Room: C107
Start: 3:30 PM
OCX 5
Round: 1

1

YOUNG LAWYERS

Engels, Angela

RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

AFF

Spkr	Logan DM	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Owen Mendiola	27
3	Carter Daly	28

NEG

Spkr	Davis DC	POINTS (24 - 30)
4	Meilani Downs	29
2	Sam Copa	28

Winner: _Davis DC_ debating on the _Neg_ Side (Aff or Neg) Low point win? _no_

School/Team

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Owen/Carter: Nice speed from both of you, thank you! Both of you seemed very unsure of your case. Make sure you know it or show more confidence. Both of you, work on eye contact rather than just reading. Carter, make your arguments clear, I got a bit lost.

Meilani/Sam: Both of you, thank you for roadmaps. Thank you for your eye contact, it shows confidence & that you know your case! Sam - when you talk through your points, slow down. I missed a few because you went too fast.

Everyone: work on filler words, it takes away from your speeches/cases. Nice jobs during Cross. Very good questions & thank you for not arguing.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min ✓
2AR 5 min ✓

3904133

Room: B412
Start: 7:30 PM
OCX 8
Round: 3

3

Engels, Angela
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

AFF

Spkr	Rowland Hall PF	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Maddy Frech	30
1	Jacque Park	30

NEG

Spkr	Logan OG	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Ankit Garg	29
4	Ellie Orton	29

Winner: _Rowland Hall PF_ debating on the _Aff_ Low point win? _no_
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Thank you all for following my paradigm! It was a really good round.

Ankit/Ellie - you seem to not know your case very well - eye contact helps w/ that so you don't have to just read your case/evidence. Thank you for the roadmaps!

Maddy/Jacque - thank you for the great eye contact & the roadmaps. You seem to know the case well.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min ✓
2AR 5 min

Prep - 5 min.

RFD: Aff did a better job overall of backing up their points. Were able to show that Neg evidence supported their case better.

3908116

Again, it was a really good round! Nice job to both teams.

3

Brunisholz, Henry
Blackham, Shannon

SLCWes SLC West HS

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Copper Hills BC	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	Faith Bryce (1A)	28
4	Anyda Chanthamixay (2A)	27.5

NEG

Spkr	Rowland Hall AS	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Julia Summerfield (2N)	28.5
2	Ruchi Agarwal (1N)	28

Winner: _Rowland Hall AS_
School/Team
debating on the _Neg_
Side (Aff or Neg)
Low point win? _No_

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Mostly said in round but the 2AR drops an impact turn on case and a inherency take-out.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3907939

Room: B408
Start: 7:30 PM
NCX 7
Round: 3

3

Barton, Nicole
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Copper Hills TT	POINTS (24 - 30)
X	Talmage Turpin	28
	Brennon Thiede	29

NEG

Spkr	Skyline MW	POINTS (24 - 30)
	Ved Munot	29
X	Eva Wu	29

Winner: __Copper Hills__ debating on the __AFF__ Low point win? ✓
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision: They both did so well, and spoke clearly. Also they were so organized with their topics, and on their facts and issues!

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3907941

Room: B206
Start: 8:00 AM
NCX 4
Round: 4

4

Griffin, Katie
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Skyline VL	POINTS (24 - 30)
(2) 2	London Venz	29.5
(1) 4	Vishakha Lindberg	28.5

NEG

Spkr	Rowland Hall HR	POINTS (24 - 30)
(2) 3	Aileen Robles	29
(1)	Ane Hernandez	30

Winner: _Skyline_ _____ debating on the __Aff__ _____ Low point win? _____
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

~~Neg nearly pointing on~~

Major aff point from 1AC was never addressed. Neg's Russia DA nearly carried the debate otherwise. Difficult decision and neg made some great points.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3909699

Room: B109
Start: 8:00 AM
NCX 3
Round: 4

4

Engels, Angela
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Rowland Hall AS	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Julia Summerfield	29
3	Ruchi Agarwal	28

NEG

Spkr	SLC West MS	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Lydia May	29
4	Sharayu Senthilkumar	28

Winner: _SLC West MS_ debating on the _NEG_ Low point win? _no_
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Julia/Ruchi - Julia - I know it was only once, but there is no need to swear in a round. Not professional! Both of you need to work on eye contact to show confidence & that you know your case.
Julia - 50+
Ruchi - ~10

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Lydia/Sharayu - Lydia, nice job even w/ being sick. I know it's not easy! Sharayu - you seem to really know the case! Nice eye contact & thank you for roadmaps!

Lydia - ~20
Sharayu - 40+

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3909698

RFD: NEG overall proved their case better & showed in 1&2NR why their case fit & why AFF also fit their case.

both - Please work on following paradigm. I asked for slow & missed points when both teams spoke due to speed. thank you for not arguing in cross & just speaking on turn. Also, work on filler words. They take away from the case. Thank you all for using roadmaps

Room: B105
Start: 10:00 AM
NCX 1
Round: 5

5

Carman, Anne
Anandh, Vivek
Skylin Skyline

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Rowland Hall KS	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Zachary Klein	28
1	Micah Sheinberg	27

NEG

Spkr	Copper Hills BC	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Faith Bryce	27
1	Anyda Chanthamixay	26

Winner: **Rowland Hall KS** debating on the **AFF** Low point win?
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Sign of US. Disapproval

AFF 3:15
Micah Sandi Arabia — humanitarian crisis — Block Trump. Block sales
Striking saviling targets. current sales — sends a mess in
Middle east stability Yemen a risk for domestic reasons — other powers will
get involved too
— cutting off sales = □ war Yemen . US roll important — Stops air
Anyda — neg to ground. Sandi = ends war. clip his wings no weapons.
AFF 3:00
Sandi Alliance Already sold weapons. US wont leave Sandi Alliana
Arms are critical to their alliance Iran supporting Houthis
so we need to keep supplying.
CP. — Support — control how weapons are used. —
need to keep persian gulf open → Suez Canal
— weapons doesnt stop war

Point Scale:
0 PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
2AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

Build dependency any more for US

Great debate! Great points brought up. AFF — dont click pen
Neg — dont appologize for not knowing everything — dont talk with hand over mouth
Both teams need to sit more professionally
But — good debating style.

3916040

Room: B107
Start: 10:00 AM
NCX 4
Round: 5

5

Erickson, Isaac
Alta Alta High School

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Bingham GH	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Dan Gross	26
2	Griffin Hill	27

NEG

Spkr	SLC West OS	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Ishan Sharma	28
1	Tiffany Odida	27

Winner: _SLC West OS_ debating on the _Neg_ Low point win? _NO_
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Bingham!
- Some solid arguments,
- Really work on strong counter arguments,
- Give real good examples and sources to back statements up.
- Good vocal presence/
- Presentation.

SLC West!
- Good arguments for your case,
- Really good counter arguments especially from Ishan,
- Pretty good vocal presentation,

Overall!

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

Very entertaining debate to watch, the Aff team had some great points, but the Neg really killed it with their counter arguments, earning my vote.

3916043

Room: B327
Start: 10:00 AM
NCX 3
Round: 5

5

Engels, Angela
RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Skyline VL	POINTS (24 - 30)
3	London Venz	29
1	Vishakha Lindberg	28

NEG

Spkr	Rowland Hall CF	POINTS (24 - 30)
4	Maddie Carlin	30
2	Maile Fukushima	29

Winner: __Rowland Hall CF__ debating on the __NEG__ Low point win? __no__
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Comments & Reason for Decision:

London/Vishakha - Please remember road maps. Thanks for following my paradigm. Use more eye contact. It shows confidence & that you know your case. Remember filler words take away from your case.
London - 28 filler words
Vishakha - 36 filler words

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Maddie/Maile - thank you for the roadmaps. Thanks for following my paradigm. Nice eye contact! You both seem confident & that you know your case. Remember filler words take away from your case.
Maddie - 9 filler words
Maile - 30 filler words.

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min ✓
2AR 5 min

3916042

Both: In cross, let other team finish answering before moving on. Don't cut them off.

RFD: Overall, NEG proved their arguments better & showed why CP solves better & why I should flow arguments across.

Room: B408
Start: 10:00 AM
NCX 6
Round: 5

5

Griffin, Katie
SkyVie Sky View

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

AFF

Spkr	Copper Hills TT	POINTS (24 - 30)
1	Talmage Turpin	30
4	Brennon Thiede	28

NEG

Spkr	Alta MR	POINTS (24 - 30)
2	Miller Mraz	29
3	Jerson Rios	29

Winner: _Copper Hills_ School/Team debating on the _Aff_ Side (Aff or Neg)   Low point win? _____

Comments & Reason for Decision:

Both sides dropped, more or less, some opposing arguments, but Aff had more compelling impacts and effectively questioned Neg. CP.

T.T. & J.R. both summarized arguments nicely. Aff more effectively used CX.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3916045

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Engels, Angela

RivHS Riverton HS - Riverton, UT

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Tao, Michael , Carman, Anne

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Copper Hills VL(VanGesen & Lassen) 1 2
30   29
AFF AFF

Rowland Hall HC(Hijjawi & Corson) 1 2
27   27
NEG   Neg

Winner: __Copper Hills VL__ School/Team debating on the __Aff__ Side (Aff or Neg)

Copper Hills - In cross, let opponents finish before interrupting/cutting them off. Also, no need to yell during speech. Thank you for speed! Made it easier to follow.

Rowland Hall - look up more during your speeches. It shows confidence & that you know your case. Thank you for speed. Much easier to follow.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min ✓
2AR 5 min ✓

3919893

RFD: Aff shows why their evidence was stronger. Also fit case better. Aff showed which evidence to flow forward. Neg left pieces of Aff case unanswered. Aff was stronger. Overall

Quarter Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Carman, Anne
Alta Alta High School

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Engels, Angela , Poland, Brice

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Rowland Hall HC(Hijjawi & Corson)   Copper Hills VL(VanGesen & Lassen)

1st   2nd   1st   2nd

28   27   29   28

AFF Neg   NEG   AFF

Winner: _Copper Hill VL_   debating on the _AFF_
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

AFF

Van Gesen. War-
        Subs. & declining

Taiwan reliance on US high end weapons
Taiwan brink of nuclear war - EMP attack
relations. our arms go against "1 china" principle
    relation △ nuclear first jets
South Korea would appreciate

national grid

— 3:20 if China ↓ tensions in the area

Neg. Hijawi DA - US ↑ support proliferation
        CP will - Key to trumpagreed to honor "china"
∅ terrorism impact.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min ✓

3919893

Lassen AFF War advantage weapons show disagree "1 china"
    ↑ tensions because of sales to taiwan. Selling jets
shows against 1china. EMP attacks from china. Taiwan proxy Sta
Cant China motivated to Nuclear. Will be a trade deal
only 6%
    economy more important. - China trying to control taiwan

Quarter Final
YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Blackham, Shannon, Jeffreys, Rebekah

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Copper Hills TT(Thiede & Turpin)   East SF(Skipps & Feldman)

AFF	NEG

Winner: _____Copper Hills_____ debating on the ____Aff____
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Thiede - 27 | Turpin - 29 | Skipps 25 | Feldman - 28

Good
Road maps
work on
clarity
in Speaking

Good clarity
volume

Good enthusiasm
about case

was confident
in cross-X
but didn't
translate
as much
in your
speeches

Great
clarity
in Speaking

keep enthusiasm
throughout
the round

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3919895

work
on answers
for cross X.

Semi Finals
YOUNG LAWYERS

Engels, Angela
~~Anandh, Vivek~~

Skylin Skyline

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Doctorman, Steven , Owens, Khristian

25 28 29 27

Copper Hills TT(Thiede & Turpin) vs SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills TT
AFF

SLC West MS
NEG

Winner: SLC West MS
School/Team

debating on the NEG
Side (Aff or Neg)

Copper Hills - Don't be rude. There is no need to yell. No need to laugh during round - be professional. Don't cut off opponents in cross. Don't look at opponents in cross, look at judge. look up more! It shows confidence & that you know your case. Work on filler words - 30 total the whole round

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

West - look up more! It shows confidence & that you know your case. Work on filler words. 100+ for both of you total the whole round. Thank you both for speed! Easy to understand.

3921420

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

RFD:
Neg showed that Aff dropped points & didn't answer everything. Neg showed their evidence was better.

Semi Finals

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.

All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Carman, Anne , Jeffreys, Rebekah

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Copper Hills VL(VanGesen & Lassen)   104

~~AFF~~ NEØ

Rowland Hall AS(Agarwal & Summerfield)   ~~AFF~~

~~NEG~~

Winner: __Rowland Hall AS__   debating on the __aff__
        School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

"even if there's 1% chance of the aff solving"

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3921421

Semi Finals

YOUNG LAWYERS

Carman, Anne
Alta Alta High School

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Dayton, Max , Jeffreys, Rebekah

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Copper Hills VL(VanGesen & Lassen)   2nd   1st

Rowland Hall AS(Agarwal & Summerfield)   2nd 2AC   1st 1AC

~~AFF~~ NEG   ~~NEG~~ AFF

Winner: _Roland Hall AS_ debating on the _____
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

- Great debate. Great points CP's
- Aff plan better plan.
  Neg didn't knock down ~~new~~ entire plan.

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2AC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
2NC 8 min ✓
CX 3 min ✓
1NR 5 min ✓
1AR 5 min ✓
2NR 5 min ✓
2AR 5 min

3921421

Semi Finals

YOUNG LAWYERS

Peter Chase
~~Owens, Khristian~~
~~Hunter Hunter High School~~
Rowland Hall

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Doctorman, Steven , Anandh, Vivek

SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar) vs Copper Hills TT(Thiede & Turpin)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills TT
AFF

SLC West MS
NEG

Winner: __SLC West MS__ debating on the __neg__
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC	8 min
CX	3 min
1NC	8 min
CX	3 min
2AC	8 min
CX	3 min
2NC	8 min
CX	3 min
1NR	5 min
1AR	5 min
2NR	5 min
2AR	5 min

· Don't ask if each indiv is ready, Just ask the room, speeds things up

· Say Ya'll instead of you guys

· 1A, You speak faster than you read, easy problem to fix try using spreader, it's a website that displays one word at a time at a certain rate to ↑ your reading speed

· 2A, don't slow down so much on the Arguello in ev

· CX, don't ask clarification ?s unless you really don't understand

· CX 1AC, 2A, prep, don't answer questions, it makes your partner look bad

· 1N, try not to lean into your computer, it makes you harder to hear and makes it harder to speak. If you don't have anything else to say and have time left over just sit down.

· 2N, split the block dif, put the DA in the 1NR and case in the 2NC

· 2A, always start with case

· ASK CR about writing OVs, 2N, don't just read more ev, use your time to explain in the 1NC ev and indict 2AC args and then read cards to answer 2AC args

· Try to look at the Judge in CX, both teams

· 2A, calm down in CX, you are ~~being~~ being kind of ~~mean~~ a dick

· 1AR, try to use all your time and answer every block argument ~~the~~ by extending 2AC arguments.

Semi Finals

YOUNG LAWYERS

Erickson, ISAAC
~~Doctorman, Steven~~

RowHal Rowland Hall

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Anandh, Vivek , Owens, Khristian

Copper Hills TT(Thiede & Turpin) vs SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar)

Debaters should flip for sides. Please mark entry designations by side on the lines below

Copper Hills
AFF

West
NEG

Winner: _Copper hills_
School/Team
debating on the _AFF_
Side (Aff or Neg)

Felt copper Hills won on the timeline
Neg time line effects take years
AFF could take only days if arms sales
Go thru. Neg had no Answer for this

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3921420

Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Cottam, Bryce , Engels, Angela

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar)	Rowland Hall AS(Agarwal & Summerfield)
AFF	**NEG**

Winner: __Rowland Hall AS__ debating on the __Neg__
School/Team — Side (Aff or Neg)

I vote ~~the~~ neg because of reasons.

1. The ceasefire arg on solvency wasn't contested well enough by the aff — extended thru the block and the 2NR.

2. Aff ev only talks about peace talks — doesn't say that ~~sales are~~ ending sales are key to the actual negotiations

3. The alliance DA is essentially dropped in the 1AR. The block extended it well and did enough impact calc in the 2NR for me to vote on it.

I think all the ~~analysis~~ analysis done in the 2ARs happening way too late and there's no impact calc. only overviews were read on case in the 1AR and didn't answer the offense left on case.

Point Scale:
PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:
1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action. All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Cottam, Bryce , Nielson, Alex

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Rowland Hall AS(Agarwal & Summer-field)   28

AFF **Neg**

SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar)   28

NEG **Aff**

Winner: **SLC West MS** debating on the **Aff**
_____School/Team_____   _____Side (Aff or Neg)_____

Rowland Hall - Slow down! Remember paradigm. You talked so fast, I missed a majority of your case all the way through.
Filler words - 80+

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

West - look up more & remember filler words!
     filler words - 140+

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min	✓
CX 3 min	✓
1NC 8 min	✓
CX 3 min	✓
2AC 8 min	✓
CX 3 min	✓
2NC 8 min	✓
CX 3 min	✓
1NR 5 min	
1AR 5 min	
2NR 5 min	
2AR 5 min	

<u>RFD</u>: Due to speed by Neg, I missed a majority of their case & arguments.

   1NC was too fast that I couldn't tell most of what they said & if what I flowed was accurate.

   2NC was so fast, I missed the CP & not sure if what I flowed for (S)was correct.
* Final Neg arguments were easier to understand but couldn't follow arguments I wasn't sure I had *

   Therefore win goes to Aff.

Final

YOUNG LAWYERS

Resolution: Resolved: The United States federal government should substantially reduce Direct Commercial Sales and/or Foreign Military Sales of arms from the United States.

INSTRUCTIONS

Policy debate focuses on the advocacy of a plan or policy action. The affirmative team should outline the harms in the current system or some sort of need. Then they should present a policy that would satisfy the need they have outlined. The negative team may argue that the affirmative policy fails to meet the need they have outline. The negative also has the option to present disadvantages to the policy. Other ways do exist for structuring an affirmative case or negative strategy, but in the end the debate should focus on whether or not a particular policy is an appropriate course of action.
All NCX debaters have the same set of evidence.

DO NOT START UNTIL ALL JUDGES ARE PRESENT.

Other judge(s) in your room: Nielson, Alex , Engels, Angela

Sides are locked due to a previous debate between these entries

Rowland Hall AS(Agarwal & Summerfield)

SLC West MS(May & Senthilkumar)

AFF

NEG

Winner: _Rowland Hall AS_ debating on the _neg_
School/Team   Side (Aff or Neg)

I voted on the analysis done on the impacts of the saudi alliance disad + the russia turn on ! o/w's I also voted on the ceastfire argument because it wasn't refuted throughout.

Point Scale:

PLEASE GIVE SPEAKER POINTS
24-26 Below Average
27-28 Average
29-30 Above Average

Speech Times:

1AC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NC 8 min
CX 3 min
2AC 8 min
CX 3 min
2NC 8 min
CX 3 min
1NR 5 min
1AR 5 min
2NR 5 min
2AR 5 min

3922193