

Room: ~~147~~ 107
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridgeville (Vana Kropotova) vs. Scarsdale AY (Adair Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bronx Science TM

Scarsdale LM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx Science TM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

On the negative side of the flow I don't think Aff eats the harms of arbitrariness b/c, as he points out, practical reasoning is important for critical discourse, but it is only through critical discourse that we can get to any ethical theory (and this is warranted by the 2 justifications coming out of the AC framework). On the Aff side of the flow I don't think Nichols matters b/c the Anderson analysis tells me that patriarchy is not merely reducible to violence, rather it is a function of certain western epistemological predispositions. Finally, I have two pieces of Aff offense that allow me to affirm: ① the turn of the Neg's turn i.e. Aff proves that gender stereotypes are disproven and deconstructed by allowing women to use deadly force, and ② the Herbert analysis which tells me that these stories of deconstructed gender stereotypes will permeate society which lead to an epistemological shift. Thus I affirm.

Room: 447
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person

Khaleel

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridge YK (Yana Kropotova) vs. Scarsdale AY (Adam Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bronx Science TOM
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Scarsdale LM
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Scarsdale LM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Khaleel Atiyeh

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

This was a close round; lots of great clash, b/c 2 extremely talented debaters. The round boils down to the values and impacts. AFF has great points w/ regards to women's role in society & cards of meta study showing that women are more likely to instigate violence. I vote NEG b/c the neg AFF framework women are

subjugation to Abuse. However, neg violence is a direct clash. I vote AFF framework women are weak.

Room: 107
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person
CFS Attilias

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridge YK (Yana Kropotova) vs Scarisdale AY (Adam Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

BE ~~XXXXXX~~ MARRIS
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

SCARS LARRY MICSTEIN
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

FEAR
THG
KVAALI!

The better debating was done by the NEG from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Leslie R?

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I can't believe I'm voting on "patriarchy
not proven to exist" — but there we are —
Anderson vs ss-app here is new in ZAR.

Room: ~~147~~ 107
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridge YK (Yana Kropotova) vs. Scarsdale AY (Adam Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

BRONX SCIENCE

SCARSDALE LM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from BRONX SCIENCE
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: HALVORSON

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

THE INC LINKS FROM ~~HERBERTA~~ ^{INTERNAL} ARBITRARINESS vs ARGUMENT ~~vs~~

Don't make sense to me.

↓ pat. barriers to discourse vs. practical reason,

Q: what is arbitrary about using d.f.? vs. the discourse from perspective the use of d.f.

RFD. IAR & ZAR EXTENSIONS OF ANDERSON & HERBERTLY (?) O/W "no PATRIARCHY IS/C & PARTICIPATE IN VIOLENCE" ← REALLY? IAR STANDARDS ANALYSIS IS GOOD - ALSO, ZAR DOESN'T DO ENOUGH IN TERMS OF IMPACT ANALYSIS OF ≠ MORAL WORTH, WEIGHING THAT IMPACT WITH WILL WOULD BE GOOD. TO DO IN IAR/ZAR (CUTLICH DOESN'T HAPPEN) NICE JOB ADAPTING TO THE HAND.

Room: ~~107~~ 107
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridge Park Yana Kropotova vs. Scarsdale AY (Adam Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bronx

Scarsdale

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx
AFF OR NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I am willing to accept that our world is a patriarchal one. I'm not sure what value there is in the negative arguing that it isn't. Then I look at the standards debate. I'm not sure I understand the arguments about how and whether to use the meta standard, but I buy the affirmative claim that that we need to derive an ethical theory on how to ~~use~~ evaluate the round. I therefore look at minimizing patriarchy. The negative argues, at least in part, there isn't a connection of the stereotypes that lead to the oppression of women, and that the affirmative only justifies violence on an individual level. Then there is the affirmative's argument that critical discourse is increased in the affirmative world because the use of deadly force creates a story that permeates society and changes the way women are viewed. That seems to create a choice between possibly showing that women are weak and possibly changing, through discussion, ~~the~~ the idea that women are weak. I'm not convinced either way, but I am slightly more inclined to believe that affecting the way women are viewed in society is a way to address the root cause of domestic violence.

Room: 847 107
Flight A: Start ASAP
Novice LD

FINAL

Newark Person

Pamela Chomba

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

~~Ridge YK (Yana Kropotova) vs. Scarsdale AY (Adam Young)~~

AFFIRMATIVE

Bronx Science TM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale CM

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The Hurley T/ comes as the most valuable of the arguments @ the end of the round since its a prereq. to (2) discourse/ finding ethical framework arg. when prove. That aff deconstructs stereotypes (which (2) to discourse) then there's practical morality (neg) and thus aff wins the risk of entrenched (1).

The 2012 Newark Invitational

Round: Finals

Room #: 107108

Judge Name: Fionah McMillan

(Affirmative)

(Negative)

Name: Browx TAM Tyler Morris
(Code) (Name)

Name: Seassdale LM Larry Milstein
(Code) (Name)

Points: _____

Points: _____

| Needs Improvement | Growing | Average | Solid | Excellent | Amazing |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 23-25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |

Anything below a 23 means that there was either an ethical violation or the debater was extremely rude.

IAC
6 Minutes

CX
3 Minutes

NC
7 Minutes

CX
3 Minutes

IAR
4 Minutes

NR
6 Minutes

2AR
3 Minutes

Prep.
5 Minutes

The better debating was done by the Aff | Neg representing: Browx Science
(Circle One)

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

REASON FOR DECISION: (DO NOT WRITE ORAL!)

I Affirmed ~~off~~ the expansion of Herb I, ~~because~~
~~the~~ the Aff is winning the standards debate pretty
 clearly, so I'm evaluating the round through who
 has more crucial discourse. The neg team are being
 smart with via the Herb and are using evidence -