

Room: 111
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Fox, Chris

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Stuyvesant SH (Samantha Hom) vs. Pingry AK (Amol Kapoor)

AFFIRMATIVE

Pingry AK

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Stuyvesant SH

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from Stuyvesant SH
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Chris Fox

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The AFF had little to no offense coming into the 2AR, and while claimed to have a specific universal verbiage (in all situations of DV) this was not clearly established. I thought the NEG may have attempted too much, several points stood, including the idea of how do we define what is prohibited. Nice work to both.

Room: 111
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Dunay, Matt

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Pingry AK (Amol Kapoor) vs. Stuyvesant SH (Samantha Hom)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the NEG from STUY
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Matt Dunay

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

AFF: ITS NOT INHERENT AND YOU KNOW IT - I CAN SEE IT IN
YOUR EYES.

Room: 111
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Tirado, Ivillese

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Pingry AK (Amol Kapoor) vs. Stuyvesant SH (Samantha Hom)


AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Pingry AK (Amol Kapoor)
NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Stuyvesant SH
NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the AFF from Pingry Ak
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff proved that in all instances of Domestic violence the law abuse will continue to get worse hence leaving the end results to be the use of deadly force on the woman's behalf fulfilling the ~~universal element~~ ^{universal element} for all instances of DM violence.

Room: 108
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Fitzgerald, Edwa

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

126

15

Bronx Science ZR (Zeke Rosenberg) vs. Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bronx Science ZR

Scarsdale SF

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff ~~Scarsdale SF~~ from Bronx Science ZR
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Nice ball!

My thoughts about this re start ... is behind the Aff - Aff is always a longer + more specific into impend that pertains to DV.

Aff. I think you can possibly "perm" his state turns against you.

My he is of ages nec, or affs...

AL Di, le

Some reconceptual of your understanding of the songjovers mythic.

Room: 108
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Cheung, William

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Bronx Science ZR (Zeke Rosenberg) vs. Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale

Bronx Sci

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Scarsdale
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 108
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Chomba, Pamela

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Bronx Science ZR (Zeke Rosenberg) vs. Scarsdale SF (Steven Friedman)

AFFIRMATIVE

NEGATIVE

Bronx Science

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

Scarsdale

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Aff from Bronx Science
AFF/NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: Chomba

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg²

work on your NR.

Make it easier for the

judge to know where to vote for you.

RED: the aff makes a clear link story to biopower.

Neg ~~conce~~ of answer individual
→ social level.

Room: 112
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Kraut, Aaron

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Princeton RP (Ryan Protter) vs. Scarsdale LM (Larry Milstein)

AFFIRMATIVE

Princeton RP


NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale LM

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the ALB from Scarsdale LM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Meta-level: F/W - the aff needs to do a better job explaining why situational excuses can be universalizable, that's 1st. Next, dropping the aff case kills you b/c it is morally a bunch of arg's saying my you have no ill into your H/W and stand => when you kill your stand (aff), then it's about practical reasons. That's problematic b/c: 1) how does the aff have an ill into that? 2) you literally concede that utilitarian is arbitrary for the 1st rebuttal, and thus not practically reasonable. 3) Last N/R rebuttal makes a really good, persuasive arg't => universalizability and non-arbitrariness are constraints on deontology so you can't have both ill into the aff H/W - game over

conceded by flow: 1) Excusability vs. Permissibility
- aff literally concedes throughout the round that double fun is excusable BUT not morally permissible - 100% solving talent
- neg also wins ill case here => disingenuous ethics destroys UZL
↳ prob a reason that the lack of an aff ill into his H/W = NVZL, which is really the only ill in the round

Room: 112
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Zhong, L

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Princeton RP (Ryan Protter) vs. Scarsdale LM (Larry Milstein)

AFFIRMATIVE

Princeton RP

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale LM

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the Neq from Scarsdale
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

oml

Room: 112
Start: 1:30
Varsity LD

DOUB

Mandhania, Anki

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
Feb 3-4, 2012

Princeton RP (Ryan Protter) vs. Scarsdale LM (Larry Milstein)

AFFIRMATIVE

Princeton

NAME OF AFFIRMATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

NEGATIVE

Scarsdale

NAME OF NEGATIVE TEAM OR CONTESTANT

The better debating was done by the *Neg* from *Scarsdale*
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Signed: *[Signature]*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff

Neg

Ethos

Pathos

1 -

2

Logos

Case but for realz:

RFD = dropped off case)