

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Resolution: Birthright Citizenship should be abolished in the U.S.

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge JY Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 2 for Robert Ju 26
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 4 for Kevin Yang 26

Team: Bronx Science AA Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 3 for Elena Anderson 27
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 1 for Margarita Artoglou 28

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is BRONX SCIENCE AA representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Sp. 2: Did not present statistics and credible study that illegal immigrants come to the us to give birth. You have to present clear & convincing evidence to prove that the average income will decrease by abolishing birthright citizenship.

Sp. 4: my saying that birthright citizenship only applies to unique situations was not well explained. failed to clarify the argument that there is a difference between American birthright citizenship and birthright citizenship.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The CON won. The substitute arguments of the CON were convincing and compelling giving statistics and great examples. They were able to crystallize their points, i.e. an alternative to outright abolishment of the birthright citizenship by way of statute. The PRO case was not presented well with unclear explanations of the justification with stats & study. To say there is American birthright citizenship as different from 10th cit.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *[Signature]*

Comments to Debaters:

Sp. 1 - Great point in giving an alternative instead of abolishing the birthright citizenship, the alternative being by statute. Did not present credible evidence abolishing it will create social classes.

Sp. 3 - made a compelling argument in that birthright citizenship allows them to pay taxes since they are legal. Relevant point made on the increase in the labor force of legal immigrants.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

REVIS 2/3/2012
we should clear explanations can not win in this debate.

Room: 329
 Start: 7:00
 Varsity Public Forum (Ridge CT vs. Regis HM)

2

Mark
 Lynch, Kathleen

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge CT Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis HM Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Sean Conway	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Tim Hannan
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Avinash Tamby	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Vincent Monti

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis HM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

~~Sean~~ effectively "punches" certain points by ^{voice} ~~tone~~ tone - voice trailed off at end - well-reasoned opening
 Avinash - effective use of statistics re: overall costs of illegal immigrants. Should have led w/ these statistics
 Sean summary - careful about trailing off at the end of your speech - even if you're running out of time.
 Avinash - nice final focus. Calm, persuasive

Comments to Debaters:

Vincent - excellent point made in crossfire about possible benefits compared to costs of immigrants
 Tim - effective move to request statistics from adversary before his initial speech. good use of statistics re: costs of deportation. Excellent eye contact.
 Calm, persistent, confident during cross-fire.
 Vincent - effective summary - speaking too quickly, but very animated - great eye contact. Most importantly, excellent content - clear, cogent arguments. Very good but would be so much better if he slowed down.
 Tim - excellent, persuasive final focus
~~watch~~ watch your posture when you're not speaking - sit up straight - lay under table - watch your adversary attentively.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con was most effective during crossfire - Tim in particular was calm, confident and persuasive. Vincent's opening was good - not great - but his summary was very effective - passionate and clear. He should be more careful not to speak over others during crossfires.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mal W. Flynn Delbarton

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

2nd

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Christian Pavlakos
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Alex Petrillo

Team: Ridge OW Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 23 for Tim O'Shea
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Ankit Wadhwa

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis PP representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes -No)

Comments to Debaters:

- Christian: nice delivery, made your points well. You did very well in the crossfire; moral argument went very well.
 - Alex - you're an excellent speaker. You clearly prepare well. You rebutted the terrorism nicely.

Comments to Debaters:

- Tim - nice delivery, but read less from your notes. I liked your terrorism argument - original; nice negation of the terrorism rebutted in 1st crossfire.
 - Ankit - excellent point regarding the existing underclass.

These are the reasons for my decision:

pro didnt fully address the impact of eliminating birthright citizenship on immigration. they also didnt address the moral argument con presented.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Casey Smith / monticello

15/8

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis DePo Circle Side: Pro Con	Team: Freehold Township BP Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Tom DeMarzo 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Gautam Boggavarapu 3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Christian Powers 4	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Farhan Pathan 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis DePo representing the **PRO** CON

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

TOM - Strong opening with numbers supporting example

TOM had a more constructive case with examples in crossfire

CHRISTIAN - good opening with supporting arguments. Fell back a bit toward end when refuting opponents case

- Strong crossfire between CHRISTIAN & GAUTAM - both using supportive arguments

Strong grand - crossfire between both teams

These are the reasons for my decision: CON - was stronger with facts in final focus

CON won this debate due to their clearer delivery of supporting facts to back their case throughout. Both teams worked well together but CON arguments were more fact based and better delivered in my view

Comments to Debaters:

FARHAN - Strong opening arguments with examples supporting their case. Struggled a bit in crossfire with facts. CHRISTIAN arguments used more tough to follow in opening round. CHRISTIAN strong final focus this I think argument to their case

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] JORDAIGN

Room: 324

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Regis HaSo vs. Freehold Township HM)

2

~~Cheung~~, Richard

Chung

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis HaSo Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for DuMichel Harley 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Sean Sonnemann 4

Team: Freehold Township HM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Naem Hossain 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Yash Mirchandani 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis HaSo representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

2

- keep the intensity to the end
 - need to come back strong from not being able to answer "cont"

4

~~not clear about~~
~~methodology~~
~~not to articulate better~~
 effectively touched on all the contentions.
 Good final focus

Comments to Debaters:

1

surprised to bring up the 14th Amendment up front from PRO

3

~~not clear about~~
~~methodology~~
 Need to articulate better
 Strong in Xfire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Very close debate

Pro led the debate in the beginning with strong arguments.

Towards to the end, main focus became the "motives" of birth citizenship

Con made a good hole of Pro's motive and ended with good wrap-up

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Room: 324
 Start: 7:00
 Varsity Public Forum (Freehold Township DC vs. Ridge CM)

2

~~Chung~~, Richard
 Chung,

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Freehold Township DC Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Samantha Damito <u>1</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Catherine Chen <u>4</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Sameera Chaudry <u>3</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Sunjay Melkote <u>2</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge CM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

1
 Excellent start
 with good flow
 and tone
 Need to respond back
 to PRO's pts.
 more effectively

3
 please put down your
 watch.
 Good attack on
 "hypothetical"
 argument.

Comments to Debaters:

2
 equal right
 = guest worker
 program?

4
~~not down~~
 Excellent in touching on
 all the contentions
 concisely @ to the pts
 dominant in xfire
 excellent final focus

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro successfully turn the Con's #1 contribution to a hypothetical one and good backup to their contentions.

Pro in general won in their arguments & provided convincing backup to their contentions

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Room: 340

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Regis KI vs. Princeton BS)

SALAM
2 Wadhwa, Dominique

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis KI Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Alex Kane 3 Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Arthur Imperatore 1
--

Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Dana Barry 4 Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Betsy Studholme 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis KI representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Great usage of time for speech. When you have conflicting studies don't get frustrated, evaluate the impact of the study. ~~as well as~~ ~~the arena~~

Comments to Debaters:

Amazing rebuttal to arguments. When referencing the logicity of arguments, spend the most time focusing on the conclusion on the argument.

These are the reasons for my decision: This was a tough decision. The aff and neg teams did a great job throughout the round. The highlighting factor that led to my decision was the question of what would happen without ~~the aff~~ with abolishing. The Neg team ~~per~~ on persuasion did a better job, also with their studies that evaluated their opponents arguments. Great Round Again.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 340

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Bronx Science RS vs. Capitol Debate PP)

SALAAM
2 Wadhwa, Dominique

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science RS Circle Side: Pro **Con**
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Michael Raevsky 4
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joe Salmaggi 2

Team: Capitol Debate PP Circle Side: **Pro** Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Akash Pullura 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Korey Payne 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Capitol Debate PP representing the **PRO** CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please Circle the Winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:
Caution speed to avoid jeopardizing clarity.
Stick to your definitions throughout the round (def. of abolish).

Comments to Debaters:
• I would suggest always utilizing the entire amount of time allotted for speech to further clarity.
• Great usage of humor during your Final Focus speech.

These are the reasons for my decision: As pointed out in the round, the Neg team had many holes (warrantless claims) in their case. The Aff team conveyed the idea of the benefits of abolishing the Danish birth right citizenship.

Great Job both teams!

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min ✓
Speaker 2	4 min ✓
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3	4 min ✓
Speaker 4	4 min ✓
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min ✓
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min ✓
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min ✓
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min ✓
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min ✓

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Room: 212
Start: 5:00
Varsity LD

1

~~Chomba, Pamela~~
Chambers, G

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Bronx Science JS

Max = 30

Regis PM

Max = 30

Jackson Stern

Peter McGahren

The better debating was done by the _____ from _____
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Hidge Bi Po won for the con Rd. 2, Flight 1

Joseph: 30

Michael: 26

Douglas: 30

Kevin: 30

→ JHP

Room: 337

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Regis ChKi vs. Capitol Debate CR)

2

Chambers, G

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis ChKi Circle Side <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con	Team: Capitol Debate CR Circle Side <input type="radio"/> Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Richard Chian	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Rhea Chitaki
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Teddy Kim	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Meera Rothman sp 1

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis ChKi representing the PRO CON

Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters: - Great job remaining
poise and adherent during crossfire
- Both of you did an
awesome job with keeping track of
the flow of arguments throughout.

Comments to Debaters: - Meera work on being a little
more confident in delivery
- Meera, you also missed a lot
of key points during your ~~final~~ speaker 2
summary, and that allowed a few points to walk.
Appropriate your time

These are the reasons for my decision: Overall, good debate. The winning factors were the lack of impact from the Pro's 1st contention as addressed by the Con throughout

- Con developed the concept of the economy serving as the incentive for illegal immigration which surfaced as a major point of the debate.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: APL

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

2nd

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science HR Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro <input type="radio"/> Con	Team: Delbarton AR Circle Side: <input type="radio"/> Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Nusrat Hossain <u>2</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for August Atencio <u>1</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Felix Rozenberg <u>4</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Braeden Reinoso <u>3</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Delbarton AR representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes/No) Yes

Comments to Debaters:

Nusrat - nice delivery - you backed up your economic argument with excellent statistics.

Comments to Debaters:

Augie - good presentation. you rebutted the incentive argument well. you also negated the delay in pregnancy argument well.

Felix - you made an excellent point re: econ. situation of current illegal aliens vs. creating a need underclass. you flipped the opportunity argument. These are the reasons for my decision: nicely

Braeden - nice framework argument. you made your economic points well. your fact checking & support of your statistics in crossfire went well.

pro's argument that the 15% used an hour babies to solidify economic advantage supported the idea that economic advantage was the primary motivator for immigration. Pro didn't completely support economic impact statements

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Casey Smith | montied10

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
 Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science PR Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis BaRi Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Victoria Pavlov 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for John Balleta 1
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Mahmudur Rahman 2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Patrick Rice 2

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis BaRi representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
 Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Victoria - Excellent point regarding origination of policy deeming all persons born in U.S. to be citizens - Needs to make eye contact and emphasize her important points by voice, tone & pauses during her opening.
 Mahmudur - The "cupcake analogy" is partially effective, but only so far. There are multiple reasons for illegal immigration. Very effectively responded to his adversary's question during crossfire.
 Victoria - reads her response -> Needs to look up occasionally make more eye contact
 Both sides could be a little more aggressive in crossfire.

(over)

Comments to Debaters:

John -> ~~some~~ strange statistics comparing absolute # and percentages - inherently suspicious. Excellent argument about the creation of a permanent underclass w/ no allegiance to U.S. Very calm & persuasive in crossfire.
 Patrick - excellent opening about constitutional (14th amendment interpretation) issue. Very calm - speaks quickly but very clearly. Effectively addressed the opponents "cupcake" analogy. Impressively done. No statistics w: argument that immigrant women have no more children on average than citizens. I doubt that this is the case.
 Both sides could be a little more aggressive during crossfire -

(over)

These are the reasons for my decision:

Both John and Patrick were calm, polite, persuasive and confident speakers. They very effectively turned their adversary's "cupcake" analogy in their own favor. See my comments on a couple of issues w/ statistics

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mal W. Taylor Pelbarton

Room: 339

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge LS vs. Regis LS)

2

Kotdawala, Shri

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis LS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Michelle Lu #2	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Francis Leong #3
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Deeptanshu Singhvi #1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Ferdinand Suba #4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is RIDGE LS representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

SP #2	WELL PRESENTED	9	SP #1	10	VERY WELL PRESENTED
ASSERTION WELL DOCUMENTED	9 - CF		8	ASSERTION - MORE OF OPINION	
	SP #3				
	CF				
	#2 SUMMARY	#1			
[ONE STRONG LANDMARK NBWK]	9	CF		7	[TALKS TOGETHER]
	#4	FF	#3		

These are the reasons for my decision:

#2 GREAT SPEAKER
#1 GREAT CROSSFIRE

#4 - NEEDS TO BRING RISEUP DOCUMENT TO SUPPORT OPINIONS,

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] ENV. ENGINEER

Room: 326

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge GS vs. Capitol Debate BR)

2

Feeley, Kevin

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge GS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Capitol Debate BR Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Davis George <u>2</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Griffin Badalamante <u>1</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Saloni Singhvi <u>4</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Raja Ramesh <u>3</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is RIDGE representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

DAVIS - CLEAR opening round. easy to follow using supporting examples to support case. very strong argument with Terror 1515 vs. U.S.A.

DAVIS HAD A VERY STRONG CROSSFIRE CITING COSTS VS BENEFITS

GRIFIN OFF-BALANCE

SALONI - STRONG START- good examples flows nicely

CROSSFIRE BETWEEN SPEAKERS 3 & 4 HARDER TO FOLLOW. TOO MANY INTERRUPTIONS ON BOTH SIDES NOT ALLOWING FOR DENYING OF CLARIFICATION

DAVIS VERY STRONG SUMMARY POINTING OUT THAT EVEN THE SUMMARY OF 90 PROBLEMS AROUND THIS ISSUE ARE THESE COSTS TO SOCIETY.

GRAND CROSSFIRE - PRO ARGUMENT USING TERRORISM AS AN ISSUE THAT PRESENTS A STRONG ARGUMENT.

FINAL FOCUS - RAJA MAKING STATEMENTS AND ADOPTING THEM AS NON FACTORS EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE FACTS.

SALONI USED THE LAW OF THE U.S.A. IN MAKING HER POINTS SUPPORTING PRO ARGUMENT. DONE WELL

Comments to Debaters:

GRIFIN - SPEAKS TOO FAST. STRONG ARGUMENT USING 14th ARGUMENT IN opening round. RAJA - STRONG PRESENCE IN STYLE.

GRIFIN WAS STRONG IN SUMMARY - SLOWED DOWN A BIT AND EXAMPLES WERE GOOD & OBSERVE TO FORUM

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

DECISION ON REVERSE →

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton AzRy Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Kabbas Azhar 3
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Conor Ryan 1

Team: Hunter College CK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Karen Chen 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29.5 for Ilana Kaufman 4

29-30 Outstanding 22-23 Below Average
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Hunter College CK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Spent too much time on their case without showing more of the strengths of yours. Why do your statistics and figures don't make you outweigh on benefits? Strong case > somewhat abandoned.

Comments to Debaters:

Well argued but check your ~~facts~~ facts.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Con agrees to Pro framework FFF they show how obligations are not fulfilled. I think Pro does so Pro framework holds and Pro turns con contention 1 to show how it is against the framework. Con needs to restate the case points they think hold and why they bring offense through

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Pat Luccarelli / Regis

Room: 317

Start: 7:00

Varsity Public Forum (Freehold Township NK vs. Capitol Debate CM)

2

Luccarelli P

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Freehold Township NK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Irving Nestor 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Mahaksh Kotdawala 3

Team: Capitol Debate CM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Julia Conley 1
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28.5 for Anjali Mahadevia 3

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Freehold Township NK representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Speaker 1 could be a bit more aggressive not only defending the case -> but attacking the numbers in the CON case

These are the reasons for my decision:

In competing statistics, you need to show why yours are better. Pro did not do very well at this while CON was superb. So CON convinces me that they outweigh on benefits

Nice job!

Pat Luccarelli / Regis

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Capitol Debate MM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>	Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>#1</u> for Luke Musimi <u>28</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>#2</u> for George Easley <u>29</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>#3</u> for Luke Musimi <u>28</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>#4</u> for Michael Mumma <u>28</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is DELBARTON representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

#1 VERY WELL PREPARED	SUBJECT SP.	#2 SI. WELL PREPARED	V. WELL PRESENTED
#3 VERY INTELLIGENT CF		#4 NEEDS LITTLE MORE INFO FOR ASSURSION	
#1 VERY INTELLIGENT CF	SUMMARY	#2	
	FF		

These are the reasons for my decision:

* PRO TEAM HAD TWO SPEAKERS. #2 WAS REALLY GOOD #1 NEEDS MORE SUPPORT FOR CROSSFIRE

* #1 SPEAKER VERY INTELLIGENT NEEDS FLUENCY

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] ENV ENVISOR

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

~~125~~ ~~to 30~~ 130
Team: Regis CO Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Jaime Cobham
Speaker Points (20 to 30): ~~29~~ for Jack O'Malley |

Team: Ridge SC Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Allison Shim
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Ben Choi

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis CO representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Aff - great case! very well structured

Comments to Debaters:

These are the reasons for my decision:

~~Q&A~~
Pro failed to effectively prove how their position ϕ link into a huge bureaucratic mess = more Damage than good.
& Pro fails to prove how they solve for illegal immigration = they cannot absorb the benefits they claim.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

56 50 50 Team: Regis DE Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u> Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Nick Duva Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for James Elustondo	54 50 50 Team: Ridge DK Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> <u>Con</u> Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Karn Dalal Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Nikhil Kapadia
--	--

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge DK representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Con - Organize your arguments better & try to attack the specific arguments the Aff is making

Comments to Debaters:

Aff - Don't let the Con get you caught up in a source debate, force them to answer your arguments and your logic.

Remember that assuming the Staple Act will pass does decrease illegal immigration

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Aff proved that the Staple Act benefits the economy & solves best.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min ✓
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature]