

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Millburn SM	Max = 30	Byram Hills JA	Max = 30
Shiv Malhotra	<u>28</u>	Josh Altman	<u>28</u>

The better debating was done by the Neg from Byram Hills JA
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: Lawrence Golden

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

I end up presuming Neg in that there was no particular offense that was extended that was meaningful enough to negate. I adopt negative interpretation of the round in that he clearly explains that we should preface truth testing to comparison because it allows for morality to be more objective to some extent here is how the round breaks down

③ The distinction in volume is not clear so I accept the word standstuff (at bottom) volume why more abuse occurs b/c to not assume success.

① Naturalism the way AFF is constructed is dependant upon utility and vis-versa while I think AFF is clearly winning naturalism with Papineau's use utility based on unresponded responses. A. infinite B. of prehist C. Kostofanos

② Neg meets to a large extent the outlined burden of AFF in that while he does not prove a competency ethical system he does show on some level why we

Room: 112
Start: 5:15
Varsity LD

4

Chy, C


NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE	POINTS	NEGATIVE	POINTS
Millburn SM	Max = 30	Byram Hills JA	Max = 30
Shiv Malhotra	<u>28.5</u>	Josh Altman	<u>28</u>

The better debating was done by the aff from millburn SM
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: 

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Room: 108
Start: 5:15
Varsity LD

4

Mitchell, R

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

E. Smith

Science Park HS

Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

Scarsdale LM

Larry Milstein

POINTS

Max = 30

28

NEGATIVE

Princeton RP

Ryan Protter

POINTS

Max = 30

22.5

The better debating was done by the APP from Scarsdale
AFF. BY NEG. TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: _____

E. Smith

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

CX - ok. blmo
uprice
cream. stunt.
Frame it as
him ignoring
proponents of
womens' rights.
Men have always
been
dismissive

neg - cx went nowhere. He is not
going to set sufficient
context for you to win.
ice cream ALT? Really?

RFD: AFF protects ability to
save yourself in
a failed world.

Room: 108
Start: 5:15
Varsity LD

4

Ballentine, J

NEWARK SCIENCE ROUND ROBIN

Science Park HS
Feb 2-3, 2012

AFFIRMATIVE

POINTS

NEGATIVE

POINTS

Scarsdale LM

Max = 30

Princeton RP

Max = 30

Larry Milstein

25

Ryan Protter

26

The better debating was done by the Negative from Princeton
AFF or NEG TEAM OR CONTESTANT NAME

Please check on this line if a low-point win was intended: _____

Signed: [Signature]

COMMENTS & REASON FOR DECISION:

Very close. Scarsdale had a more crisp argument but
however Princeton had better tech grasp at the
end. Solid but out of a couple of points? would
like to see these two go again.