

Room: 303  
 Start: 10:30  
 Novice Public Forum (Bronx Science BK vs. Regis CK)

# 4 Wadhwa, Dominique

## NEWARK INVITATIONAL Science Park HS Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

*Right*  
 Team: Bronx Science BK Circle Side: Pro Con  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Shabana Bachu  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Jennifer Ko

*Left*  
 Team: Regis CK Circle Side: Pro Con  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Patrick Chebian  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mark Kindschuh

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis CK representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)  
 Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Patrick ~~was~~ your speech was well constructed  
 Mark, you had clever and good rebuttal points

Comments to Debaters:

Shabana, you fought well - Please make sure to focus on the contentious points and don't rush when you are confident in your argument -  
 Jennifer, good summary; I would have liked to see more arguments...  
 at the Bronx team, please make sure not to speak at the same time during crossfires

These are the reasons for my decision:

Regis team offered more convincing arguments and Team Bronx was not as effective in their rebuttal - the Bronx team ~~was~~ was not effective in addressing the opponent's claim related to the constitution

Order	Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

*Wadhwa*

**NEWARK INVITATIONAL**  
 Science Park HS  
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science LY Circle Side: Pro <b>Con</b>	Team: Ridge PL Circle Side: <b>Pro</b> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>24</u> for Waylon Lai 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Kunal Patnaik 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>24</u> for Victor Yeung 3	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for David Liu 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge PL representing the **PRO** CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes  No  )

Comments to Debaters:

Waylon: Work on your articulation and speaking rate; I found it difficult to follow your speak at times; I didn't follow your final focus at all.  
Victor Chain migration - refer to a source about its legality.  
 50% moms want citizenship that leaves 50% not 85%;  
 show clearly why its not feasible to abolish a policy; **SLOW DOWN** and make fewer points but develop them better  
 These are the reasons for my decision:

Comments to Debaters:

David Good job supporting contentions with sources  
 Immigration harms social mobility and economic growth  
Kunal - Slow down: your rate of speech makes it hard to understand you. Make clear links to the benefits of abolishing birthrite citizenship  
 If you want the job to drop your opponents' contentions, you need to supply good sources to counter

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

All four speakers: you all need to slow your rate of speech and make clear points using complete sentences; Analyze who's winning or losing **less** - make better points

The Pro Team showed that Illegal Immigrants are using more American social welfare funds <sup>than citizens</sup> that Abolishing birthrite citizenship ~~reduces~~ <sup>reduces</sup> ~~demographic~~ <sup>demographic</sup> ~~which~~ <sup>which</sup> exploit those funds.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Moscaritolo Montville

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science PL Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Montville MP Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26.5</u> for Anjilee Panjwani 3	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for James Min 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Vi Le 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Christine Park 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Con - Montville MP representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Pro  
 - Anjilee - Have to spend more time on opponents case. Your points hadn't been attacked yet, no real need to reinforce.  
 - Contentions #2 is not effective - should be replaced

Comments to Debaters:

Con  
 - Successfully proved that harms of illegal immigration would actually be worse in pro world.  
 - Be careful saying all terrorists are on a jihad, not true.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con showed that cost to taxpayers would be worse in pro world - therefore, status quo is the better decision.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: R. Horwitz

Room: 315  
Start: 10:30

Novice Public Forum (Regis BP vs. Princeton CW)

4

Moscaritolo, Dia

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis BP Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Liam Brozen 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Luke Powers 1

Team: Princeton CW Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Jennie Chen 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Eliza Wright 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis BP representing the PRO CON

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Luke: Articulate & slow down  
Check your facts about the parent's citizenship after they have a baby here.

Liam Good job showing benefits of "anchor children" and refuting all negative costs of impact of birthrite babies. Good job illuminating depth of ~~depth~~ of responding to problem the abolition of birthrite citizenship

Comments to Debaters:

Eliza slow down; Good responses to questions and crossfire, but I found it difficult to follow your rate of speech overall.

Jennie: Clarify the importance of constitutionality of birthrite citizenships

I could not follow your rebuttal too well. Aim for a coherent speech rather than addressing loosely connected contentions

### Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

The con team showed the economic ~~burden~~ and systemic burden the US would need to deal with if birthrite citizenship were abolished. Thus negating the resolution.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Moscaritolo Montuella

Room: 343

Start: 10:30

Novice Public Forum (Montville HW vs. Bronx Science BD)

4

Duva, Nicholas

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Montville HW Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Bronx Science BD Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Minki Hong <u>2</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Julia Bittencourt <u>3</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Matthew Weiss <u>4</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Miranda Ditmore <u>1</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science BD representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

CON responded clearly and concisely to PRO challenges, citing sufficient evidence and presented in an articulate manner. CON made strong case that we create more illegals and illegal activity by abolishing BC. PRO did not answer direct question in crossfire, appeared less prepared. PRO case more about beliefs than actual effects of elimination of BC, rather "fairness" argument.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] REGIS HS

Room: 343

Start: 10:30

Novice Public Forum (Bronx Science DP vs. Randolph FL)

4

Duva, Nicholas

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science DP Circle Side:  Pro  Con  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Gabriel Delsol  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Jimmy Peraffan

Team: Randolph FL Circle Side: Pro   Con  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Justin Fiszer  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joshua Leopold

29-30 Outstanding      22-23 Below Average  
 27-28 Above Average  
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science DP representing the  PRO  CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes  No  )

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

PRO showed clear cost/benefit effects of eliminating BC not effectively refuted by CON. Strong argument presented not to "reword" illegal immigrants with BC. CON focused on problems with immigration generally, rather than BC specifically.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] REGIS HS

Room: 353  
 Start: 10:30  
 Novice Public Forum (Regis HS vs. Princeton MM)

4

Amarille, Xavier

NEWARK INVITATIONAL  
 Science Park HS  
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis HS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Princeton MM Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Jonathan Hall 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Pragya Malik 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Sean Singleton 4	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Jacob Middlekauff 1

38  
 10:30 left

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

25  
 40

The team that won this debate is Princeton MM representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Jonathan: Look up a little bit more in your speech. Good summary, but tell me which is the biggest point and do a little bit of weighing between the arguments.

Sean: Very good rebuttal. Good eye contact

Comments to Debaters:

Jacob: Good eye contact. Nice summary, but you should really bail it down to 2-3 main points to make it clearer for the judge

Pragya: Great rebuttal! However, look at the judge, not your opponents because the judge decides whether or not you win or lose. Also, in your rebuttal, its unnecessary to go back to your case since it was not attacked yet

These are the reasons for my decision:

I voted con based of the harms to illegal immigration and the ~~pro~~ con argument that abolishing birthright citizenship would create more illegal aliens and magnify the harms the pro talks about

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Xavier Amarille Bronx Science

Room: 353  
Start: 10:30  
Novice Public Forum (Regis HT vs. Freehold Got)

4

Amarille, Xavier

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis HT Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Freehold Got Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Matthew Hilado 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26.5</u> for Gotham Bogga 1 & 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Joe Taglic 4	Speaker Points (20 to 30): _____ for _____

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis HT representing the PRO CON  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)  
 Low Point Win? (Yes) No )

Comments to Debaters:

Matthew: Your last subpoint is extremely blippy. It is really good though since many consider government intrusion bad. In CX, don't lean on the desk and look at the judge. Good summarization, but you said you were weighing and you didn't. Watch your body language.  
Joe: In Since you have the second rebuttal, you have the opportunity to go back and refute your opponents rebuttal. Furthermore, use CX to ask pointed questions instead of allowing your opponent to elaborate on his points. Okay weighing

These are the reasons for my decision:

I voted con off the permanent underclass argument and the impact on economics because it was the only argument that one side was consistently winning

Comments to Debaters:

Gotham: During crossfire, look at the judge, you are not debating to convince your opponent to vote for you. Ask more pointed questions in CX. Also don't say "I think" because that shows a lack of confidence in yourself. Also, summarize in the summary instead of trying to hit everything. Good FF, but you need to crystallize

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Xavier Amarelle

Bronx Science

Room: 355

Start: 10:30

Novice Public Forum (Ridge LM vs. Delbarton BT)

4

King, George

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LM Circle Side: Pro **Con**  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Sohyun Lee  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Sushma Manimalla <sup>2</sup>

Team: Delbarton BT Circle Side: **Pro** Con  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): ~~28~~ for John Beatty <sup>1</sup>  
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Harry Townsend

29-30 Outstanding      22-23 Below Average  
 27-28 Above Average  
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Ridge LM representing the PRO **CON**  
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? ( Yes No )

Comments to Debaters:

Turn - II increased  
 if the BC children are  
 added to II rules

Comments to Debaters:

← Negative II effects

These are the reasons for my decision:

The most determinative argument was that Pro relied upon the negative effects of II and Con had two responses: (1) that abolishing BC actually increases the metrics of II and (2) the economic and bureaucratic practicalities of eliminating BC are hard to see favorably.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: George King