

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	
NAME	POINTS (24 - 30)
Layton RL	
Richard LeDuc	28

NEG	
NAME	POINTS (24 - 30)
Logan GZ	
Gary Zhan	29

Winner: Gary Zhan School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Richard opportunity

Social Welfare - competent individuals for society

opportunity
 education & chances of educational opportunity
 standardized tests ↓ opportunity
 standardized test are useless. Different classes
 Use GPA
 cont 3 - Bad test takers - found fairer ways to evaluate = college diversity

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Gary - Justice

Cont. 1. Standardized test has shown = to college GPA. Equally compares all students regardless of race/gender.

cont. 2. Tests allow to equalize throughout country - data reveals inequality in schools - racial disparities

criteria - equity in opportunity vs. outcome
 USE forward SAT ↓ race

- SAT/ACT predicts college GPA - Essays not useful - Letters of recommendation - not useful

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	NEG								
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;">Layton IL</th> <th style="width: 20%;">POINTS (24 - 30)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ian Larsen</td> <td style="text-align: center;">28</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Layton IL	POINTS (24 - 30)	Ian Larsen	28	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 80%;">Logan AX</th> <th style="width: 20%;">POINTS (24 - 30)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Ashton Xu</td> <td style="text-align: center;">27</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Logan AX	POINTS (24 - 30)	Ashton Xu	27
Layton IL	POINTS (24 - 30)								
Ian Larsen	28								
Logan AX	POINTS (24 - 30)								
Ashton Xu	27								

Winner: Ian Larsen School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Ian - Social Welfare
 collect produce competent individuals -
 Education does effect persons. opportunity
 1. violate equality of opportunity ST cannot measure people in a fair way.
 2. tests are testful - can lead to cheating - ie USC - people will do anything to have high score
 3. success stories Hampshire University - ST don't predict student success. led to diversity letters. GPA over 4 yrs. HS GPS greater predictor rather than ST. ST can't predict.
Logan - 3:15 Justice - what people are entitled
 ST - scientific process. College GPA = ST ~~to~~ score. SAT/ACT - highly predictive of college success.
 - career counseling available to all students. VC. considering abolishing ST would ↑ W ↓ B ↑ Hisp. already not represented will ↑ W.
 3. Exposes racial disparity - ST helps expose & helps schools
Equality of opportunity - Equity - give everyone equity.
 talking away tests - ↑ discrimination - more B & HS. in the past ↓ now.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D208
Flight 2 - Start: 5:45 PM
OLD 11
Round: 2

2

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Cyprus ZJ	POINTS (24 - 30)
Zoe Jones	26

NEG

Davis BM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Bryn Montierth	30

Winner: Davis BM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: SPOKE very clearly and all of her arguments and topics were very thought out and so well organized.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D208
Flight 1 – Start: 5:45 PM
OLD 15
Round: 2

2

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	POINTS (24 - 30)
Cyprus SE	
Sam Elliss	27

NEG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Skylin AV	
Aarushi Verma	29

Winner: Skylin AV School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:
She was able to speak very clearly and showed all of her points and topics, so thought out and very easy to follow.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D206
Flight 1 - Start: 5:45 PM
OLD 7
Round: 2

2

huffaker, nicola
Hunter Hunter High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Alta EE	POINTS (24-30)
Emily Erickson	24

NEG

Layton RL	POINTS (24-30)
Richard LeDuc	30

Winner: ALTA School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? YES

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: STRONG POINTS, WELL PREPARED.

NEG: EXTREMELY GOOD POINTS, HOWEVER, RELIED UPON CHARISMA AND PERSONALITY MORE THAN TOPIC.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3906924

Room: D206
Flight 2 - Start: 5:45 PM
OLD 8
Round: 2

2

huffaker, nicola
Hunter Hunter High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Logan GS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Gwyn Sturgeon	25

NEG

SkyVie SM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Sarah Mayers	24

Winner: SkyView School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? NO

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: NERVOUS, UNCLEAR. LITTLE TO DO WITH ACTUAL TESTING.

NEG: PROFESSIONAL, CLEAR ARGUMENTS. STRONG, VALID POINTS

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

A208

3906925

Room: D304
Flight 1 - Start: 7:30 PM
OLD 16
Round: 3

3

huffaker, nicola
Hunter Hunter High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	
SkyVie SM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Sarah Mayers	30

NEG	
Cyprus ZJ	POINTS (24 - 30)
Zoe Jones	29

Winner: CYPRUS School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? YES

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF: MADE A GOOD ARGUMENT, DID NOT SEEM VERY READY FOR CROSS-EX. OR REBUTTALS.

NEG: VERY PASSIONATE, EXCELLENT POINTS.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3907854

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

SkyVie SG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Susana Gonzalez	28

NEG

Alta MM	POINTS (24 - 30)
McKenna Matthews	30

Winner: ALTA mm School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF - NOT CONSIDER
 GOOD CRITERION
 IN A TOUGH SPOT
 SHOULD HAVE STUCK TO
 THE RESOLUTION BUT BY
 CEDING THAT STD TESTS ARE
 NEEDED LET NEG CARRY DEBATE

AGREE BUT
 NEG - ELIMINATE
 HAD COUNTER PLAN
 CLEAR, INDEPTH RATIONALE
 VERY GOOD PRESENTATION
 + ENGAGEMENT
 RISKY BUT CLEVER
 STRATEGY

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	
AmePre MS	POINTS (24 - 30)
Mariam Safeudien	29

NEG	
Logan KM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Krystan Morrison	30

Winner: Logan KM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

* See back for results reasons

Comments & Reason for Decision: 2:22

Mariam - Fairness - ST do not allow equal opportunities

- ST not accurate measure of students character & how they will do. GPA in HS better predicts GPA in college

- Letters of recommendation. Stanford found women do worse on ST.

Females. must score higher on ACT to = GPA for colleges. Black people do ↓ on SAT. questions on the test are geared toward Whites.

- not fair for people of low income

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Krystan - 3:16
 Removing Equity - encompasses. ST provide more opportunity; UC found when ST ↓ colored students ↑ Whites letters of Rec. already benefit students of privilege. private schools give better letters of Reccomd. AP test.

2. Institutional Elitism -

Aff.? Equal opportunity - ST better predictor of College GPA
 ST scores equalize for gender/background - Grade inflation - ST equitable

Fee waivers. ST helps save money in long run - resources - don't dismiss ST
 - ST > GPA in college
 GPA probe: - ST equitable

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Alta EE	POINTS (24 - 30)
Emily Erickson	29

NEG

Cyprus AG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alex Garr	29

Winner: ALTA EE School/Team debating on the AF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

GOOD DELIVERY + ATTITUDE OF
 AFF, GOOD EYE CONTACT
 DEFENDED + CONSIDERABLE

BUT ARGUED COLLEGES
 USING TO PREDICT PERF

ARGUES THAT IF HAD SHOULD
 NOT USE + REMINDS ALTERNATIVE
 - SHOULD GPA EFFECTIVE

CLOSE

NEG

ENGAGED WELL, PUSHED
 BUT NOT TOO AGGRESSIVE

ARGUES THAT TESTS SET
 A MINIMUM

ARGUES COMBINATION OF TESTS
 NEEDED + LIBERTY - GOOD
 JOB

CEDED TESTS DO CAUSE
 HARM

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Negative 7 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Affirmative 4 min.
 Negative 6 min.
 Affirmative 3 min.
 Prep time: 4 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

CopHil SN	POINTS (24 - 30)
Seth Nielsen	30

NEG

Layton GM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Greta Moses	29

Winner: Copper Hills School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: He had all of his points and topic thought out. Kept eye contact throughout his speeches. Seemed to know what he was debating on.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

CopHil OB	POINTS (24 - 30)
Olivia Baron	28

NEG

Layton RL	POINTS (24 - 30)
Richard LeDuc	27

Winner: Copper Hills School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: She was organized and had a lot of thought and research on her topic, including lots of evidence and facts that helped her sway me.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	POINTS (24-30)
Cyprus SE	
Sam Elliss	28

NEG	POINTS (24-30)
SkyVie CL	
Cole Lantz	27

Winner: Cyprus SE debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____
 School/Team

* Impnt on sack *

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sam - ^{1:40} "Acadament achievement"

Multiple alternatives to ST

1. Test arent accurat measures of college achievement ^{only for} Freshman year. SAT was never
2. Dont measure other attributes - artistic abilities / creative etc. only measures mememorized
- Portfolio based assesment. better than ST
- Performance examinars - essays written over 2 days
- Skalth testing - eliminates stress of testing / cost.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Cole ~~2:22~~ 3:22

"Equality" - right of people to have the same treatment.
 Justice -

1. ST good for students: continuing screening: Alocative is more difficult to measure
2. Motivation - if kids are motivated in Education - ST can be that way - Track growth.
3. ST is inclusive. Kids with ³⁹⁰⁹¹²⁶ disabilities should be include in ST
 IEP. examp of differentiated formula for go each kid.
 everyone is different on ST and thats an ok thing

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Cyprus AG	POINTS (24 - 30)
Alex Garr	29

NEG

Layton IL	POINTS (24 - 30)
Ian Larsen	30

Winner: Layton School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: Very well organized, and thought out. Knew what he was going to say and had a great answer for everything.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D204
Flight 2 – Start: 10:00 AM
OLD 11
Round: 5

5

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Layton GM	POINTS (24 - 30)
Greta Moses	30

NEG

East SH	POINTS (24 - 30)
Sami Haddadin	28

Winner: Layton School/Team debating on the AFF Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision: She stuck to topic, had all of her points and everything flowed really well together.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D304
Flight 1 - Start: 10:00 AM
OLD 12
Round: 5

5

Bunnell, Rachel
Carman, Anne
Alta Alta High School
Inverton HS

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	POINTS
Logan MN	(24 - 30)
Micheal Nash	29

NEG	POINTS
Davis BM	(24 - 30)
Bryn Montierth	29.8

Winner: Davis BM School/Team debating on the Neg Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both debaters were skilled in expressing points and highlighting their frame work.
Neg: social justice + equality; Aff: societal progress + educational self-realization

Debaters were both skilled in refuting opponents points. Aff had very convincing arguments that supported his frame work - school to prison, etc.

Neg ultimately won the round because she pushed ~~him~~ Aff on the racism of GPA and pointing out Aff's inability to show bias. Aff had sources that said SATs were racist, but failed to adequately explain. Neg also refuted this by showing that the ACT was revamped to get rid of bias, as well as putting SAT scores in the context of upbringing w/ landscaping.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3915870

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF

Logan GS	POINTS (24-30)
Gwyn Sturgeon	27

NEG

Skylin IS	POINTS (24-30)
Isabella Sabala	29

Winner: Skylin IS School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

SOCIETAL WELFARE

1. ADMISSIONS SCANDAL

→ RICH BENEFIT

→ APTS SYSTEMS?

2. STD TESTING

LOST CONFIDENCE

3. RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

NOT FAIR

→ NEED OBJECTIVE TESTS

NEG

HARM HAS HAPPENED BUT HOW DOES TAKING TESTS AWAY ELIMINATE OR REDUCE THAT?

POINTED OUT HARM IN TESTS

BUT DIDN'T ARGUE BENEFIT OF NOT HAVING THEM

NEG

ENGAGED VERY WELL
 LOOKING @ OPPONENT WHEN ADDRESSING HER

FUNCTIONALITY

↳ OBJECTIVE TESTS IN SUBJECTIVE ADMISSION PROCESS

↳ VALUE IN EDUCATION MOTIVATION → STUDY (AFF)

↳ LIMITED IMPACT → LEARN

(AFF) IF LIMITED VALUE THEN WHY CONSIDER? - GOOD RESULTAL

TESTING → OBJECTIVE MEASURE → JUSTICE → WELFARE

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Negative 7 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Affirmative 4 min.
 Negative 6 min.
 Affirmative 3 min.
 Prep time: 4 min.

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

AFF	
Skylin AV	POINTS (24-30)
Aarushi Verma	29

NEG	
Davis SS	POINTS (24-30)
Sugar Santillan	30

Winner: DAVIS SS School/Team debating on the NEG Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

AFF

FUNCTIONALITY OF SOCIETY

↳ EQUALITY

INDIVIDUAL (SELF-ACT)

NO TEST WORKS

FAIRNESS

IS SOCIO ECONOMIC
 DIFFERENCE AVOIDED
 BY ACCESS

GOOD REDIRECT ON HOW FAIRNESS
 FITS INTO SELF-ACTUALIZATION

LIKED USE OF EXTREME
 TO CONSIDER THE "WHAT IF"

NEG

QUALITY OF LIFE

↳ FAIRNESS

↳ OBJECTIVE

↳ SUBJECTIVE IS NOT GOOD

↳ MUST BE OBJECTIVE TO BE USED

ARGUMENT FOR OBJECTIVITY
 WOULD OVER EQUALITY

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
 24-26 Below Average
 27-28 Average
 29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Negative 7 min.
 Cross Ex 3 min.
 Affirmative 4 min.
 Negative 6 min.
 Affirmative 3 min.
 Prep time: 4 min.

Room: D207
Start: 12:45 PM
OLD 1
Round: Quarter Final

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: DenBleyker, Jeffrey, Mayer, Cali

Logan KM(Krystan Morrison) vs Alta EE(Emily Erickson)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

~~Logan KM~~ ~~Alta EE~~
~~AFF~~ ~~NEG~~
Winner: ~~Alta EE~~ debating on the ~~Neg~~
~~School/Team~~ ~~Side (Aff or Neg)~~

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3919308

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Martinson, Holden, Sims, Jake

Logan GZ(Gary Zhan) vs Hunter KB(Kaia Baron)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Kaia **Gary**

AFF NEG

Winner: Gary debating on the NEGATIVE

School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

- Gary: Use of hands is powerful, use selectively.
Slow down - when you do you are most persuasive.
"in other words" - you had my full attention.
you made a great point - varsity blues issue
she didn't fully respond - you could have pushed her harder.
your roadmaps were helpful!
you rock!

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

- Affirmative 6 min.
- Cross Ex 3 min.
- Negative 7 min.
- Cross Ex 3 min.
- Affirmative 4 min.
- Negative 6 min.
- Affirmative 3 min.
- Prep time: 4 min.

- Kaia - slow down!
don't cut off your opponent - makes you look nervous of what he's going to say.
read less.
love your use of evidence & Hs, notice you slowed down when you used them!
Don't tell me I'm going to prefer your framework - tell me why you should be so proud of yourself.

3919306

Room: B107
Start: 2:30 PM
OLD 1
Round: Semi Final

Semi Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Thomas, Victoria , DenBleyker, Jeffrey

Davis BM(Bryn Montierth) vs Alta EE(Emily Erickson)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Davis BM Alta EE
AFF NEG

Winner: Davis BM debating on the AFF
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3920930

Room: B107
Start: 4:30 PM
OLD 1
Round: Final

Final

Mayer, Cali
Skylin Skyline

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Pater, Abby, Thomas, Victoria

Hunter KB(Kaia Baron) vs Davis BM(Bryn Montierth)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

DAVIS BM Hunter KB
AFF NEG
Winner: DAVIS BM debating on the AFF
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

~~Davis won the Value argument -
Efficiency is not necessarily
Moral -
She also won the Bias argument.
Both excellent debaters -~~

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

3921790

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: In the United States, colleges and universities ought not consider standardized tests in undergraduate admissions decisions.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Mayer, Cali, Thomas, Victoria

Hunter KB(Kaia Baron) vs Davis BM(Bryn Montierth)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

DAVIS BM HUNTER KB
AFF NEG
Winner: DAVIS BM debating on the AFF
School/Team Side (Aff or Neg)

Kaia Baron - 28

good road map/
signaling as
moving from
points ~ in
first speech ~
less clear
road mapping
in 2nd.

careful making
too many generalizations
try to counter argument
w. specific examples

Bryn Montierth - 29

Good volume
& clarity
in speaking.
Be careful with
going over time.
good road maps

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

Affirmative 6 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Negative 7 min.
Cross Ex 3 min.
Affirmative 4 min.
Negative 6 min.
Affirmative 3 min.
Prep time: 4 min.

Both - had good enthusiasm³⁹²¹⁷⁹⁰ for their arguments
Great job to both of you!