

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis HS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Delbarton BT Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27.5</u> for Jonathan Hall 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27.5</u> for John Beatty 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Sean Singleton 3	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Harry Townsend 4

22
22

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

13
22

The team that won this debate is Regis HS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Jonathan! Clear case,
 Ask questions, don't make statements and ask "do you agree". Anchor babies is used wrongly in politics/supreme court

Sean! Good rebuttal, try covering more specific points

Stop falling down delbarton's AF.

Comments to Debaters:

John! Good eye contact,
 don't let the other team build up their case in ex. Go for more offense in summary.

harry! Good job. going down the case point by point.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I vote for con.

Con proved that legal immigrants have substantial benefits, and illegal immigration will increase w/out birthright citizenship.

I bought the quality argument on aff, but it wasn't helped against con

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] bysci

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton PV Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Regis BP Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Rushi Patel 3	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Liam Brozen 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for James Vermynen 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27.5</u> for Luke Powers 2

20
16

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis BP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes) No)

Comments to Debaters:

James: Good eye contact,
Go for more offense in summary

Rushi Great rebuttal,
~~try to~~ you didn't bring up your rebuttals
framework till the end,
could of made a bigger deal in round

Comments to Debaters:

Luke: Clear reading, well done
It's not a child's fault for being born
Good summary, went on points that your winning
outline

Liam Good rebuttal, try to be more passionate
try to go down points better.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I vote for the pro.

Illegal Immigration + Supreme court cases were a wash.

Regis brought up horrors of crime, not refuted well.

No other points had impact at the end, besides crime.

You both contradicted yourselves repeatedly on illegal immigration.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

bronx science debater

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Montville AS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Vikas Akkaraju ⁽²⁾
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for William Sun ⁽¹⁾

Team: Regis DP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Colin Donnelly ⁽¹⁾
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Brendan Powell ⁽²⁾

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Regis DP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

William: Very nice questions in crossfire, especially naturalization question.

Vikas: Try not to gloss over your opponents points. You need to logically explain your points more clearly. Good points in the crossfire. Speak slower so you don't slur your words.

Comments to Debaters:

Colin: Good reading despite being sick. Nice assertive style in crossfire. Be a bit more well researched.

Brendan: Fantastic Rebuttal! You addressed all of their major arguments effectively. Good job in the ~~crossfire~~ crossfire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The round centered around ① Underclass ② Bureaucratic costs ③ Morality ④ Illegal immigration and ⑤ Nat. Security. The underclass arg goes con clearly because naturalization is time consuming, B. costs \$600/person, E. Difficult, so this response does not fully take out the point. The bureaucratic costs are not too significant (also person), but the 13 million Americans unable to prove citizenship would cause problems. The morality argument also goes con because I buy that the children should not be punished for the ~~acts~~ ^{crimes} of the parents. In terms of immigration, the PRO barely ~~gets~~ gets on impact because con says ① 1/2 of matters are here for 5 years ② Economic moderators matter more. Terrorism goes pro, but I do not value it highly because we shouldn't get rid of a policy just because one person who was a citizen was a terrorist. Overall, the relatively weaker, imbalanced PRO points are outweighed by the CON. I vote con. Good debate.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Pradeep Tejus

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton HY Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Justin Hsieh 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Derrick Yao 2

Team: Bronx Science JJ Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Akshay Jain 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Ryan Jaipersaud 3

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science JJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Nice argument that people come for rights → but then 50% have kids → so almost arguing for the Pro

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro wins contentions on job loss and cost of illegal immigration → loses #2 on Cartels (What is that??) Con argues that the lower wages of illegal are good for US - Pro argues that it reduces salary levels for poor.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Pat Luccarelli / Regis

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Montville SS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Jay Sirot 2 Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Daniel Shafir 1	Team: Bronx Science PL Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Anjlee Panjwani 1 Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Vi Le 2
--	--

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Montville SS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

PRO

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

CON

Comments to Debaters:

First speaker = your constructive needs to be compelling. it was n7. Speak up, remember you have an audience. Other than that, good summary.

second speaker = nice Final focus, you seemed very in charge of the round, which was good.

Comments to Debaters:

you both seem very nervous, you really need to speak up & be more confident, it's much more persuasive. Don't let ~~the~~ Montv. speak over you in CX! Be assertive!
 Speaker one = make sure you practice your constructive before hand, you seemed to be struggling. Watch your 'ums' & pauses in summary, you wasted a lot of time.
 Speaker two = remember to keep time in your rebuttal, you had no idea that time was up. Your final focus was very organized, good work on that

Both teams -> the 14th Am was made for recently freed slaves NOT to protect white Americans. Please watch your facts.

I ended up voting pro b/c the costs of keeping BRC outweighed the costs of abolishing it. Pro's arg. agnst agencies effectively turned most point in their favor, and publiced. clearly had a huge cost. Birth tourism was not sufficiently attacked by con, so pro wins that as well. Too much time was wasted on the constitution arg -> the only defense con gave was against slavery, not the original arg but either way I didn't think it was a very good discussion (regarding the constitution - the actual debate was fine).

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Nancy Lee - Ridge

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Montville MP Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for James Min 2 Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Christine Park 1	Team: Regis HT Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Matthew Hilado 1 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 <u>29</u> for Joe Taglic 2
---	--

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis HT representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

PRO

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

CON

Comments to Debaters:
 First speaker = great constructive, you're a great speaker. Summary was fine, but I wouldn't recommend going line-by-line → you didn't have time for your own case.

Comments to Debaters:
 First speaker = good constructive, nice organization of your summary.

Second speaker = you are also a great speaker, wow! I liked the 'warrants' structure of your final focus, but be careful of your time.

Second speaker = you wasted a little time in your rebuttal b/c you were tripping over your words. Stay focused, you'll get to more that way. The weighing of impacts in your FF was great. Creative organization.

Like I told you, This was a really awesome round. Both teams were very articulate and good speakers. These are the reasons for my decision:

This round was very close. In the SC/permanent underclass arg, I think con is winning, although SC is broken, impact of a marginalized permanent underclass outweighs - Mexico evidence is not sufficient, ~~you~~ you needed to have ^{proved} that the majority of illegals are from Mexico, can't take that for granted. The welfare costs arg. was a bit more muddled, birth tourism impacts carried less weight b/c of lesser scope → I bought pro's point that welfare is being wasted, but con does say that illegals pay taxes & abolishing BPC ~~to~~ won't decrease ed. costs anyway. At this point, I'm voting con for the permanent underclass point.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Nancy Lee - Ridge

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Randolph FL Circle Sides Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Justin Fiszler ³
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joshua Leopold ¹

Team: Ridge PL Circle Side Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Kunal Patnaik ⁴
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for David Liu ²

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Randolph FL representing the PRO CON
(Team Name of the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low-Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Pro #1 - BRC prevents legal immigrants is not true (as Con points out) because chain immigration is legal. #2 + #3 on costs were good (refuted by Con) ~~add to~~ Texas study on net + its Texas but #4 with driving down wages was countered by Con saying non-unique to BRC. and #5 just was never brought back

Speaker 4 - crossfire needs to be more of a discussion. Do not shout down opponents

Speaker 3, nice return to overview of the round, what is right for people and net plus to economy

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro case had 5 contentions and lots of stats -> most of which were dropped by both sides. Pro dropped more of their case and did not handle rebuttals. Con convinces me there is an economic benefit to illegal immigrants and the US will be impacted if children are not citizens

Good round

Pat Luccarelli / Regis

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 353

Start: 8:00

Novice Public Forum (Princeton MM vs. Bronx Science BD)

3

Chang, Shih-Fu

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Princeton MM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Bronx Science BD Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29.5</u> for Pragma Malik 4	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28.5</u> for Julia Bittencourt 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Jacob Middlekauff 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28.5</u> for Miranda Ditmore 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Princeton MM Pro representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

- * Excellent layout of arguments with very detailed data
 - * Excellent rebuttal and final focus.
 - * Good logic reasoning during on comparing pros & cons
 - * Can use stronger evidence to support
- These are the reasons for my decision: incentive for more illegal immigrants
- * Thorough preparation of evidences and effective responses by the PRO team.

Comments to Debaters:

- * smart strategy in taking opponent's ~~at~~ evidences to ~~own~~ your own side
- * will be more effective in you can provide direct evidences and warrents to back up final claims (# of illegal imm. will grow)
- * Can't completely discard the adverse impacts such as reward for crime, financial burdens etc.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature], Parent/Teacher

Room: 353

Start: 8:00

Novice Public Forum (Princeton AO vs. Bronx Science BK)

3

Chang, Shih-Fu

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Princeton AO Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Lila Abreu 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Sinan Ozbay 2

Team: Bronx Science BK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Shabana Bachu 3
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Jennifer Ko 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Pro Princeton AO representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

- * Excellent control of flow
- * Very effective rebuttal ~~for the~~ and attack on the weakened military force argument
- * ~~The~~ key evidence (e.g. Texas report) should be prepared on site.

These are the reasons for my decision:

- * Pro side has better ~~rebuttal~~ rebuttal & handling of cross exam.

Comments to Debaters:

- * Good establishment of comprehensive contentions
- * Good rebuttal for economical impacts
- * Q&A during cross fire can be handled better. (Don't interrupt your own partner.)

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature], Parent/Teaching

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
 Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Princeton CW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Jennie Chen 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Eliza Wright 2

Team: Regis BR Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Robert Borek 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Joseph Ryan 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Princeton CW representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Eliza: Nice case reading. Try to read tags and authors more clearly. Good questions in crossfire.
Jennie: Very good rebuttal. All of your points were very clear. I would recommend using more evidence and spending more time responding to their case.
Eliza: Try to boil down the round more during your summary as opposed to restating your case.

Comments to Debaters:

Robert: Try to make more eye contact during your case. If you have time it is very effective to emphasize taglines and impacts.
Joseph: Try to be more prepared for your rebuttals and crossfires. No new evidence in grand crossfire
Robert: Try to do less rebutting and more crystalizing during your summary

Both Sides Should Weigh in the Final Focus

These are the reasons for my decision:

I vote for the pro because I accepted their Birth Tourism point. I also agree that there is a social underclass in the status Quo. If Con had made the link between an increase in undocumented immigrants to their impacts, the round might have been different.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Daniel Messner / Bronx Science / Student

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Montville LM Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Reza Lofli 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Marcelle Mathews 1

Team: Delbarton BJ Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Vito Brancatella 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Christopher Jagoe 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Delbarton BJ representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Marcelle: Nice case. Try to emphasize taglines and impacts, you had time.

Reza: Try to focus more on their case. You very clearly understand logical responses. Try to get some evidence.

Marcelle: Good summary. Try to weigh more and break the round down into main points.

Reza: Good job. Try to bring in your own points as well.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I voted for the con because they show that abolitionist would ~~more~~ ^{increase} the illegal immigrants and turn the pros impacts to the con. I also voted off stateless children.

Comments to Debaters:

Vito: Excellent case heading. Try to make some more eye contact. Really good crossfire.

Christopher: Fantastic Rebuttal. Really smooth and conversational.

Vito: This was a great summary. You broke it down into main points and weighed, something almost nobody else does.

Christopher: This was an almost perfect final focus

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Daniel Messner / Bronx Science / Student

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Sohyun Lee 3
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Sushma Manimalla 1

Team: Bronx Science LY Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Waylon Lai 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Victor Yeung 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge LM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Pro
 - Strong research - Had many stats prepared.
 - Sohyun - Strong in cross fire
 - Sushma - Partic. ipate in grand cross fire.

Comments to Debaters:

Con - I like the feasibility argument, but you need to do more research - Even though bright citizenship ~~was~~ was
 - Victor - Partic. ipate in grand cross fire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro established clear costs of illegal immigration, and showed that intent of 14th Amendment was not specific to ~~the~~ illegal immigrants. Con was only able to show that costs would rise in a vague way to enforce new rules.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: B Horwitz - Randolph / Coach

Room: 343

Start: 8:00

Novice Public Forum (Ridge WT vs. Bronx Science DP)

3

Horwitz, Benjami

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge WT Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Christine Wang 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Oliver Tang 3

Team: Bronx Science DP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Gabriel Delsol 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Jimmy Peraffan 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge WT representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Con - Nice job being able to respond to their arguments, both in 11th Amendment + net benefits.

Comments to Debaters:

Pro - Showed a clear cost w/ \$113 billion. However, con showed that + net benefits outweigh 113 billion.

These are the reasons for my decision:

- From econ. standpoint, gains to economy from illegal immigrants outweigh costs in pro world - Thus Con.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

B. Hout Randolph - Coach

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis CK Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Monticello HS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Patrick Chebian	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Jane Hyman
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Mark Kindschuh	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>25</u> for Emily Smith

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis CK representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Con
 =
 Good Job refuting Pro contentions
 31yr. Argument was good -
 Supreme Court case was nice touch
 I gave you economic impacts argument
 because Pro lacked evidence

Comments to Debaters:

Pro
 You cannot cite something like a \$530
 billion impact and not have a source
 to back it up. It gets no weight in
 the round. Had you brought the evidence,
 the result may have been different.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro had no evidence to support its major contentions

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

 Captain Brian Hill

Room: 333

Start: 8:00

Novice Public Forum (Regis FT vs. Montville HW)

3

Klitzman, Larry

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis FT Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for James Flatow
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 21 for Daniel Tenreiro-Brasch

Team: Montville HW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 25 for Minki Hong
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Matthew Weiss

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average
 22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Regis FT representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Con
 Generally a nice presentation

Comments to Debaters:


Pro
 More evidence
 would have helped your case.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Good Rounds -
 Con won substantial argument. Supreme Court has interpreted 14th Amendment - argument that Birthright children are not statutorily authorized. Does not carry the point. I agree in some cases Birthright citizenship may be unfair to those who wait versus those who abuse the rule but resolution to me should be looking at effect on Americans, not on alien. Also, immorality argument that you cannot hold kids responsible for parent acts flows to Con. Pro pretty much concedes "Anchor Baby" argument.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:


 Larry Klitzman