

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker: <u>29</u> : Michelle Lu (2) Speaker: <u>29</u> : Deeptanshu Singhvi (4)	Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker: <u>28</u> : Dana Barry (3) Speaker: <u>28</u> : Betsy Studholme (1)
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The team that won this debate is RIDGE LS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

well prepared, research-based presentation by PRO. CON primarily on defensive.  
 These are the reasons for my decision:  
 More extensive, creative, and detailed content was provided by PRO vs CON. PRO took evidence-based positions, and effectively responded to CON challenges with relevant statistics. PRO also provided logical responses to CON's warnings of "economic crisis" and other dire consequences if BC is repealed. Also, PRO more often quantified effects of repeal.

Judge Signature: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 317  
Flight A: Start ASAP

Varsity Public Forum Ridge LS (Michelle Lu & Deeptanshu Singhvi) vs. Princeton BS (Dana Barry & Betsy Studholme)

QUAR

Thomas, Salaam

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker: <u>28</u> : Michelle Lu ( <u>2</u> ) Speaker: <u>27</u> : Deeptanshu Singhvi ( <u>4</u> )	Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker: <u>27</u> : Dana Barry ( <u>3</u> ) Speaker: <u>28</u> : Betsy Studholme ( <u>1</u> )
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The team that won this debate is Princeton BS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

The debaters provide a solid case that supported their entire contention. It was well articulated and was very coherent.

Comments to Debaters:

Your cases were also very well executed and it was clear your preparedness for the entire round.

These are the reasons for my decision:

the round came to the idea of how we benefit in terms of safety and economically. The negative team stuck to their case, while the affirmative team in some ways missed the target of the resolution.

Judge Signature: 

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 317  
Flight A: Start ASAP

QUAR

Zhang, Harry

Varsity Public Forum Princeton BS (Dana Barry & Betsy Studholme) vs. Ridge LS (Michelle Lu & Deeptanshu Singhvi)

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: Pro Con	Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker: <u>29</u> : Michelle Lu <u>2</u>	Speaker: <u>28</u> : Dana Barry <u>3</u>
Speaker: <u>28</u> : Deeptanshu Singhvi <u>4</u>	Speaker: <u>27</u> : Betsy Studholme <u>1</u>

The team that won this debate is Ridge LS representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Good research work, statistics.  
Alternative and supporting statistics  
Good presentations. Summary  
Covers major points. Show  
enthusiasm about the issue

Comments to Debaters:

Good statistics during statements  
Need to show more data during  
rebuttal. Such as increase  
crime rate if not killed.  
Good point on weighing current  
benefit.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Ridge LS focused on <sup>major</sup> issues. good  
presentation, strong statistics.

Judge Signature: Harry Zhang

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min ✓
Speaker 2	4 min ✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3	4 min ✓
Speaker 4	4 min ✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min ✓
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min ✓
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 324  
Flight B: Start

Varsity Public Forum Ridge CM (Catherine Chen & Sunjay Melkote) vs. Regis CO (Jaime Cobham & Jack O'Malley)

QUAR

*Chen*  
Feeley, Kevin

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker: 3 : Catherine Chen  
Speaker: 1 : Sunjay Melkote

Team: Regis CO Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker: 2 : Jaime Cobham  
Speaker: 4 : Jack O'Malley


The team that won this debate is Ridge CM representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

*Impacts outweigh and terminal defense against the pro team. Immigration inevitable*

Judge Signature: 

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 29: Catherine Chen Sp 3

Speaker: 29: Sunjay Melkote Sp 1

Team: Regis CO Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 29: Jaime Cobham Sp 2

Speaker: 29: Jack O'Malley Sp 4

The team that won this debate is Regis CO representing the PRO CON

Comments to Debaters:

*job: (Remerency)*  
*PRO residency can give prosp.*  
 Sp1 Cont 1 cit gives opp. (Remerency)  
 ✓ 2 underclass (ill chl = 6%)  
 ✓ 3 Bureaucratic harms  
 X  
 Sp3 works. have babies - good rebuttal cost, underclass status quo for current law consent can't be universal  
 X Good pt re: status stays same as illegal & need status for pd aid.  
 Sp1 Good extension of cont 1 Looking to 2nd gen.  
 GX Did not clarify new creation of underclass  
 Sp1 Well addressed all contentions but did not rebutt residency allowing for prosperity

Comments to Debaters:

*CON need to quantify*  
 Sp2 Cont 1 (serious abuses) - anchor - "need to quantify"  
 2 historical appli. subject to jurisdiction - current status quo consent  
 X Good pt re: citizenship no diff how obtained  
 Sp4 citig. & residency are = consent for participation  
 Good rebuttal on underclass that it currently exists  
 X residency can supply ability - Good pt  
 Sp2 Good pt for nat. v birthright citizen for 2nd gen  
 No #'s on coming to US to give birth as other team requested  
 GX Good comeback on consent & residency as applies to all. Good  
 Sp4 Good pt re: current underclass, good pt address ing Europe as example.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The PRO side addressed the current situation of underclass & rebutted con argument convincingly. Further, their contention that residency holds simildad benefits to citizenship held through the rebuttals. Very close - all arguments strong.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Room: 324  
Flight B: Start

Varsity Public Forum Regis CO (Jaime Cobham & Jack O'Malley) vs. Ridge CM (Catherine Chen & Sunjay Melkote)

QUAR

Lynch, Kathleen

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: Regis CO Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker: <u>2</u> : Catherine Chen	Speaker: <u>2</u> : Jaime Cobham
Speaker: <u>1</u> : Sunjay Melkote	Speaker: <u>4</u> : Jack O'Malley

The team that won this debate is Regis CO representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Pro does a very good job explaining why we should establish a system of citizenship where we provide consent and allow for these immigrants to be take part of the process. The Con does not answer this but just says that we should provide and provide them benefits and that there is no way we can establish the consent

Judge Signature: Chris Ryan

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Left.

Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker: \_\_\_\_\_: George Easley  
Speaker: \_\_\_\_\_: Michael Mumma

Team: Regis DE Circle Side: Pro Con  
Speaker: \_\_\_\_\_: Nick Duva  
Speaker: \_\_\_\_\_: James Elustondo ①

The team that won this debate is Regis DE representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

- Mike, good rebuttal  
A nice fire - sometimes  
you speak too fast -  
- George you had some  
very good arguments -

Comments to Debaters:

Nick you are very eloquent -  
I really enjoyed your reasoning  
& rebuttals -  
James, great speech -

These are the reasons for my decision:

Although both teams were strong &  
the evidence and reasoning from Regis  
DE was more convincing

Judge Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Wadhwa

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Room: 318  
Flight A: Start ASAP

Varsity Public Forum Delbarton EM (George Easley & Michael Mumma) vs. Regis DE (Nick Duva & James Elustondo)

QUAR

Smith, E

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> 1 <sup>st</sup>	Team: Regis DE Circle Side: Pro Con 2 <sup>nd</sup>
Speaker: _____: George Easley	Speaker: _____: Nick Duva
Speaker: _____: Michael Mumma	Speaker: _____: James Elustondo

The team that won this debate is Delbarton representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

↑ in rates (reported or actual) would most likely trigger the deportation scenario. If the gov perceives a larger immigrant population, I see no reason why they wouldn't ↑ the "immigration menace".  
fight against the

Constitutional challenges are fine, but you don't explain why BPC violates the const, just

why the author of the amendment didn't want it to function that way

Pro also losing econ incentive debate. Depression from 06/07 - 09 pros. Never answered.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Judge Signature: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 318  
Flight A: Start ASAP

Varsity Public Forum Delbarton EM (George Easley & Michael Mumma) vs. Regis DE (Nick Duva & James Elustondo)

QUAR

Michandani, Suru

*Chomba, Pamela*

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 1: George Easley

Speaker: 2: Michael Mumma

Team: Regis DE Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker: 2: Nick Duva

Speaker: 1: James Elustondo

The team that won this debate is Delbarton representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

*Birthright citizenship should not be abolished.*

Judge Signature: *Chomba*

**Order/Time Limits of Speeches**

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side