreCan RW(Reese & Wright)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

end GreCan RW ^{1st} SLCWes AY

AFF NEG

Winner: SLCWes AY debating on the Neg

School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

you guys all did great in crossfire! you're also all great speakers (no surprise you're in finals)
 I'd double check on rules but my prot as a judge is no new evidence after the summary speeches
 both of you did a great job at crystallizing the arguments down to the important parts & debated so well!

Point Scale:
 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
 speaker 1 4 min.
 speaker 2 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 speaker 3 4 min.
 speaker 4 4 min.
 Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
 Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
 Grand Crossfire 3 min.
 Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
 Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min

RFD: I voted neg because I felt like a few key pieces of evidence needed to be further refuted by the aff.
 1. Recession: the cards used do show theoretical link from BRI to Europe recession, which compromises aff impacts. ~~empty cards~~
 2. jobs: even if no recession, aff ³⁹²¹⁵⁰² didn't refute the 99% of workers are Chinese card or loss of 3.5m jobs in Europe card, so I couldn't see a net win.
 3. Climate: aff agreed there is progress in SQUO, so the harms of coal plants (which are kinda dropped) outweigh. If ↑ money led directly to combatting climate change, I could see aff winning that point.

Feel free to email if you have questions! bevan-michae1@gmail.com

Room: C410
Start: 4:30 PM
NPF 1
Round: Final

Final

PF Novice

KBooy, Michelle
Torres, Andres

RowHal Rowland Hall

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Shackelford, Mike, Webb, Colton

GreCan RW(Reese & Wright) vs SLCWes AY(Agarwal & Young)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

GreCan RW

AFF

SLCWes AY

NEG

Winner:

SLCWes AY

School/Team

debating on the

NEG

Side (Aff or Neg)

Mff →

or really refute it

Neg wins on impacts & FW. Aff didnt offer a FW so I have to use NEG's. Under a cost-benefit analysis

the neg impacts are too large for the aff to overcome with the arguments made. The BRI does not bring in enough jobs or money to the EU to help solve for climate change. Aff tried w/ the refugee ~~bullet~~ bullet trains to say there are going to be enough jobs + money for bullet trains to help environment, but Neg countered w/ jobs going to Chinese & Aff had no response. Aff conceded status quo abt clean energy. Aff also had no response to infrastructure argument that bullet trains were enough to save & that they would cost too much to build.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

Recession argument → not factored into my RFD because neither side really directly attacked each other's arguments

3921502

Everyone had awesome presence, knowledge of the facts. You all are very professional & polished.

Make sure to tell me if you're bringing up new points or attacking a point on the other side. Both sides wasted too much time criticizing the form or content of cross questions than actually asking & answering.

Room: C410
Start: 4:30 PM
NPF 1
Round: Final

Final

Elggren, Sabreena
~~Webb, Colton~~
~~Rowland Hall~~
East

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The European Union should join the Belt and Road Initiative.

INSTRUCTIONS

Judges should decide the round as it is debated, not based on their personal beliefs. Clash of ideas is essential to debate, so debaters should point out flaws in each others' arguments, as well as presenting their own arguments. Debaters should display solid logic and reasoning, advocate a position, utilize evidence, and communicate clear ideas using professional decorum. Evidence should be available for the opposing team or the judges to see. No new arguments may be introduced in the Final Focus.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Shackelford, Mike , Torres, Andres

GreCan RW(Reese & Wright) vs SLCWes AY(Agarwal & Young)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Reese Wright	Agarwal & Young
AFF	NEG
Winner: Agarwal & Young	
School/Team	debating on the Neg
	Side (Aff or Neg)

Young: 28
Good facts 50% CO² by 2050
US 2.5% auto tariff
900 million jobs lost due to possible recession

Agarwal: 29
Effective rebuttal of china won't build BRI w/out EU
~~opportunity to counter~~
Final focus countered all points

Reese: 28
Creative approaches appealing to Pathos form of persuasion
work on frustration level

Wright: 27
Flip con into pro w/ 1 trillion climate change cost w/ sets framework
for crossborder grids & solar electricity

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

speaker 1 4 min.
speaker 2 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
speaker 3 4 min.
speaker 4 4 min.
Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 1 summary 3 min.
Sp. 2 summary 3 min.
Grand Crossfire 3 min.
Sp. 3 Final Focus 2 min.
Sp. 4 Final Focus 2 min.

3921502