

The 2012 Newark Invitational

Round: RR. (F) Room #: A/D Judge Name: PHILLIPS

(Affirmative)
Name: LARRY MULLSTEIN
(Code) (Name)

(Negative)
Name: LIZ PIREZ
(Code) (Name)

Points: _____

Points: _____

Needs Improvement	Growing	Average	Solid	Excellent	Amazing
23-25	26	27	28	29	30

Anything below a 23 means that there was either an ethical violation or the debater was extremely rude.

IAC 6 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	NC 7 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	IAR 4 Minutes	NR 6 Minutes	2AR 3 Minutes	Prep. 5 Minutes
------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------

FEAR THE KVAAL!!

The better debating was done by the Aff (Neg) representing: LIZ PIREZ
(Circle One)

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signature: [Signature]

AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

I don't think IAR breathes on the proportionality argument, and the 2AR (new) doesn't make much sense; at best it is an entirely different interpretation of the concept. (Also, incoherence)

Neg argues that even if response is permissible, it must be proportional.

2AR ~~could~~ ^{might} win by arguing that proportionality is less important than other moral factors, but doesn't

REASON FOR DECISION: (DO NOT WRITE ORAL!)

factors, but doesn't

The 2012 Newark Invitational

Round: _____ Room #: _____ Judge Name: S. Ballentine

(Affirmative)

(Negative)

Name: Harry
(Code) (Name)

Name: L: 2 Neg
(Code) (Name)

Points: 29

Points: 28


Needs Improvement	Growing	Average	Solid	Excellent	Amazing
23-25	26	27	28	29	30

Anything below a 23 means that there was either an ethical violation or the debater was extremely rude.

IAC 6 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	NC 7 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	1AR 4 Minutes	NR 6 Minutes	2AR 3 Minutes	Prep. 5 Minutes
------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------

The better debating was done by the **Aff** | **Neg** representing: AFF
(Circle One)

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signature:  _____
 AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

REASON FOR DECISION: **(DO NOT WRITE ORAL!)**

Harry did an amazing job with his articulation of Taylor's message

The 2012 Newark Invitational

Round: V Room #: Auditorium Judge Name: Antoinette Baskerville-Richardson

(Affirmative)

(Negative)

Name: Larry
(Code) (Name)

Name: Liz
(Code) (Name)

Points: 29

Points: 28

Needs Improvement	Growing	Average	Solid	Excellent	Amazing
23-25	26	27	28	29	30

Anything below a 23 means that there was either an ethical violation or the debater was extremely rude.

IAC 6 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	NC 7 Minutes	CX 3 Minutes	1AR 4 Minutes	NR 6 Minutes	2AR 3 Minutes	Prep. 5 Minutes
------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------	--------------------

The better debating was done by the Aff | Neg representing: _____
(Circle One)

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signature: Antoinette Baskerville-Richardson

AFFIRMATIVE NEGATIVE

--	--

REASON FOR DECISION: **(DO NOT WRITE ORAL!)**