

**NEWARK INVITATIONAL**  
**Science Park HS**  
Feb 3-4, 2012

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

Ridge RK

Max = 30

Hunter College SaCo

Max = 30

Raina Karia

28

Sarah Cogan

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Ridge RK  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Freddy Pastrano

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Both arguments were very strong. This has been the strongest debate I have seen. However, affirmative has won because of her last argument. Negative dropped the last argument & was proved by the affirmative to contradict itself. This was where I saw an affirmative win. Both of these debaters did well, & was a very tough decision.

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

### AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

### NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science SS

Max = 30

Byram Hills CB

Max = 30

Sorana Selegan

27

Caleb Brown

24

The better debating was done by the Aff. from Bronx Science SS  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Value:

Aff

NEG.

morality

v.s.

morality

Neg second cont. turned by  
off @ NR

Aff

- \* IAC = 3:25 mins.
- \* slow, steady reading.
- \* coherent hand gestures.
- \* word choice could have been a bit more peculiar
- \* warrants were all well linked with value.
- Value criterion makes world a better place, val is morality so there is better linkage.

self defence = hypocrisy = weakened system = immoral.

feminists = think violence is good.

→ no warrants.

Neg

- \* cross ex. 1:39.
- \* Eye contact while reading.
- \* speak a little more clear.
- Topicity.
- Link to outside topics was weak.
- no religion please!
- \* Examples to prove point were clear
- \* Coherent hand gestures.
- NR - 4:30 long.
- \* Good body movements with flow of argument.

- Affirmative was able to warrant all of her points. Neg did not use specific sources other than dictionary.com. although he made more points

Room: 147  
Flight A: Start 10:30  
Novice LD

4

Ruela, Andreia

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Bronx Science CL**

Max = 30

**Monticello PM**

Max = 30

Carolyn Lau

28

Patrick Miraglia

27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Carolyn Lau  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Andreia Ruela

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Neg. speaker was a great speaker and made good eye contact but I vote Aff, because her argument on Kay T and how she had gone to government officials and nothing had been done overpowered the Neg. argument that victims must seek help from police officials. The Groben card by the Neg was also used excessively but the Aff, said that many countries do not even have this legal system.

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

### AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

### NEGATIVE

POINTS

Regis BB

Max = 30

Ridge SY

Max = 30

Brandon Baldovin

28

Sophia Yan

27

The better debating was done by the AFF from Regis BB  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_



### COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Affirm:

- Projected his definitions and terms well, and related it back to <sup>his</sup> ~~case~~ case.

Ex: Deadly force - not necessarily to cause death, but to do enough to protect one's self

Also the abuser has "given up his/her right to life by threatening another life"

Negative:

- Very ~~good~~ good at speaking and standing behind her case but fails to answer, and rebutte the questions set by the Aff.

Ex: what happens when there's no way out?

- Also she answers the aff question too late, even though that would've been where she could've made a good point.

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Byram Hills JS**

Max = 30

**Scarsdale GT**

Max = 30

Josh Spingarn

28

Garrett Tanzer

27

The better debating was done by the NEG from Scarsdale GT  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Ysamer Ysamer Gonzalez

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

~~AFF~~ NEG

- argues that torture is worse than death.
- killing will maximize self worth
- spreads, so argument becomes unclear
- self defense follows law
- self defense is natural law, that can't be taken away
- argues using social contract
- brings up that opp. is only using U.S. standards of law
- doesn't speak of "ideal world"

~~NEG~~ AFF

- if something is valuable you must maintain reciprocity.
- assumes other options are available.
- self defense is use in spur of the moment.
- ~~• self defense is not a~~
- drops.
- self defense leads to contradiction
- reciprocity = moral.
- "homicide is okay"

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

## Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Regis CH

Max = 30

Freehold Township SS

Max = 30

Christopher Hillebrand 27

Simran Shah 27

The better debating was done by the Aff from Regis CH  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The round was decided based on the negative contradicting her speech ~~in~~ within her value criterion of proportionality. Its contradictory to say that individuals are to give equal amounts of force and then attempt to say that victims should use ultimate methods, ~~and that~~

GREAT ROUND!!

Room: Aud F  
Flight A: Start 10:30  
Novice LD

4

~~Agyemang, Nana~~

~~Dias, Lisa~~

~~Carla~~

Zurita, Carla

# NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
<b>Scarsdale TC</b>	Max = 30	<b>Bronx Science AF</b>	Max = 30
Tomer Cherki	<u>28</u>	Aeshah Farrouq	<u>24</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Tomer Cherki  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Carla M. Zurita

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

The affirmative won because he clashed all neg's points and managed to make neg drop her observations. He cross ex'd strategically as well.

The neg failed to clash, or answer several cross-ex questions and dropped many of her statements.

**NEWARK INVITATIONAL**  
**Science Park HS**  
Feb 3-4, 2012

**AFFIRMATIVE**

POINTS

**NEGATIVE**

POINTS

**Bronx Science IM**

Max = 30

**Ridge YP**

Max = 30

Ismini Mangafas

27

Young Park

29

The better debating was done by the Neg from Ridge YP  
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: Lisa M. Mangafas

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Negative side was without a doubt stronger and stables. She presented an innovated case of lessening the suffering of others, and that all ppl are considered in the Neg world. Neg questioned all aspects of the Aff's contentions. Facilities and organizations are present therefore the victim is not boxed in, use of dom viol as an excuse, ect. Aff had a good argument comparing repeated viol vs. random but it was insufficient. Aff seemed a bit confused and unable to articulate ideas, which unfortunately, confused me as well. Neg questioned while Aff reiterated her claims. Overall, good Debate!