

Room: 337

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Capitol Debate PP vs. Regis KI)

1

Lynch, Kathleen

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

| | |
|--|--|
| Team: Capitol Debate PP Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con | Team: Regis KI Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Akash Pullura | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Alex Kane |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Korey Payne | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Arthur Imperatore |

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 29-30 Outstanding | 22-23 Below Average |
| 27-28 Above Average | |
| 24-26 Average | |

The team that won this debate is Capitol Debate representing the PRO CON

(Team Name of the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

Akash - powerful opening - no eye contact, but excellent content - very well written. Some of the excellent content was lost because of rushing through.

Korey - great speaking pace - eye contact - interaction (overall great speaker) no statistics to back argument about illegal immigrants are an economic benefit to country (great argument if supported!) & Did not use all of his time in 1st speech

Korey - very calm & responsive in crossfire - extremely effective

Akash summary - highly technical ->

Korey - big risk w/ the sarcastic/ironic final focus. I think you pulled it off.

These are the reasons for my decision.

Arthur - good speaking pace! - persuasive quotes very smooth in crossfire

Alex - great eye contact - good rebuttal to pro's "stratification" argument.

"People who apply for citizenship are wealthier on average." True - but very weak argument - most illegal immigrants don't apply - Improper argument from the specific to the general.

Grand crossfire - Alex - a little too aggressive - spoke over other speakers inappropriately -> (including his partner)

Akash's opening statement was excellent from a content perspective. He needs to slow down and make eye contact. His summary was weak by comparison.

Korey is an excellent speaker - measured pace, calm demeanor, unruffled during crossfires. He should continue to work on his content. His ironic final focus could easily have backfired, but he pulled it off.

Arthur and Alex were too combative in Grand Crossfire -> cutting off other speakers (even each other)

| Order/Time Limits of Speeches | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Speaker 1 | 4 min |
| Speaker 2 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1&2) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 | 4 min |
| Speaker 4 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3&4) | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (All) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus | 2 min |
| 2 minutes prep time per side | |

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Mal W. Lynn Pelbarton

Room: 329

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Regis BaRi vs. Capitol Debate MM)

1

Coyne, Lynne

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

| | |
|---|--|
| Team: Regis BaRi Circle Side: Pro Con | Team: Capitol Debate MM Circle Side: Pro Con |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for John Balleta 1 | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Luke Musimi 2 |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28.5</u> for Patrick Rice 3 | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Luke Musimi 4 |

prep: /10

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 29-30 Outstanding | 22-23 Below Average |
| 27-28 Above Average | |
| 24-26 Average | |

prep:

The team that won this debate is Regis BaRi representing the PRO **CON**

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

You handle the unique situation well. Points reflect your classy behavior

Comments to Debaters:

TRY to ASK Questions - ask about sources, how were studies done, etc., clarify the big issues.

You allow Regis to control all your cx time.

You skip around alot on the flow - try to go straight down the args or

signpost clearly.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I VOTE to AVOID a permanent underclass with a 2 TIERED system it allows the illegals to eventually have an escape clause & contribute to society AS ADULTS.

Definition / Framework debate took TOO much time vs. Substance of round

| Order/Time Limits of Speeches | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Speaker 1 | 4 min |
| Speaker 2 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1&2) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 | 4 min |
| Speaker 4 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3&4) | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (All) | 4 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus | 2 min |
| 2 minutes prep time per side | |

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Lynne Coyne RIDGE

Room: 328

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Delbarton AR vs. Ridge JY)

1

Leong, William

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

| | |
|--|---|
| Team: Delbarton AR Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con | Team: Ridge JY Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u> |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28.5</u> for August Atencio | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29.0</u> for Robert Ju |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28.0</u> for Braeden Reinoso | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29.0</u> for Kevin Yang |

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 29-30 Outstanding | 22-23 Below Average |
| 27-28 Above Average | |
| 24-26 Average | |

The team that won this debate is Ridge JY representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Comments to Debaters:

This was a very nice round - great debate from both sides. Very passionate and energetic on both sides. Try to be more civil in crossfire. Please don't shout over opponents. Kevin - very effective final focus. Rob - good turn on Con's stat concerning aliens having babies.

I did not see the merit of "practicality" of effecting abolishment. Interesting but not effective against Pro.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Ridge JY won for a better frame work, strong use of cards, and very effective crossfire.

| Order/Time Limits of Speeches | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Speaker 1 | 4 min |
| Speaker 2 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1&2) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 | 4 min |
| Speaker 4 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3&4) | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (All) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus | 2 min |
| 2 minutes prep time per side | |

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Regis Parent

Room: 328

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Capitol Debate CM vs. Freehold Township DC)

1

Leong, William

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

| | |
|---|--|
| Team: Capitol Debate CM Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con | Team: Freehold Township DC Circle Side: Pro <input type="radio"/> Con <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Julia Conley | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Samantha Damito |
| Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Anjali Mahadevia | Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Sameera Chaudhry |

| | |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 29-30 Outstanding | 22-23 Below Average |
| 27-28 Above Average | |
| 24-26 Average | |

The team that won this debate is Freehold Township DC representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Julia - Be more aggressive during crossfire look for opportunity to ask questions and put other side on defense

Anjali - You are a strong debater. I like your clear articulation of contentions. They were clear and easy to understand.

Your case work around devaluation of nation and issue of dual citizenships needed to be strengthened.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Con overall framework was stronger, more self consistent and better argued.

I also felt the Con used data more effectively.

Comments to Debaters:

Sam and Sameera - You were both strong and consistent.

Your overall framework is strong. I did not get the argument about "contradiction" if the 14th amendment was repealed. The extension to life, property and other rights seem overreaching.

| Order/Time Limits of Speeches | |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| Speaker 1 | 4 min |
| Speaker 2 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (1&2) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 | 4 min |
| Speaker 4 | 4 min |
| Crossfire (3&4) | 3 min |
| Speaker 1 Summary | 2 min |
| Speaker 2 Summary | 2 min |
| Grand Crossfire (All) | 3 min |
| Speaker 3 Final Focus | 2 min |
| Speaker 4 Final Focus | 2 min |
| 2 minutes prep time per side | |

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

William Regis Parent