

Room: Auditorium
Start: 6:30
Varsity LD

5

Chester, D


NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Timothy Christian AM	Max = 30	Scarsdale LM	Max = 30
Andrew Meleta	<u>30</u>	Larry Milstein	<u>29</u>

The better debating was done by the Aff from Andrew Meleta AM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I buy your self defense arguments
and w/out self defense life is a right

Both debates were great but both
debates could be more specific.

~~Both debates were great but both
debates could be more specific.~~

Room: 112
Start: 6:30
Varsity LD

5

~~Smith, E~~
Carli + Oa


NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Byram Hills JA	Max = 30	Princeton RP	Max = 30
Josh Altman	<u>28</u>	Ryan Protter	<u>28.5</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Princeton RP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Not really sure why Enoch is a reason to vote aff. Also, AFF needs to spend more time on neg framework/case.

Neg kills AFF. Low ~~in~~ in cx.

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Montville DD

Max = 30

Ridge LP

Max = 30

Deep Dheri

29

Liz Pires

28

The better debating was done by the NEG from Ridge LP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended:

Signed: Atiyah Atiyah

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I vote negative in this round. The flow AC-NC was very consistent in this round. However, the negative's agency critique is compelling in conjunction with the standard that aff promotes arbitrariness. The Affirmative argues he can't win whether the semantic refer to the agent as a victim or survivor. However, abuse is not a sufficient argument & it's not offensive at all. In that sense, it's easy for me to accept a negative critique of the resolution. I did think that the AFF was a dynamic speaker, so I see this round as a low point win.

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Newark

Max = 30

Millburn SM

Max = 30

tk

29

Shiv Malhotra

28.5

The better debating was done by the Aff from Newark
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Aff - read "quar" lit. Patrick Johnson + Julie Thompson are a good start...

need 2 make res checks orig
substantial Affirm check

wholistic Aff → pic B was abn

you ↑ DA + CP grad
+ spec etc.

INX of AC

Neg. get a clearer link 2 parameters.

ask what he will defend show how his response on the NE gets you a L2 thg.

Make a new shifty violation in AR

Room: 112
Start: 6:30
Varsity LD

5

Chung, W
Kozak, C

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Byram Hills JA

Max = 30

Princeton RP

Max = 30

Josh Altman

28.5

Ryan Protter

29

The better debating was done by the neg from Princeton RP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Kozak

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

REF: I vote neg on the contradiction argument about Reason that combined with the proper disjunctive are about states being a more effective means to solve violence. Practical Reason seems to be rebuttable that takes out the epistemology of the aff. There is no impact to abency in the 1st. sorta extension in the 2nd.

Room: 109
Start: 6:30
Varsity LD

5

Mitchell, R

Dunay

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

Montville DD

Deep Dheri

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Ridge LP

Liz Pires

POINTS

Max = 30

28.5

The better debating was done by the NEG from RIDGE LP
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: *Matthew Oen*

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. danger leave
Koons / 75% accur w/ sep.
3x mre likely
Wallace

2. gov fails
Eura / new privt matter.
resources is irrel.
law@ban

Handwritten notes:
9/20/06
miss
all of
to

Hester / 5% constructive
H² / 1/5 rec'd 29 involve.

Bar / 80% kill
in threat
death.

5. chg way

4. w/o off
trap state
culture.
emo
better
escape
phys vio

3. shad. / 13
cable cten

2. makes
life ms.

→ 5% work.

13 hrs
all day

Ball

Room: 108
Start: 6:30
Varsity LD

5

Chy, C

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

Newark

tk

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Millburn SM

Shiv Malhotra

POINTS


Max = 30

28

The better debating was done by the neg from Millburn SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____



COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Timothy Christian AM

Max = 30

Scarsdale LM

Max = 30

Andrew Meleta

29

Larry Milstein

30

The better debating was done by the Neg from Scarsdale LM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Laurence Golden

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

1. Wallenstein does not in my mind mean that killing is collapsed as morally permissible he ~~was~~ asserts very passionately that self defense is an absolute so it is therefore derived that killing the aggressor is allowable. Mutual violence accounts

① ~~Tim~~ NC → clearest points
2 negate → probable

② Terminal defense on AC

③ Excusable not permissible
Default neg presumption