

Room: 326
Start: 10:30

4

Zhang, Harry

Varsity Public Forum (Bronx Science PR vs. Regis HaSo)

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science PR Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Victoria Pavlov 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mahmudur Rahman 4

Team: Regis HaSo Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for DuMichel Harley 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Sean Sonnemann 3

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science PR representing the PRO / CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

SP 2. Should look up more toward your audience. Good in questioning statistics of opponent. "1 Yr. biological impossible".

SP 4. Good presentation enthusiasm good statistics on 56 million yr due to illegal immigration and about "incentives"

These are the reasons for my decision:

overall Bronx Science PR provided good and relevant statistics. better performance during crossfire used simple example prove their points.

Comments to Debaters:

SP1 Good start by defining birth right. Good statistics. Should show more enthusiasm

SP3 Good presentation. good points about welfare benefit and overall economic impact.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min ✓
Speaker 2	4 min ✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3	4 min ✓
Speaker 4	4 min ✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min ✓
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min ✓
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Harry Zhang

Room: 317
Start: 10:30

4

Incluse Trato
Chambers, G

Varsity Public Forum (Delbarton AR vs. Regis LS)

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton AR Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for August Atencio |
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Braeden Reinoso

Team: Regis LS Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Francis Leong
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Ferdinand Suba |

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Regis LS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

con - great logical structure

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro failed to prove that Anchor babies are the main reason ppl come to the U.S. In fact, they granted that economic reasons o/w birth rights. And though they made a valid point about rewarding illegals for breaking the law but they never explained why that is bad and why it delegitimizes U.S. Law.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	<u>3 min</u>
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 340

Start: 10:30

Varsity Public Forum (Delbarton EM vs. Freehold Township DC)

4

Balletta, Randi

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for George Easley 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Michael Mumma 3

Team: Freehold Township DC Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Samantha Damito 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Sameera Chaudhry 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Delbarton EM representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Speakers both do an excellent job presenting their case which was well put together and thoroughly researched. Speaker #1 very much a gentleman. You both do great credit to your school and your team!

Comments to Debaters:

Both speakers are bright, articulate students. Good case research and although PRO was difficult to advance in this case you both did very well defending.

These are the reasons for my decision:

On the voting issues identified:
 Constitutionality = CON
 Economics = CON

In addition, PRO make little of CON's 3rd contentions (permanent underclass agreement)
 Please rethink your own 3rd contention

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

R.M. Balletta

Room: 324
Start: 10:30

4

Leong, William

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge DK vs. Bronx Science AA)

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge DK Circle Side: Pro Con 2nd
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Karn Dalal
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Nikhil Kapadia

Team: Bronx Science AA Circle Side: Pro Con 1st
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Elena Anderson
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Margarita Artoglou

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science AA representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

This was an intensely debated round. As I saw it, both sides have a common framework. The difference was the understanding to the scope of birthright citizenship and how applied. I think I heard both sides argue that the current status was not acceptable. The Pro took a more narrow and absolute definition of "abolish" and "birthright". The Con took a more legal/constitutional definition. Question for both sides - when was 14th amendment adopted? What had Framers intended? What was the geo-political situation at that time? Who would you see the resolution differently with those lens?

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

I give Bronx Science AA, Con, the win because of a broader and more reasonable framework. Their use of cards was more effective. I felt that Pro was parsing successively narrower definitions with each speaker. Good effort, but in end, failed to crystallize for me.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: William Regis Parent

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Dana Barry ³
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Betsy Studholme ¹

Team: Regis HM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Tim Hannan ⁴
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Vincent Monti ²

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Princeton BS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Betsy - you did well in your crossfire. you flipped the hereditary birthright point nicely

Comments to Debaters:

Vin - you made very good points regarding the moral issues and negated the statelessness argument well.

Dana - you made an excellent argument that the benefits + contributions made by illegal migration outweighs the costs.

Tim - the hereditary citizenship argument was excellent. Good point regarding the level of educational contribution of illegal immigrants.

Con supported the argument that the costs of birthright citizenship are outweighed by the benefits and one of pro's primary arguments, that potential hereditary citizenship would reduce deportation costs, couldn't be considered as it's a ~~potential~~ theoretical solution only

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Casey Smith / Monticello

Room: 335
Start: 10:30

4

Harley, Estrelli

Varsity Public Forum (Capitol Debate PP vs. Cypress Bay KK)

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Capitol Debate PP	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u>	for Akash Pullura
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u>	for Corey Payne

Team: Cypress Bay KK	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u>	for Chad Klitzman
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u>	for Sami Klitzman

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Capitol Debate PP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

PRO Comments to Debaters: Speaker 1: Akash

- slows down a little → stuttering & speed reading to cover info just lessens the strength of your arguments
- naturalization as a resolution → not the scope of the month's resolution
- you cannot intro. new arguments on summary time

CON Comments to Debaters: Speaker 1: Sami

- how critical analyses of supply & demand related to contentions very concise
- using what the term's connotation of opponents' intro. of counterplans & using it to your team's advantage just lead to your team's inability not to defend your own argument.

Speaker 3: Corey

- counterplans were not included in the resolution but very well integrated logic & practicality
- clarified examples vs. counterplans during CF
- good comeback in GXF to focus arguments that narrow down opponent's rebuttal

These are the reasons for my decision:

Speaker 4: Chad

- disenfranchising concept applied to legal & illegal US citizens (birthright) supported strongly by your analyses & analogy
- confident speaking ability

Although the Pro team seems to provide counterplans in the beginning, their rebuttal & spontaneity/logical analyses outdid the opponent. Pro team demonstrated deep analytical analyses & knowledge of both sides on the resolution. Pro wins the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Katehite Harley

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge OW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Tim O'Shea
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Ankit Wadhwa

Team: Bronx Science RS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Michael Raevsky
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joe Salmaggi

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science RS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Con Comments to Debaters: Speaker 1: Joe
 • focuses the scope of resolutions with definitions & team's framework
~~argument on security~~
 • logical support on CF very convincing & realistic

Pro Comments to Debaters: Speaker 1: Tim
 • stats & quotes provides strength to your arguments when critically analyze
 • arguments on security impacts the framework to make opponent difficult to refute

Speaker 3: Michael
 • utilize opponent's definition of jus soli & jus sanguinis
 • enable to dispute anchor babies' methodology
 • strong rebuttal on GXF

Speaker 4: Ankit
 • even if caste system already existing as you claim it does not necessarily that it will not increase or be status quo.
 • quantify "bad" by relating how & why of what you're trying to refute
 • conceded on opponent's rebuttal that of the illegal alien generations
 • ban children & illegal immigrants so really not a good way just to abolish birthright citizenship.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Con team's strong rebuttal on GXF allowed the opponent to drop arguments.
 Con team demonstrated strong evidential & logical support to strengthen arguments.
 Con win the round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Estrelli Harley

Room: 328
Start: 10:30

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge SC vs. Capitol Debate CM)

4

Boggavarapu, Lav

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge SC Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Capitol Debate CM Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Allison Shim <u>2</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Julia Conley <u>1</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Ben Choi <u>4</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Anjali Mahadevia <u>3</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is RIDGE representing the (PRO) CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Allison Supported by facts & well presented.

Ben Well presented & well defended.

Comments to Debaters:

Julia: Well presented. Can make more eye contact. Good rebuttal

Anjali: 2000 years?

Good questions. ~~She~~ Can give her partner more time to participate/answer.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Ridge team presented the case & well defended their arguments. All the four participants did well.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature]

39 + 1:16

37 + 33 + 50

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
 Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis CO Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Michelle Lu (1)	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Jaime Cobham (2)
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Deeptanshu Singh (2)	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Jack O'Malley (4)

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is RIDGE representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Michelle: Good presentation, articulation, eye ~~contact~~ contact, references.

Deep Good eye contact & rebuttal. A bit emotional.

Comments to Debaters:

Jaime: Well prepared & presented.

Jack Good eye contact presentation counterarg

These are the reasons for my decision:

CF, -

Regis did well & Jack can give more time to his partner to express his opinion / statements. Jack never got to mention the Latin terms that were referred to by his partner. Both teams did very well & Good luck.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

[Signature]

47 + 30 + 16 + 13

50 + 1:02

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis BaRi Circle Side: Pro **Con**
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): **28.5** for John Balleta 3
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): **29** for Patrick Rice 1

Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: Pro **Con**
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): **29** for Catherine Chen 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): **29** for Sunjay Melkote 2

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Ridge CM representing the **PRO** CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Patrick: Great flow + enunciation; well constructed case covers all 4 necessities of debate - claim, warrant, link, impact. Good job warranting infeasibility of abolishing BC. Good job in CX. Great summary, definitely bought the point that the 14th amendment has ambiguity so aff has to abolish both. Your response to their "labels" point although alone may have been good, given the theme of the debate, comparatively it did not hold up to what Ridge had to say. Thus at that point the only thing I did extend was "u soli + u sangui".

John: Good flow + enunciation; good rebuttal but most of it was spent cross-applying evidence from your case and rehashing things said before - rebuttals should bring further depth into the arguments. These are the reasons for my decision: and get at the heart of the debate. Good job in CX. In your final focus, it was good how you touched upon all the benefits you provided. However, by then Ridge had trumped your benefits empirically. Also while you did highlight economic costs to some extent, it did not go far enough to the end of the debate.

- I affirmed b/c
- ① 15% directly correlated to BC stats
 - ② Guest worker program (2 warrants)
 - ③ Most probable framework upheld

Comments to Debaters:

Sunjay: **29** Great flow + enunciation; excellent case, definitely encompasses all 4 necessities of debate: claim, warrant, link, and definitely impacts. Good job laying out specific impacts. Good job in CX. Excellent summary, gave great points for me to extend and vote on. Your point on 15% of births directly linked to BC was great, abt the ambiguity in this resolution is Illegal imm. stats. v. these actually of BC. I also had to extend your guest worker program point (2 great warrants, esp. CNN), and by tying that back to your "most probable F/W, you ~~definitely~~ excellently solidified your point.

Catherine: Great flow + enunciation, well-done on your rebuttal, excellent starting off by establishing and reaffirming a framework for the round. Great job giving ~~sta~~ warrants, links, & impacts against each of your opp. point (your spread which I thought was great). Your causal links were also excellently warranted by evidence. Good job in CX. Your final focus was well constructed - at that point you negated most of Neg's harms through solvency and outweighed their benefits. while Neg won ambiguity of 14th Amend. debate, it was not enough to negate Ridge's points.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jude Tungul - Montville

Room: 337

Start: 10:30

Varsity Public Forum (Capitol Debate CR vs. Bronx Science HR)

4

Michandani, Sure

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Capitol Debate CR Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Rhea Chitaki ²
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Meera Rothman ⁴

Team: Bronx Science HR Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Nusrat Hossain ¹
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Felix Rozenberg ³

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is CAPITOL DEBATE representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

C1 C12 valued when cascade
 Natural and C12 less C
 92% has.
 #1
 C2 Illegal (very harmful) for US.
 15% Hispanic cross to US
 for kids
 2-3 - A 1.7 yrs
 300k - 400k illegal imm.
 16.6bn - cal' tax pay for
 msec prep: cross fire 1
 #1 cannot

These are the reasons for my decision:

SP4 Defend absolute abolition -
 - C1. Ratio rebuttal all pt users
 good, but
 fact could not
 record:
 Cross 4
 major 2 - 19bn low
 C12 should be given
 after doing country.
 BR

Comments to Debaters:

C1 - abolish. Demand will be costly
 more chances for Army. Defense
 forces
 C2 - underclass creation
 - power is turn pageal.
 C3 - Punish satisfaction of parents
 Cross fire 2
 be followed.
 SP3
 - majority divided
 - 'expose the Economy
 - 'Equally as just
 the Govt.
 Cross 3
 Citizenship
 by Talk

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

REASON: PRO MENTIONED THAT ~~THE~~ CITIZEN MUST BE EARNED.

Room: 337
 Start: 10:30
 Varsity Public Forum (Regis KI vs. Delbarton CG)

4 Michandani, Sure

NEWARK INVITATIONAL Science Park HS Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis KI Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Delbarton CG Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Alex Kane 4	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Michael Cuppari <u>3</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Arthur Imperatore 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Kevin Godart <u>1</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Delbarton CG representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters: SP2

- C1 Serious abuses
 2/3 Illegal Births
 287 - 363 Bats Py
 Birth Taxation - Twist
- C2 Does not provide for Birth Right
 Work Area - Cez.
- C3 America Cez will benefit by
 (Naturalization) B.P. Citizens
 sup. \$8 - excellent speech.

- C1 Guaranteed by U.S.
- C2 Economic ~~Benefit~~ to BN (82 bn) increase
 \$25 bn 2m - jobs Revenue
- C3 Come for job not for babies.
 (NO) CLEAR.

These are the reasons for my decision:

speakers summary
 1) Illegal is an integral part of Birth
 2) Supreme Court makes mistakes.
 Impossible to repeal Birth Act in
 Cez.
 Grand Crossfire SP3.
 Birth Right Cez.
 statistics and
 not mentioned.
 Final focus
 Birth Right Cez focus.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

- 2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

REASON FOR CON WIN IS - PRO SPEAKED V. FAST SPOKE