

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Harrison AB (Ally Brabant) vs. Ridge HW (Howard Wei)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Harrison AB

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Ridge HW

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Ridge HW
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Rebecca Hansen

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

(1) Huge turn made on AFF case w/ [Wink]: by allowing use of d/R, we perpetuate the system we seek to transcend. AFF never deals w/the nature of the turn, i.e. that a new power balance has been created, whereby the oppressed becomes the oppressor. At that point, there can now be Counterviolence against this new power. This could perpetuate the violence that AFF claims to be curtailing. No guarantee that rts. violations will stop.

(2) AFF never deals w/the excusability v. permissibility issue. "case by case" evaluation ≠ general permissibility being proven.

(3) Even if we acknowledge the subjectivity of morality/moral judgments, NEG shows how agents still need outside v's or some kind of objective standard or norm to use as a metric to see if an action or potential action is justified. Under the AFF framework, how would agents even know or be able to recognize if what they're doing is actually minimizing etc. violations? (4) Deliberate ≠ inherently rational though

"deliberates," it doesn't presume that their decision is reasonable or justified.

Just b/c someone

Room: 139
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD


DOUB

Cumming, Jay

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Ridge HW (Howard Wei) vs. Harrison AB (Ally Brabant)

AFFIRMATIVE



NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Weg from Ridge HW
AFF of NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Jay Cumming

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFP:

- ① the mask and Harris arguments demand external checks the AC definition denies
- ② Strauss talks about why more violence isn't an issue if you kill them and end the cyclical nature of it, but the definition analysis shows that ~~is~~
- a) they are rational, and think it will work out without violence
- b) they are irrationally killing

Room: 109
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Rahman, Rabeya

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Marlboro Independent AH (Aviela Hochberg) vs. Regis PM (Peter McGahren)

⁴⁰ AFFIRMATIVE

^{1:45} NEGATIVE

Regis PM
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Marlboro Independent AH
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AHS from Regis PM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Rabeya Rahman

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

RFD

AC
Happier end, I'll buy the argument that ^{proportion} ~~proportion~~ number is a part of giving someone a way.
eg → even if the moral ~~argument~~ ^{argument} is not right, it is still a part of the whole, then the
idea of it becomes better.

Disad - I'll buy my ^{proportion} ~~proportion~~ on the idea that death is not proportional, but ~~that~~ ^{that} the idea of death ~~is~~ ^{is} a way to end suffering (and ~~concern~~ ^{concern}), ~~and~~ ^{and} this of us.

UC

Meaning a ~~repetition~~ ^{repetition} of the AC's arg.

Room: 109
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Wolfe, Phoebe

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Regis PM (Peter McGahren) vs. Marlboro Independent AH (Aviela Hochberg)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Regis PM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Marlboro Independent AH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the APP from Regis PM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Phoebe Wolfe

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I think there are a lot of problems in this round. I don't understand the link claim the the AC - if morality is subjective, why would patriarchy be an objectively immoral thing? Also, how does eliminating patriarchy lead to "objective morality". However, these questions were never addressed by the neg, so I have to grant the link story, no matter how shady it may seem.

• AVU - "disadv" = disadvantage to the AC, which is just defense. I think this is a mislabeled off case. I also really don't understand how taking away "permissibility" means that we can suddenly understand the root cause of patriarchy.

RFD: I grant the patriarchy flow & extension of Brennan

Room: 109
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Cruz, Jon

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Regis PM (Peter McGahren) vs. Marlboro Independent AH (Aviela Hochberg)

AFFIRMATIVE

REGIS PM

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

MARLBORO AH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Peter - please try to preflow
ahead of time. 😊

CORAL

Smart thinking w/
patricity ~~studies~~
arguments.

Room: 342
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Boone, Jabriel

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Delbarton RT (Ryan Teehan) vs. Bronx Science TM (Tyler Morris)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Delbarton RT

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Bronx Science TM

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neq from Bronx Science TM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 342
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Philips, Les

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Bronx Science TM (Tyler Morris) vs. Delbarton RT (Ryan Teehan)

AFFIRMATIVE

DECLARATION

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

BX

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

FEAR
THE
KVAAL!

The better debating was done by the

NEG
AFF or NEG

from

BX

TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Cedric [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 342
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Bowyer, Jonathc

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Delbarton RT (Ryan Teehan) vs. Bronx Science TM (Tyler Morris)

AFFIRMATIVE



Delbarton

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE



Bronx Sci

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neg from Bronx Sci
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Voted on Discourse K