

Room: 317

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge OW vs. Regis BiPo)

1

~~MESSNER~~
~~Bogavarapu, Lav~~

CRUZ

BE CAREFUL!
Please avoid
calling illegal
immigrants
"illegals."

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

40 med

Team: Ridge OW Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26.5 for Tim O'Shea
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 26 for Ankit Wadhwa

Team: Regis BiPo Circle Side: Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Joseph Bianco
Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Douglas Powers

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

The team that won this debate is Regis BiPo representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

The Brookings evidence seems to hedge's both - how definite is the role? (May play a role?)

Good job on evidence questions, messy credentials, but don't offer to show something after the round.

These are the reasons for my decision:

Ankit - rebuttals need more organization and focus - it's hard to know what the reason for voting for you is

Comments to Debaters:

Calm down in CX.
Avoid verbal tics like "what you have to see" - big time suck.
This is the first tournament on the topic, ~~sure~~ sure, but the rebuttal is scattered.
Good press on methodology.

Good final focus in terms of coverage but little focus.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

RFP There is no clear sense of how the "underclass" status would be different from the status quo so there is nothing to offer the birth tourism arg... (PRO)

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

[Signature] Bronx Science

Room: 317

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Hunter College CK vs. Ridge GS)

1

~~MESSNER~~
Boggavarapu, Lav

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

CRVZ

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

← CALM DOWN IN CROSSFIRE! →

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Hunter College CK Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Karen Chen
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Ilana Kaufman

Team: Ridge GS Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Davis George
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Saloni Singhvi

CALM DOWN!

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

CALM DOWN!

The team that won this debate is HUNTER CK representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Your first subject isn't an impact, at least in terms of how it's presently constructed.
 Ilana - you need to calm down in CX. Please.
 Karen - ~~Please~~ Please work on organization in the rebuttals.

Comments to Debaters:

Saloni - It's a bit hard to follow where you are in terms of the flow, but your coverage is excellent.
 Still, more explanation of how the underclass differs from the status quo.

These are the reasons for my decision:

I admire the fact that both teams are clashing, but the weighing isn't really detailed. The Pro says economy is contingent upon national stability, con says the opposite. Neither team develops why. I'm left to do the weighing myself. The

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Jonathan Bronx Science
 → nat'l govt and nat'l community is stability seems necessary for a stable free market. (Pro)

Room: 329

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Delbarton CG vs. Bronx Science HR)

1

Coyne, Lynne

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton CG Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>	Team: Bronx Science HR Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Michael Cuppari <u>3</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>26</u> for Nusrat Hossain <u>2</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Kevin Godart <u>1</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Felix Rozenberg <u>4</u>

prep: 150
125 31

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

PREP 11/30

The team that won this debate is Delbarton CG representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

When you've made your point in crossfire -
MOVE ON! If you keep at it you begin
to appear overly aggressive. You jump
right back in w/ GRAND crossfire. Either I am
buying it or not, you become ~~to~~ overbearing.
You barely allow your partner to
speak either!

Comments to Debaters:

TRY to use your summary speech to summarize
the big points not just to review what both
cases said. Talk about all the economic issues
AT ONE TIME.
Final Focus could also do a better job putting
together the big picture.

These are the reasons for my decision:

IF I VOTE for democratic values, then I VOTE CON: The only evidence
presented w/ stats is Pew study which says "the
people" want to
keep w/ negative world.
Economics - also con. Only on balance evidence says that even
after subtracting medical costs, etc., on balance brc
is

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Lynne Coyne

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis LS Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con	Team: Bronx Science RS Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Francis Leong <u>3</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Michael Raevsky <u>4</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Ferdinand Suba <u>1</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Joe Salmaggi <u>2</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis L.S. (Con) representing the PRO CON

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

*F.S. - speaker 4 strong arguments regarding to 14th Amendment. Struggled to Retire - CON - Arguments throughout
 F.L. speaker 1, was better at tying pro arguments together for their team*

Comments to Debaters:

*J.S. speaker #1 strong arguments on limitations to others from 14th Amendment regarding education costs
 M.R. speaker the strong throughout in separating 14th Amendment immigration issues from the Reform Resolution.*

These are the reasons for my decision:

CON WAS THE WINNER OF THIS ROUND. CONVINCING ARGUMENTS SUPPORTING THE 14TH AMMENDMENT ISSUES AND COSTS TO SOCIETY FROM FROM THE REFORM RESOLUTION WHICH IS WHEREIN OR NOT BIRTHRIGHT CITIZENSHIP SHOULD BE ADVISABLE

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *[Signature]* Jonathan Suba

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Capitol Debate CR	Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u>	for Rhea Chitaki
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u>	for Meera Rothman

Team: Delbarton AzRy	Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u>	for Kabbas Azhar
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u>	for Conor Ryan

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Capitol Debate CR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

CON Comments to Debaters:

- Speaker 1 Connor :
- organized framework
- stats supported arguments

Speaker 3: Kabbas

- excellent supporting facts to refute opponents.
- X-Rite's rebuttal narrows opponents' logical base

PRO Comments to Debaters:

- Speaker 2 Rhea
- very vague & broad framework
- only focused on illegal immigrants → are they only the causative factor?

Speaker 4: Meera

- good use of logic to defend team's arguments
- reinforced opponent's "stat uselessness" + not accurate
- well focused rebuttal & informative responses

These are the reasons for my decision:

Rebuttal & supporting facts of the Pro more realistic. Framework more focused & logical

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Estrelli Harley

Room: 335

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Freehold Township BP vs. Regis CO)

1

Smith, Casey

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Freehold Township BP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Gautam Boggavarapu
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Farhan Pathan

Team: Regis CO Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Jaime Cobham
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Jack O'Malley

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis CO representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes -No) _____

Comments to Debaters:

Farhan - nice speed of delivery - presented your points well. You didn't really answer the contention that employment was not the only incentive for illegal immigration.

Gautam - you addressed the timeframe and financial aspects of illegal immigration well. Nice point that these are the reasons for my decision: The resolution was US-specific and negated their frame/argument.

Comments to Debaters:

Jack - your arguments were well thought out, your statistics were relevant, and I was especially impressed with your arguments regarding the disenfranchisement of youth.

Jaime - nice presence. Your argument that immigrants delay pregnancy & that Birthright citizenship were vital.

Order	Time Limits of Speeches
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Pro never countered the contentions that Birthright citizenship is not limited to illegal immigrants, nor did they fully substantiate the contention that anchor baby benefits are the primary reason for illegal immigration.

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Casey Smith / Monticello

16
25
1:20

Room: 339
 Start: 5:00
 Varsity Public Forum (Delbarton EM vs. Regis DePo)

Tirado, Evelisse
1 Wadhwa, Dominique

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Delbarton EM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): ~~28~~ 28 for George Easley
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Michael Mumma

Team: Regis DePo Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): ~~28~~ 28 for Tom DeMarzo
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 30 for Christian Powers

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Delbarton EM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Both sides need to focus on making and extending their more logically sound arguments instead of spewing numbers and statistics back and forth.

Comments to Debaters:

- Pro failed to prove a direct causal link
- Con point out that w/o the economic benefits there wouldn't be a reason for Anchor babies in the first place hence they still wouldn't have a direct link.

These are the reasons for my decision:

The Pro failed to prove a direct causal link to birth right citizenship. They also fail to ~~prove~~ ^{prove} that immigrants come to America for citizenship instead of the financial benefits.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 337

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Princeton BS vs. Regis ChKi)

1

^{Mark}
Lynch, Kathleen

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Princeton BS Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis ChKi Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>21</u> for Dana Barry	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Richard Chian
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Betsy Studholme	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Teddy Kim

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Princeton BS representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

*Rich Dana
Fed Betsy*

Comments to Debaters:

*Rich
Ted*

These are the reasons for my decision:

*The Pro more effectively used statistics in their initial speeches. Later, I felt there was overuse of statistics by the Pro and it became somewhat confusing. They should focus on fewer (the more powerful) statistics.
All the speakers spoke much too quickly. Dana + Betsy was able to "punch" some arguments by speaking more slowly and emphasizing changes in tone & pacing.
Overall, they made better eye contact.*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: _____

Room: 326

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge SC vs. Freehold Township NK)

1

Chung, Richard

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO, OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge SC Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Allison Shim 2

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Ben Choi 4

Team: Freehold Township NK Circle Side: Pro Con

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Irving Nestor 1

Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Mahaksh Kotdawala 3

29-30 Outstanding
27-28 Above Average
24-26 Average

22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is PRO

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

representing the PRO CON

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

#2 Good flow
Good in touching
all the
contentions

#4
good in selling the
framework
Good move on from
C-B analysis.

Comments to Debaters:

#1
need to practice
to pace equally,
not to rush at the
end

#3
Good back up to your
partner
argument was too long
in short time.

These are the reasons for my decision:

C-B Analysis spent much of the debate time.
not convinced with the argument
linked back to economy
PRO successfully nullified CON's C-B analysis
of made their pts

Order/Time Limits of Speeches

Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min

2 minutes prep time per side

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
 Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Bronx Science AA Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis DE Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Elena Anderson <u>2</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Nick Duva <u>1</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Margarita Artoglou <u>1</u>	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for James Elustondo <u>2</u>

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis DE representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes ~~No~~)

Comments to Debaters:

Margarita - nice delivery, you kept your cool in crossfire & made your points. Rebutted the underclass argument well.

Elena - addressed the economic argument well. nice argument regarding crime rates in the children. negated the stateless argument well.

Comments to Debaters:

Nick - excellent delivery & pace. enumerated your points well. addressed the crossfire very well in your rebuttal - re: welfare, college, etc

James - nice use of GA as an example instead of foreign countries US impact. good argument regarding birth tourism.

These are the reasons for my decision:

You didn't address fully 2 main arguments. Birthright citizenship is not limited to illegal aliens - and you didn't prove that abolishing anchor babies & birth tourism would impact illegal immigration

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Jack J. [Signature] / Monticello

345
30

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Capitol Debate BR Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Ridge LS Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Griffin Badalamante 1	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>29</u> for Michelle Lu 2
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Raja Ramesh 3	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Deeptanshu Singhvi 4

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is CON representing the PRO CON (Please circle the winning side)

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

#1

- good posture
- good job in prep (timing)
- need to be more specific to your back up data

#3

- need to speed up a little to make pts in all contentions
- too naive in xfire
- too much time on one issue
- good summary of focus

Comments to Debaters:

#2

- ~~very good~~
- ~~time is good~~
- good flow
- excellent questions in xfire

#4

leading the xfire.

These are the reasons for my decision:

"Text" linked to Dros pt is not really convincing
 Pro failed to address other contentions
 increase in illegal / bureaucratic cost
 of Con constantly reminded me of that

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation:

Room: 324

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Ridge CM vs. Regis HaSo)

1

Reinoso, Pam

Chambers

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge CM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Regis HaSo Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Catherine Chen	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for DuMichel Harley
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Sunjay Melkote	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Sean Sonnemann

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Ridge CM representing the PRO CON

(Team Name for the Winning Team)

(Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters: *Collectively you guys did an awesome job by supporting one another*

Comments to Debaters: *Work on comprehending and refuting your opponent's arguments, specifically benefits of proposed solutions*

These are the reasons for my decision: *The voter point was really weighed by the Guest Worker program. This really provided an alternative to people affected by the Pro.*

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: *A. Chambers*

Room: 324
Start: 5:00
Varsity Public Forum (Regis HM vs. Cypress Bay KK)

1

^{Tomorrow}
Reinoso, Pam
_{numbers}

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Regis HM Circle Side: <u>Pro</u> Con	Team: Cypress Bay KK Circle Side: Pro <u>Con</u>
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Tim Hannan	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Chad Klitzman
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Vincent Monti	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Sami Klitzman

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Regis HM representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)
Low Point Win? Yes () No ()

Comments to Debaters: Vince work on rebutting

Comments to Debaters:
Chad; very articulate and powerful speaking
Sami; slow down when speaking which would give you a greater chance of articulation and point delivery

These are the reasons for my decision: The Pro proved that the Con's greatest benefits would be achieved on either side because neither side eliminates illegal immigration

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: M. Phelps

Room: 340

Start: 5:00

Varsity Public Forum (Freehold Township HM vs. Regis PP)

1

Feeley, Kevin

NEWARK INVITATIONAL

Science Park HS

Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Freehold Township HM Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Naeem Hossain 4
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 27 for Yash Mirchandani 3

Team: Regis PP Circle Side: Pro Con
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 28 for Christian Pavlakos 2
 Speaker Points (20 to 30): 29 for Alex Petrillo 4

29-30 Outstanding
 27-28 Above Average
 24-26 Average
 22-23 Below Average

The team that won this debate is Regis PP representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Comments to Debaters:

Naeem - Good & Strong Delivery in laying out case.
Yash - Excellent Strong presentation in trying to dis-prove con case however used statement "if you don't buy that argument" leaving doubt about his facts & convictions

Comments to Debaters:

Christian - Good Delivery supported by many facts throughout. Very good at citing examples supporting contentions throughout
Alex - Very strong presentation using supporting facts throughout used the statement "con won this round" emphasizing final focus. Judge decides who won what round and debate

These are the reasons for my decision:

CON WON THIS DEBATE LARGELY BECAUSE I FOUND THEIR CASE CLEARER THROUGH THE USE OF SUPPORTING EXAMPLES THROUGHOUT. THEY WERE ON MESSAGE IN SUPPORT OF THEIR CONTENTIONS AND THROUGHOUT DEBATE.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: [Signature] Judge

NEWARK INVITATIONAL
Science Park HS
 Feb 3-4, 2012

Before EVERY round, flip a coin to determine the side and speaking order of the debate. The winner of the flip has the option of choosing either the side (Pro or Con) or the speaking order (1st or 2nd) in the round. The team that loses the flip makes the remaining choice, either side or speaking order. After this is determined, record the names of the competitors. Please note that new arguments in the final focus are to be ignored. The Final Focus must be based on arguments and issues previously addressed in the debate.

AFTER THE COIN TOSS, HAVE THE DEBATERS CIRCLE WHETHER THEY ARE PRO OR CON AND ALSO HAVE THEM WRITE IN THEIR SPEAKING ORDER (1, 2, 3, OR 4)

Team: Ridge DK Circle Side: Pro <input checked="" type="radio"/> Con	Team: Bronx Science PR Circle Side: <input checked="" type="radio"/> Pro Con
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>28</u> for Karn Dalal	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>27</u> for Victoria Pavlov
Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>24</u> for Nikhil Kapadia	Speaker Points (20 to 30): <u>30</u> for Mahmudur Rahman

29-30 Outstanding	22-23 Below Average
27-28 Above Average	
24-26 Average	

The team that won this debate is Bronx Science PR representing the PRO CON
(Team Name for the Winning Team) (Please circle the winning side)

Low Point Win? (Yes No)

Pro Comments to Debaters:
 Speaker 1 - Victoria
 • Stat based arguments -
 • citing a lot of quotes but you need analyses

Con Comments to Debaters:
 Speaker 2: Karn Dalal
 • structure of arguments flow well & supported w/ of current stats.

Speaker 3: Mahmudur
 • focus on cost benefits & analyses to strengthen argument
 • comparative data analysis refuted opponent's claim
 • highly analytical & convincing

Speaker 4: Nikhil Kapadia
 • arguments track relationship & logic
 • final focus integrates all team's arguments to put strength on team's contributions

These are the reasons for my decision:

Pro's highly analytical arguments and logic narrowed down opponent's arguments. Comparative analyses of both sides data highlights their team arguments. Very well documented & statistically based rebuttal.
 Pro wins this round.

Order/Time Limits of Speeches	
Speaker 1	4 min
Speaker 2	4 min
Crossfire (1&2)	3 min
Speaker 3	4 min
Speaker 4	4 min
Crossfire (3&4)	3 min
Speaker 1 Summary	2 min
Speaker 2 Summary	2 min
Grand Crossfire (All)	3 min
Speaker 3 Final Focus	2 min
Speaker 4 Final Focus	2 min
2 minutes prep time per side	

Judge Signature & Affiliation/Occupation: Estrelli Harley